

Department of Education

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 education.delaware.gov Cynthia Marten
Secretary of Education
302-735-4000
302-739-4654 (fax)

May 29, 2025

Kevin Dickerson, Ed.D. Superintendent Polytech School District P.O. Box 22 Woodside, DE 19980

RE: FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Dickerson:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2023, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

•	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
		Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
		Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
•	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Disproportional Representation related to identify

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Polytech School District

FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Results:

	Jourto.	
•	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
•	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
•	Indicator 3A	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
•	Indicator 3B	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards
•	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards
•	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
•	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 5-21)
•	Indicator 6	Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)
•	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Behaviors

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA *Meets Requirements* in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. Your LEA is encouraged to continue to analyze current data and develop action steps to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Kathi Stephan, will be in contact with Dr. LaTonya Pierce, Director of Personnel and Student Supports to review your LEA's IDEA Annual Determination. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich
Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/JS Attachment

cc: Cynthia Marten, Secretary of Education
Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support
LaTonya Pierce, Ed.D., Director of Personnel and Student Supports
George Fisher, Principal
Renee Ivy, Assistant Principal
Tajah McCove, Ed.D., Lead Special Education Teacher
Kathi Stephan, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 7.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2023 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2023 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

Indicator 4B
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

• Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Results:

Indicator 1 Graduate RateIndicator 2 Drop-Out Rate

Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Behaviors

				Criteria				
Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA is engaged in Tier I Universal Monitoring Corrective Action Plan.	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier II Focused Monitoring.		
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier III Targeted Monitoring Intervention Plan.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 1 year		
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged in Tier IV Intensive Monitoring Compliance Agreement.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 2 years	and/ or	LEA is Needs Assistance for 2 consecutive years
Needs Substantial Intervention	=	LEA in Needs Intervention for 3 or more consecutive years						

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

POLYTECH School District

Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Compliant	1	1
2023-2024	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
1		•			
2023-2024	0.00%	17.07%	Compliant	1	1
•		•			
2023-2024	100.00%	98.36%	100.00%	2	2
1				T	I
2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	NA	NA	NA
•		•			
2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%	100.00%	2	2
			100.00%	2	2
			100.00%	2	2
	From: (Time Period) 2022-2023 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024	From: (Time 2021 Period) 2022-2023 0.00% 2023-2024 0.00% 2023-2024 100.00% 2023-2024 100.00%	From: (Time Period) 2021 State Data 2022-2023 0.00% 33.33% 2023-2024 0.00% 17.07% 2023-2024 100.00% 98.36%	From: (Time Period) SPP Target 2021 State Data LEA Data 2022-2023 0.00% 33.33% Compliant 2023-2024 0.00% 0.00% Compliant 2023-2024 0.00% 17.07% Compliant 2023-2024 100.00% 98.36% 100.00% 2023-2024 100.00% 99.59% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	From: (Time Period) SPP Target 2021 State Data LEA Data LEA Score 2022-2023 0.00% 33.33% Compliant 1 2023-2024 0.00% 0.00% Compliant 1 2023-2024 0.00% 17.07% Compliant 1 2023-2024 100.00% 98.36% 100.00% 2 2023-2024 100.00% 98.39% NA NA 2023-2024 100.00% 99.59% 100.00% 2 100.00% 2 100.00% 2

Note: For Indicators 11, 12, 13: If LEA data is 95% - 100%, LEA score = 2 If LEA data is 75% - 94.90%, LEA score = 1 If LEA data <75%, LEA Score = 0

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

POLYTECH SCHOOL DISTRICT										
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points				
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%	88.46%	1	1				
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%	0.00%	1	1				
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - ELA										
Grade 4		95.00%	97.44%	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	NA	NA	NA				
High School		95.00%	88.35%	100.00%	1	1				
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - MATH										
Grade 4		95.00%	97.16%	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	NA	NA	NA				
High School	1	95.00%	88.29%	100.00%	1	1				
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - ELA										
Grade 4		28.54%	13.01%	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	NA	NA	NA				
High School	1	24.69%	8.85%	-	0	1				
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATH Grade 4		28.04%	13.98%	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8	2023-2024	20.85%	3.42%							
High School	2023-2024	20.38%	1.89%	NA -	NA 0	NA 1				
riigii Scriooi		20.36%	1.69/6	-	U	1				
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA										
Grade 4	_	33.17%	25.25%	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	NA	NA	NA				
High School		44.54%	9.35%	-	1	1				
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH										
Grade 4		37.35%	5.05%	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	NA	NA	NA				
High School		23.90%	14.02%	-	1	1				
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	Over Threshold	0	1				
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%	90.74%	1	1				
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%	-	1	1				
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%	-	1	1				

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Indicator 6A: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program Age 3	FU	LIILCH	ול וטטווטכ	Strict			
to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program Age 3	Results Indicators	From: (Time		State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program Age 3							
Age 4 2023-2024 2-42.70% 42.89% NA	to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the						
See Section	Age 3		>=36.90%	35.41%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 68: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 4 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 6 Age 7 Age 7 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9 Ag	Age 4	2023-2024	>=42.70%	42.89%	NA	NA	NA
to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 76: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 76: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 77: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 78: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Unitria Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Unitria Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 76: Percent of Freschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Indicator 76: Percent of Freschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behavi	Age 5		>=47.90%	46.15%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4 Age 5 2023-2024 - 39.50%	to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate						
Age 5	Age 3		<=39.50%	47.12%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Age 5 Age 6 Age 7 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9	Age 4	2023-2024	<=39.50%	42.26%	NA	NA	NA
to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 2023-2024 Services in Home 4	Age 5		<=37.10%	40.13%	NA	NA	NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 88.31% 80.40% NA	Age 3 Age 4	2023-2024	<=0.80%	0.16%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 50.21% 44.78% NA							
Percent Within Age Expectation 50.21% 44.78% NA	Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 R7.72% R8.3.65% NA	Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 47.62% A7.29% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge						
Percent Within Age Expectation 47.62% 47.29% NA NA NA NA Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation 89.32% 83.37% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA Percent Within Age Expectation NA	Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2023-2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 89.32% 83.37% NA	Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 60.25% 54.42% NA NA NA NA							
Percent Within Age Expectation 60.25% 54.42% NA NA NA NA	Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	NA
Determination Commons	Percent Within Age Expectation	2023 2024	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA	NA
	Determination Summer	T		Α	unual Datawas	ation	

Determination Summary		Annual Determination:
LEA Compliance Indicators Points	7	Meets Requirements
Possible Compliance Indicator Points	7	
LEA Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	
Possible Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	Note:
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	2	
Possible Additional Relevant Audit Findings	2	
LEA Results Indicator Points	9	
Possible Results Indicator Points	12	
LEA Total Points	20	
Possible Total Points	23	
Percentage:	86.96%	

				1 02112	CIT SCHOOL DISTRICT				
Graduation Rat	te								
Indicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Graduated with a Regular Diploma	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u>	Met Target
Note:	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%			26	23	88.46%	Yes
Drop-Out Rate									
								LEA Data % SWD who Dropped	
Indicator 2	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	<u>Drop-Outs</u>	<u>Out</u>	Met Target
Note:	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%			26	-	0.00%	Yes
Participation Ra	ate for Children with I	EPs - ELA							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target
	2023-2024	95.00%	97.44%	4	ELA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
	2023-2024 2023-2024	95.00% 95.00%	93.20% 88.35%	8 HS	ELA ELA	NA 37	NA 37	NA 100.00%	NA Yes
Noto: SV 2022	3-2024 = FFY 2023	95.00%	00.33%	пэ	ELA	37	5/	100.00%	res
		ovel the LFA does no	t have students or does n	ot have students eligible to	take the assessment				
14,71	i tire specifica grade le		thave stadents of does in	or have students engine to	take the assessment.				
Participation Ra	ate for Children with I	EPs - MATH State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target
illuicator 3A	2023-2024	95.00%	97.16%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	8	MATH	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	88.29%	HS	MATH	37	37	100.00%	Yes
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023								
N/A = In	the specified grade le	evel, the LEA does no	t have students or does n	ot have students eligible to	take the assessment.				
Proficiency Rate	e in Grade Level Acad	emic Achievement S	tandards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	28.54%	13.01%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	8	ELA	NA 26	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	24.69%	8.85%	HS	ELA	36	-	-	No
N/A = In	·	evel, the LEA does no	t have students, the LEA o	does not have students eligi	ble to take the assessment, o	or the LEA had students eligible	to take the test but zero	students participated in	the
assessm									
Proficiency Rat	e in Grade Level Acad	emic Achievement S State	tandards - MATH State					LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target
maicator 3b	2023-2024	28.04%	13.98%	4	<u>Subject</u> MATH	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.85%	3.42%	8	MATH	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	2023-2024	20.38%	1.89%	HS	MATH	36	-	-	No
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023	20.30/0	1.03/0	110	MOTH	30			110
		wel the LEA door no	t have students the LEA	logs not have students aligi	hle to take the assessment of	or the LEA had students eligible	to take the test but zero	students participated in	the .
N/A = In	i ilie specified grade le	vei, the LEA does no	t nave students, the LEA (ioes not nave students eligi	bie to take the assessment, c	or the LEA had students eligible	to take the test but zero	students participated if	i tile

assessment.

Proficiency Ra	ate in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	33.17%	25.25%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	44.54%	9.35%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	Yes
Note: SY - 202	23-2024 = FFY 2023								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment

Proficiency Rat	e in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - MATH					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	37.35%	5.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	23.90%	14.02%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	Yes
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ency Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - ELA			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	27.19%	26.04%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	35.36%	32.71%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	32.69%	35.90%	HS	ELA	62.15%	-	-	No

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Profici	ency Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achiever	nent Standards) - MATH			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.60%	24.91%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	28.58%	21.39%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.68%	16.58%	HS	MATH	17.13%	-	-	Yes

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

				POLITIECE	School District				
Significant Disc	crepancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ion and Expulsions	s of Students with Disabilities					
							Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	156	1049	-	-	4.48	No
Note:									
Significant Disc	crepancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ions and Expulsion	s of Students with Disabilities by Ra	ce/Ethnicity and Noncomp	liant Policies, Procedures, and	Practices		
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Hispanic/Latino	-		Yes	31.79	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native American	_	<u> </u>	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	African American	59		Yes	5.93	Compliant
									•
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	White	75	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Asian American	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Multi-Racial	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
Note:									
D . COL'1	l wellen a		<i>10</i> 1 1 1	. Ic. wc . I. I. I	l ol oog/ sa ful				
Percent of Child	aren With IEPs Aged	15 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regu	iar Class 80% or More of th	пе рау	Normalia and A CIA/D	LEA Data	
							Number of SWD	LEA Data	
Indicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	<u>In LRE A</u>	<u>% in LRE A</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%			162	147	90.74%	Yes
Note:									
Note:									
Percent of Chile	dren With IEPs Aged	l 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regu	lar Class Less Than 40% of	the Day			
	_		ŭ			•	Number of SWD In		
Indicator 5B	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	LRE B	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target?
illuicator 3B	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%			162	<u> </u>	LKE Data /6 III LKE D	Yes
	2025-2024	15.55%	15.07%			102	•	•	162
Note:									
Parcent of Child	dron With IEDs Agos	I E Who Aro Enrolled in	Vindorgarton and	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools Posidontial Easilities	and in Hamahaund/Hasnital	Discoments		
reitent of thin	uren with itrs Aget	13 WIIO ATE LIITOREU II	i Kiliueigai teli aliu	Aged 0 to 21 Served in Separate Scr	ioois, Residential Facilities	, and in Homebound, Hospital	Number of SWD	LRE Data	
Indianta CC	61 17	Chaha Tawash	Ctata Data			Number of SMD			NA-+ T+2
Indicator 5C	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	<u>In LRE C</u>	% in LRE C	Met Target?
	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%			162	-	-	Yes
Note:									
Note:									
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent o	of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regula	r Early Childhood Program and Rece	iving the Majority of Speci	ial Education and Related Serv	ices in the Regular Early	Childhood Program	
Indicator 6A	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6A	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	>=36.90%	35.41%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		>=42.70%	42.89%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		>=42.70%	46.15%	5	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA
		Z-47.3U%	40.13%	3	IVA		INA	IVA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5	in a preschool pro	gram.					
			,						

				POLYT	ECH School District				
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percei	nt of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate S	pecial Education Class, Sep	arate School, or Residential Fa	cility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=39.50%	47.12%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=39.50%	42.26%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=37.10%	40.13%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did r	not have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program						
Preschool Envir	ronments: Perce	nt of Children Aged 3 to 5 Re	ceiving Special Educa	tion and Related Services i	n Home				
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=1.80%	0.66%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.80%	0.16%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.60%	0.33%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did r	not have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program						
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstra	te Improved Skills in Positiv	ve Social/Emotional Skills				
Indicator 7A	School Year	7A1: Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	7A2: Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
illuicator 7A	2023-2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did r	not have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program						
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstra	te Improved Skills in Acqui	sition and Use of Knowledge ar	nd Skills			
Indicator 7B	School Year	7B1: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	<u>Met Target</u>	7B2: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did r	not have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program						
Preschool Outc	comes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstra	te Improved Skills in Use of	Appropriate Behaviors				
		7C1: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth				7C2: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State			
Indicator 7C	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target	<u>Target</u>	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did r	not have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program						

				POLYTECH School District				
Percent of Pare	ents with a Child Rec	eiving Special Educatio	on Services Who Report Tha	at Schools Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means o	f Improving Services and Resi	ults for Children with Dis	sabilities	
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State <u>Target</u> 91.50%	State <u>Data</u> 81.60%	Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> 0	Number Agree 0	Number Disagree 0	LEA Data <u>% Agree</u> NA	Met Target?
Note:	NA = LEA did not r	eceive any survey resp	onses.					
Disproportiona	nte Representation o	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Special Education a	nd Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Id	entification			
Indicator 9 Note:	School Year 2023-2024 State data reflects	State Target 0.00% 5 % of LEAs with Dispro	State Data 0.00% portionate Representation	as a result of inappropriate identification.		<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Compliant		Met Target? Compliant
Disproportiona	ite Representation o	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Specific Disability C	ategories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identificatio	n			
Indicator 10 Note:	School Year 2023-2024 State data reflect	State Target 0.00% ts % of LEAs with Dispro	State Data 17.07% Oportionate Representation	n as a result of inappropriate identification.		<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Compliant		Met Target? Compliant
Evaluations Co	nducted Within 45 S	chool Days or 90 Calen	dar Days, Whichever is Les	s, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation				
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.36%	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u> -	Number Within Timelines	Number Not Within Timelines 0	% LEA Data Within Timelines 100.00%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
-		nt of Children Referred nplemented by Their Th State Target	•	ho Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3	- Number of Students Refer and/or Parent		LEA Data % Who Received Services by Age 3	Met Target?

2023-2024 100.00% 98.39% NA

NA

NA NA

NA = LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B. Note:

				POLYTECH	I School District				
Percent of Yout	th Age 14 or in the 8	th grade with an IEP Th	nat Includes Coord	linated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goal	ls and Transition Services Th	at Will Reasonably Enable t	he Student to Meet		
the Post-Secon	dary Goals								
	·				Total Number of IEPs	Number of IEPs Meeting		LEA Data % Meeting	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data		Reviewed	<u>Standard</u>		<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%		162	162		100.00%	Yes
Note:									
				School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time					
				Competitively Employed Within One \ ely Employed or in Some Other Emplo			er Education or in		
Some Other 10	st-secondary Educa	ilon or maning mogra	iii, or competitive	in some other emplo	yment within one real of E	cuving			
						Total Number of			
Indicator 14	School Year	State Target	State Data		Total Number of Exiters	<u>Respondents</u>	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?
	2022-2023	50.14%	51.09%		26	17	-	-	Yes
		State Target	State Data				Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	Met Target?
		70.82%	63.99%				- -	-	Yes
		State Torget	State Data				Group C Bospondonts	LEA Data 9/ Group C	Met Target?
		State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 71.78%				Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	No No
Note:		100.00%	/1./0%				-	•	NO
Note.									
Timely and Acc	urate Data		School Year	<u>Data</u>				Compliant?	
			2023-2024	Child Count				Yes	
				Exiting Data				Yes	
				Discipline Data				Yes	
				Maintenance of Effort				Yes	
				Excess Cost				Yes	
				Focused/Cyclical Monitoring				N/A	
				Coordinated Early Intervening Service	ces/Comprehensive Coordia	nted Early Intervening Servic	es	Yes	
Additional Rele	evant Audit Findings		School Year		the December			Compliant?	
			2023-2024	Cyclical Monitoring of Special Educa	iton Records			N/A	
				Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring	counting			N/A	
				Single Audit/Delaware Division of Ac	ccounting			Yes	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates or LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21 who exited from high school.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	NA = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency.
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio). Note: For SY 2022-2023: N Size = 0/Rate Ratio = 3.0	
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have: • (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and • (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. • An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant. Note: For SY 20222-2023: Cell Size = 3/Rate Ratio = 3.0	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served: B. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day C. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day D. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	 A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. 	
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. C. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	 A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive socialemotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding. If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA – LEA did not receive any survey responses. Yes* = LEA met the target with 5 or less survey responses. No* = LEA did not meet the target and had 5 or less survey responses.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA Noncompliant: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100. LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	 A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100 	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
	Percent of youth with	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with	NA - LEA did not
	IEPs aged 16 and above	IEPs that contain each of the required components for	have students of
	with an IEP that includes	secondary transition	transition age in
	appropriate measurable	Divided by	grade 8 or ages 14
	postsecondary goals	Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and	and above.
	that are annually	above)	
	updated and based		
	upon an age-		
	appropriate transition		
	assessment, transition		
	services, including		
	courses of study, that		
	will reasonably enable		
	the student to meet		
	those postsecondary		
	goals, and annual IEP		
13	goals related to the		
(20 U.S.C.	student's transition		
•	services needs. There		
116(a)(3)(B))	also must be evidence		
	that the student was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting where		
	transition services are to		
	be discussed and		
	evidence that, if		
	appropriate, a		
	representative of any		
	participating agency was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting with the prior		
	consent of the parent or		
	student who has		
	reached the age of		
	majority.		



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	 A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school) Itimes 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
Timely/ Accurate Data	Timely/accurate submission of the following data: Child Count Data (2023-2024 SY) Exiting Data (2023-2024 SY) Discipline Data (2023-2024 SY) Maintenance of Effort (FY 2024) Excess Cost (FY 2024) Focused Monitoring (2023-2024 SY) CEIS/CCEIS Identification (2023-2024 SY)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	Additional Relevant Audit Findings include the following: Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records (2023-2024 SY) Fiscal Cyclical Monitoring (2023- 2024 SY) Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting (2023- 2024 Report)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	