

DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Red Clay Consolidated School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): September 23, 2025

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: November 19, 2025

Date review summary was publicly posted: November 25, 2025

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- ☒ School Breakfast Program
- ☒ National School Lunch Program
- ☒ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- ☐ Afterschool Snack
- ☐ Special Milk Program
- ☐ Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- ☒ Community Eligibility Provision
- ☐ Special Provision 1
- ☐ Special Provision 2
- ☐ Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A review of 46 students' meal benefit documentation resulted in meal benefit form errors for nine students. Some families with students at CEP schools completed meal benefit forms (for benefits like computers or internet), and the SFA processed the forms. 				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetable subgroup quantities were insufficient during one week of the review period at four sites. At one site, one vegetable subgroup was missing during one week of the review period. Insufficient quantities of vegetables were offered during the review period at two sites. Insufficient quantities of fruits were offered during the review period at one site. Insufficient quantities of grains were offered during the review period at one site. The milk variety requirement was not met at one site. Items on the planned menu were not offered at one school during the review period. The production records did not support the claim at two sites during the review period. On the day of review at one site, two incomplete meals were served at breakfast and two incomplete meals were served at lunch. On the day of review at one site, there was no signage indicating students must select a 1/2-cup fruit or vegetable at lunch. 				
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Competitive Foods
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other – Buy American Provision, Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP)		
Finding(s) Details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At two sites, the reviewer identified products that were not compliant with the Buy American Provision. The SFA included non-eligible grades in the FFVP application. The SFA's FFVP claim for one site was higher than the eligible enrolled student total. 				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights		
Finding(s) Details: N/A				