

**DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** The Islamic Academy of Delaware

**Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date):** March 25, 2026

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** May 15, 2026

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** May 29, 2026

---

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes       No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>A. Program Access and Reimbursement</b>		
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A review of 87 students' meal benefit documentation resulted in meal benefit form errors for 16 students.</li> <li>Fourteen meal benefit forms (24 students total) were not approved within the ten days of receiving the application.</li> </ul>				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality</b>		
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The breakfast production records submitted by the SFA did not support that the meal pattern was being met on several days.</li> <li>During the review period, there was one day when the production records did not support the breakfast claim. On February 25, 2026, two meals were claimed, but production records show that zero servings of fruit were offered.</li> <li>SFAs are required to offer one full cup of fruit at breakfast for all age/grade groups, per 7 CFR 220.8(c). The SFA uses the Serve Only service model, and two tangerines (equivalent to 3/4 cup fruit) were offered at breakfast.</li> <li>The daily minimum vegetable requirement was not met at lunch on February 3, 5, and 6, 2026. On each of the three days, only 5/8 cups of vegetables were served.</li> <li>As a result of the three days when 5/8 cup vegetables were served, the weekly minimum vegetable requirement was not met. In total, 3 3/8 cups of vegetables were served over the course of the week.</li> <li>The daily minimum requirement of 1 oz. equivalent meat/meat alternate was not met at lunch on February 4, 2026.</li> <li>The SFA did not meet the weekly minimum meat/meat alternate requirement for lunch. In total, 6.75 oz. equivalents of meat/meat alternate were served over the course of the week.</li> <li>The daily minimum grain requirement was not met on February 5, 2026. The daily minimum requirement is 1 oz. equivalent and 0.75 oz equivalents were served.</li> <li>On February 3, 10, 17, 24, and 25, 2026, only one type of milk was offered, per the production record.</li> <li>The SFA did not identify the lunch items that constitute the reimbursable meal in the kindergarten classroom at lunch.</li> <li>The electronic lunch production record for February 10, 2026, submitted by the SFA did not support the claim for reimbursement.</li> </ul>				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>C. School Nutrition Environment</b>		
		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Food Safety
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Competitive Foods
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Afterschool Snack Program		
Finding(s) Details:				

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On the day of review, the kindergarten classroom did not conduct any educational or enrichment activities before, during, or after the snack meal service.</li> <li>• On the day of review, a 1/2-cup portion of fruit (apple juice) was served as one of two snack components.</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/>	x	<b>D. Civil Rights</b>
		Finding(s) Details: N/A