

Department of Education

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 education.delaware.gov Cynthia Marten
Secretary of Education
302-735-4000
302-739-4654 (fax)

May 29, 2025

Lisa Coldiron Head of School Sussex Montessori Charter School 24960 Dairy Lane Seaford, DE 19973

RE: FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Ms. Coldiron:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2023, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

•	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
		Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
		Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Sussex Montessori Charter School FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Results:

•	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
•	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate

• Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Behaviors

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Needs Assistance (Year 1) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to engage in DDOE directed actions to address areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Lauren Irwin, will be in contact with you to discuss required actions and provide technical assistance. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich
Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/JS Attachment

cc: Cynthia Marten, Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support Trish Hermance, Board Chair
Jude Anderson, Interim Special Education Coordinator
Morgan Haislett, Special Education Coordinator
Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office
Lauren Irwin, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 7.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2023 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2023 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

Indicator 4B
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

• Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Results:

Indicator 1 Graduate RateIndicator 2 Drop-Out Rate

Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Behaviors

	Criteria												
Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA is engaged in Tier I Universal Monitoring Corrective Action Plan.	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier II Focused Monitoring.							
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier III Targeted Monitoring Intervention Plan.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 1 year							
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged in Tier IV Intensive Monitoring Compliance Agreement.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 2 years	and/ or	LEA is Needs Assistance for 2 consecutive years					
Needs Substantial Intervention	=	LEA in Needs Intervention for 3 or more consecutive years											

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Sussex Montessori Charter School

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	17.07%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2023-2024	100.00%	98.36%	-	0	2
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%	NA	NA	NA
Timely / Accurate Submission:				100.00%	2	2
			-			
Additional Relevant Audit Findings				NA	NA	NA

Note: For Indicators 11, 12, 13: If LEA data is 95% - 100%, LEA score = 2 If LEA data is 75% - 94.90%, LEA score = 1 If LEA data <75%, LEA Score = 0

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Sussex Montessori Charter School											
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points					
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - ELA											
Grade 4		95.00%	97.44%	-	1	1					
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	NA NA	NA	NA NA					
High School		95.00%	88.35%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - MATH											
Grade 4		95.00%	97.16%	-	1	1					
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	NA	NA	NA					
High School		95.00%	88.29%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - ELA											
Grade 4		28.54%	13.01%	-	0	1					
Grade 8	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	NA	NA	NA					
High School		24.69%	8.85%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATH											
Grade 4		28.04%	13.98%	-	0	1					
Grade 8	2023-2024	20.85%	3.42%	NA	NA	NA					
High School		20.38%	1.89%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA											
Grade 4		33.17%	25.25%	NA	NA	NA					
Grade 8	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	NA	NA	NA					
High School		44.54%	9.35%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH											
Grade 4		37.35%	5.05%	NA	NA	NA					
Grade 8	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	NA	NA	NA					
High School		23.90%	14.02%	NA	NA	NA					
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	Under Threshold	1	1					
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%	84.21%	1	1					
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%	-	1	1					
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%	-	1	1					

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Suss	ex Montess	sori Charl	<u>ter Scho</u> o			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 6A: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Ag to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiv the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program						
Age 3		>=36.90%	35.41%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2023-2024	>=42.70%	42.89%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		>=47.90%	46.15%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 6B: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Ag to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3		<=39.50%	47.12%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2023-2024	<=39.50%	42.26%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		<=37.10%	40.13%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4 Age 5	2023-2024	<=1.80% <=0.80% <=0.60%	0.66% 0.16% 0.33%	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA
Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skil						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowl and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA	NA
Determination Community	1			unual Dotormi		

Determination Summary		Annual Determination:
LEA Compliance Indicators Points	3	Needs Assistance
Possible Compliance Indicator Points	5	
LEA Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	
Possible Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	Note:
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	NA]
Possible Additional Relevant Audit Findings	NA	
LEA Results Indicator Points	6]
Possible Results Indicator Points	8	1
LEA Total Points	11	1
Possible Total Points	15	1
Percentage:	73.33%]

	te						Graduated with a	LEA Data % SWD Who	
Indicator 1	<u>School Year</u> 2022-2023	State Target 82.12%	<u>State Data</u> 81.82%			Total Students Exited NA	Regular Diploma NA	<u>Graduated</u> NA	Met Target? NA
Note:		-i-l - d.,ki d.,k				** -			
N/A = LEA nad §	graduates but no spe	cial education graduat	tes or LEA did not have an	y graduates or the LEA had	no special education studen	ts eligible to graduate			
Drop-Out Rate								LEA Data % SWD who Dropped	
Indicator 2	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Drop-Outs	Out	Met Target?
	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%			NA	NA	NA	NA
Note:	ot have students age	s 14-22 or who were in	9th grade or higher						
NA – LLA did lic	ot have students ages	s 14-22 of who were in	rotti grade di filgiler.						
Participation R	tate for Children with								
Indianta 20	C-hl-V	State	State	Consider	Codelana	Normalia de Elizabela	Normalis and Total and	LEA Data	14-4 T43
Indicator 3A	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	<u>Target</u> 95.00%	<u>Data</u> 97.44%	<u>Grade</u> 4	<u>Subject</u> ELA	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target? Yes
	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	8	ELA	- NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	88.35%	HS	ELA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
Note: SY - 202	3-2024 = FFY 2023	33.0070	00.0070						
	n the specified grade Rate for Children with		t have students or does no	ot have students eligible to	take the assessment.				
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	
	2023-2024	95.00%	97.16%	4	MATH				Met Target?
	2023-2024	05.000/			IVIATII	•	-	-	Met Target? Yes
		95.00%	92.62%	8	MATH	- NA	- NA	- NA	
	2023-2024	95.00% 95.00%	92.62% 88.29%	8 HS		- NA NA	- NA NA	NA NA	Yes
	3-2024 = FFY 2023	95.00%	88.29%	HS	MATH MATH				Yes NA
	3-2024 = FFY 2023	95.00%	88.29%		MATH MATH				Yes NA
N/A = In	3-2024 = FFY 2023 in the specified grade	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St	88.29% t have students or does no tandards - ELA	HS	MATH MATH			NA LEA Data	Yes NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat	3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State	88.29% t have students or does no tandards - ELA State	HS ot have students eligible to	MATH MATH take the assessment.	NA	NA	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	Yes NA NA
N/A = In	3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State <u>Target</u>	88.29% t have students or does no tandards - ELA State <u>Data</u>	HS ot have students eligible to Grade	MATH MATH take the assessment. <u>Subject</u>			NA LEA Data	Yes NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat	3-2024 = FFY 2023 in the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State Target 28.54%	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01%	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA	NA <u>Number Tested</u> -	NA <u>Number Meets</u> -	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency -	Yes NA NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat	3-2024 = FFY 2023 in the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State Target 28.54% 24.57%	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81%	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA	NA Number Tested - NA	NA Number Meets - NA	LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA	Yes NA NA NA Met Target? No NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B	3-2024 = FFY 2023 in the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State Target 28.54%	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01%	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA	NA <u>Number Tested</u> -	NA <u>Number Meets</u> -	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency -	Yes NA NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target 28.54% 24.57% 24.69%	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85%	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ELA	NA Number Tested - NA	NA Number Meets - NA NA	LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA	Yes NA NA Met Target? No NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023 N/A = In assessm	a-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade ment.	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target 28.54% 24.57% 24.69%	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85% t have students, the LEA d	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ELA	NA Number Tested - NA NA	NA Number Meets - NA NA	LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA	Yes NA NA NA Met Target? No NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023 N/A = In assessm	a-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade ment.	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target 28.54% 24.57% 24.69% level, the LEA does not	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85% t have students, the LEA d	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ELA	NA Number Tested - NA NA	NA Number Meets - NA NA	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA students participated in	Yes NA NA Met Target? No NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023 N/A = In assessm	a-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade ment.	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85% t have students, the LEA de	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ELA	NA Number Tested - NA NA	NA Number Meets - NA NA	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA Students participated in	Yes NA NA Met Target? No NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023 N/A = In assessm Proficiency Rat	3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade ment. te in Grade Level Aca	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State Target 28.54% 24.57% 24.69% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement St State	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85% t have students, the LEA de tandards - MATH State	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS oes not have students eligi	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ELA ole to take the assessment, o	NA Number Tested NA NA NA or the LEA had students eligible t	NA Number Meets - NA NA NA o take the test but zero	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA students participated in LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	Yes NA NA Met Target? No NA NA
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023 N/A = In assessm Proficiency Rat	3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade ment. te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target 28.54% 24.57% 24.69% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85% t have students, the LEA do tandards - MATH State Data	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS oes not have students eligi	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ole to take the assessment, o	NA Number Tested NA NA NA or the LEA had students eligible t	NA Number Meets - NA NA NA o take the test but zero	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA students participated in LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	Yes NA NA Met Target? No NA NA the
N/A = In Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B Note: SY - 2023 N/A = In assessm Proficiency Rat Indicator 3B	a-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 In the specified grade ment. te in Grade Level Aca School Year 2023-2024	95.00% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target 28.54% 24.57% 24.69% level, the LEA does not demic Achievement State Target 28.04%	88.29% t have students or does not tandards - ELA State Data 13.01% 7.81% 8.85% t have students, the LEA do tandards - MATH State Data 13.98%	HS of have students eligible to Grade 4 8 HS oes not have students eligi	MATH MATH take the assessment. Subject ELA ELA ELA ole to take the assessment, of Subject MATH	NA Number Tested NA NA or the LEA had students eligible the the the the the the the the the th	NA Number Meets - NA NA o take the test but zero Number Meets -	NA LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency - NA NA students participated in LEA Data % of SWD Meeting Proficiency -	Yes NA NA NA Met Target? No NA NA the

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the

assessment.

Proficiency Ra	ate in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	33.17%	25.25%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	44.54%	9.35%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SY - 202	23-2024 = FFY 2023								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Proficiency Ra	ate in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - MATH					LEA Data	
		State	State						
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	37.35%	5.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	23.90%	14.02%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SV 20	22 2024 - EEV 2022								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ency Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - ELA			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	27.19%	26.04%	4	ELA	-	-	-	No
	2023-2024	35.36%	32.71%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	32.69%	35.90%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - MATH	ļ		LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.60%	24.91%	4	MATH	-	-	-	Yes
	2023-2024	28.58%	21.39%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.68%	16.58%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

				Sussex Worker	ssori Charter School				
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ion and Expulsion	s of Students with Disabilities					
							Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	55	344			0.00	Yes
	2022 2023	3.3270	3.3270	33	344			0.00	103
Note:									
Significant Disc	renancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suchens	ions and Evnulsion	s of Students with Disabilities by Rad	ce/Ethnicity and Noncomn	liant Policies Procedures and	Dractices		
Significant Disc	reparity in the Nate	or Long-Term Suspens	nons and Expansion	3 of Students with Disabilities by Nat	cc, Ethinicity and Noncomp	nanci oncies, i roccuares, ana	Tractices		
Indicator 4P	School Voor	State Target	State Data	Page	CMD Envalled	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Mot Torgot?	LEA Data (Bata Batia)	Compliant?
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	3WD Suspended > 10 Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Hispanic/Latino	-		Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native American	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	African American	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	White	30	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Asian American	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Multi-Racial	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022 2020								
Nata									
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regul	lar Class 80% or More of th	ne Day			
							Number of SWD	LEA Data	
Indicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE A	% in LRE A	Met Target?
	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%			57	48	84.21%	Yes
	2023 2024	07.5470	03.1170			3,	40	04.2170	103
Nata									
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regul	lar Class Less Than 40% of	the Day			
							Number of SWD In		
Indicator 5B	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	LRE B	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target?
	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%			57		-	Yes
	2023 2027	13.3370	13.0770			3,			163
Nata.									
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities	, and in Homebound/Hospital	Placements		
						•	Number of SWD	LRE Data	
Indicator 5C	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE C	% in LRE C	Met Target?
	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%			57			Yes
	2023 2024	4.03/0	4.5570			3,			103
NI-A-									
Note:									
		of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regula	r Early Childhood Program and Rece	iving the Majority of Speci	al Education and Related Servi	ces in the Regular Early	Childhood Program	
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent o				Total Number of SWD		Total in 6A	Percent Receiving	Met Target
		State Target	State Data						
Preschool Envir Indicator 6A	School Year	State Target	State Data 35 41%	<u>Age</u> 3	NΔ		NΔ		NΔ
		>=36.90%	35.41%	3	NA NA		NA NA	NA	NA NA
	School Year	>=36.90% >=42.70%	35.41% 42.89%	3 4	NA		NA	NA NA	NA
	School Year	>=36.90%	35.41%	3				NA	
Indicator 6A	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	>=36.90% >=42.70% >=47.90%	35.41% 42.89% 46.15%	3 4 5	NA		NA	NA NA	NA
	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	>=36.90% >=42.70%	35.41% 42.89% 46.15%	3 4 5	NA		NA	NA NA	NA

				Sussex Mor	ntessori Charter School				
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate S _i	ecial Education Class, Sepa	arate School, or Residential Fa	acility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=39.50%	47.12%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=39.50%	42.26%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=37.10%	40.13%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Re	ceiving Special Educa	tion and Related Services in	n Home				
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=1.80%	0.66%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.80%	0.16%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.60%	0.33%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstrat	e Improved Skills in Positiv	re Social/Emotional Skills				
		7A1: Positive Social/Emotional Skills : ercent Increased Rate of				7A2: Positive Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7A	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstrat	e Improved Skills in Acquis	ition and Use of Knowledge a	and Skills			
Indicator 7B	<u>Po</u> School Year	31: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: ercent Increased Rate of Growth State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	<u>Met Target</u>	7B2: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstrat	e Improved Skills in Use of	Appropriate Behaviors				
Indicator 7C		7C1: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent ncreased Rate of Growth State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target	7C2: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target
maicator /C	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	60.25%	54.42%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	NA
	2023-2024	03.32/0	03.37/0	INA	IVA	00.23/6	J4.4Z/0	IVA	IVA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						

Sussex Montessori Charter School Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That Schools Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Disabilities State State **Total Number of LEA Data Indicator 8 School Year Number Disagree Target Data** Respondents **Number Agree** % Agree **Met Target?** 0 0 2023-2024 91.50% 81.60% 0 NA NA Note: NA = LEA did not receive any survey responses. Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification **School Year Indicator 9 State Target State Data LEA Data Compliant** Met Target? 2023-2024 0.00% 0.00% Compliant Compliant State data reflects % of LEAs with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. Note: Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification **School Year Indicator 10 State Data LEA Data Compliant** State Target Met Target? 2023-2024 0.00% 17.07% Compliant Compliant Note: State data reflects % of LEAs with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Less, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation **Total Number of Initial Number Not Within** % LEA Data Within **School Year Indicator 11 State Data Evaluations Number Within Timelines Timelines Timelines** State Target **Met Target?** 2023-2024 100.00% 98.36% No Note:

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

Eligible/IEP Implemented **Number of Students Referred Minus Not Eligible Received Services by Indicator 12 School Year** by Age 3 and/or Parent Refusals Age 3 Met Target? State Target **State Data** 2023-2024 100.00% 98.39% NA NA NA NA

Number of Children Found

NA = LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B. Note:

LEA Data % Who

				Sussex Mon	tessori Charter School				
Percent of You	th Age 14 or in the 8	th grade with an IEP Th	at Includes Coord	linated, Measurable, Annual IEP G	oals and Transition Services Th	at Will Reasonably Enable t	he Student to Meet		
the Post-Secon	dary Goals								
					Total Number of IEPs	Number of IEPs Meeting		LEA Data % Meeting	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data		<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Standard</u>		<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%		-	na		NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not l	have students of transit	tion age (in grade l	8 or ages 14 and above).					
One Year of Le	aving High School, G	roup B. Enrolled in Higi	her Education or C	School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Tir Competitively Employed Within Or Pelly Employed or in Some Other Em	ne Year of Leaving High School,	or Group C. Enrolled in High			
						Total Number of			
Indicator 14	School Year	State Target	State Data		Total Number of Exiters	Respondents	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?
	2022-2023	50.14%	51.09%		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		Chaha Tayanh	State Date				Cuerra D. Deemandanta	LEA Data 9/ Craus B	Mat Tayant?
		State Target 70.82%	<u>State Data</u> 63.99%				Group B Respondents NA	LEA Data % Group B NA	Met Target? NA
		70.8276	03.33/6				IVA	NA.	NA
		State Target	State Data				Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target?
		100.00%	71.78%				NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not h	have students with IEPs		education.					
Timely and Acc	curate Data		School Year	<u>Data</u> Child Count				Compliant?	
			2023-2024					Yes	
				Exiting Data				Yes Yes	
				Discipline Data Maintenance of Effort				Yes	
				Excess Cost				Yes	
				Focused/Cyclical Monitoring				N/A	
				Coordinated Early Intervening Se	ryicos/Comprohonsiyo Coordia	ntod Early Intonyoning Sonyic	oc.	Yes	
				Coordinated Larry Interventing Se	rvices, comprehensive coordia	inted Larry Intervening Service	- 5	165	
Additional Rel	evant Audit Findings		School Year	<u>Audit</u>				Compliant?	
			2023-2024	Cyclical Monitoring of Special Edu	ucaiton Records			N/A	
				Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring				N/A	
				Single Audit/Delaware Division of	f Accounting			N/A	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates or LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21 who exited from high school.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	NA = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency.
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio). Note: For SY 2022-2023: N Size = 0/Rate Ratio = 3.0	
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have: • (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and • (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. • An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant. Note: For SY 20222-2023: Cell Size = 3/Rate Ratio = 3.0	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served: B. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day C. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day D. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	 A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. 	
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. C. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	 A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive socialemotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding. If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA – LEA did not receive any survey responses. Yes* = LEA met the target with 5 or less survey responses. No* = LEA did not meet the target and had 5 or less survey responses.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA Noncompliant: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100. LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	 A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100 	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
	Percent of youth with	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with	NA - LEA did not
	IEPs aged 16 and above	IEPs that contain each of the required components for	have students of
	with an IEP that includes	secondary transition	transition age in
	appropriate measurable	Divided by	grade 8 or ages 14
	postsecondary goals	Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and	and above.
	that are annually	above)	
	updated and based		
	upon an age-		
	appropriate transition		
	assessment, transition		
	services, including		
	courses of study, that		
	will reasonably enable		
	the student to meet		
	those postsecondary		
	goals, and annual IEP		
13	goals related to the		
(20 U.S.C.	student's transition		
•	services needs. There		
116(a)(3)(B))	also must be evidence		
	that the student was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting where		
	transition services are to		
	be discussed and		
	evidence that, if		
	appropriate, a		
	representative of any		
	participating agency was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting with the prior		
	consent of the parent or		
	student who has		
	reached the age of		
	majority.		



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	 A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school) Itimes 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
Timely/ Accurate Data	Timely/accurate submission of the following data: Child Count Data (2023-2024 SY) Exiting Data (2023-2024 SY) Discipline Data (2023-2024 SY) Maintenance of Effort (FY 2024) Excess Cost (FY 2024) Focused Monitoring (2023-2024 SY) CEIS/CCEIS Identification (2023-2024 SY)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	Additional Relevant Audit Findings include the following: Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records (2023-2024 SY) Fiscal Cyclical Monitoring (2023- 2024 SY) Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting (2023- 2024 Report)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	