

# **Department of Education**

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 <u>education.delaware.gov</u> **Cynthia Marten** Secretary of Education 302-735-4000 302-739-4654 (fax)

May 29, 2025

Bridget Amory, Ed.D. Superintendent Milford School District 906 Lakeview Avenue Milford, DE 19963

### RE: FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Amory:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations based on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR § 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2023, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### Compliance:

•	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
•	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
•	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
•	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
•	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP
•	Timely/Accurate Data	Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS
•	Relevant Audit Findings	Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

The Delaware Department of Education is an equal opportunity employer. It does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, age, genetic information, or veteran's status in employment or its programs and activities.

Milford School District FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

### **Results:**

- Indicator 1 Graduate Rate
- Indicator 2 Drop-Out Rate
- Indicator 3A
   Indicator 3B
   Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
   Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level
   Academic Achievement Standards
- Indicator 3C Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level
   Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards
- Indicator 4A Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
- Indicator 5
   Education Environments (Children 5-21)
- Indicator 6
   Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)
- Indicator 7
   Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA *Needs Substantial Intervention (Year 1)* in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to engage in DDOE directed actions to address areas identified in your determination in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Dr. Maria Locuniak, will be in contact with Jennifer Hallman, Director of Student Services, to discuss required actions and provide technical assistance. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/JS Attachment

cc: Cynthia Marten, Secretary of Education Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support Jennifer Hallman, Director of Student Services Maria N. Locuniak, Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources Jeri Turner, Education Associate, Office of Early Childhood Intervention

### **IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements**

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 7.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

### FFY 2023 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2023 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### **Compliance:**

•

- Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
  - Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
- Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations
- Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
- Indicator 13
   Transition Planning in the IEP
- Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS
   Delevant Audit Eindings Civilian Manitering of Special Education Reserves Civilian
- Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

### **Results:**

- Indicator 1 Graduate Rate
- Indicator 2 Drop-Out Rate
  - Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
- Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level
- Academic Achievement Standards
- Indicator 3C Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards
- Indicator 4A Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
- Indicator 5
   Education Environments (Children 5-21)
  - Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)
- Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

				Criteria				
Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA is engaged in Tier I Universal Monitoring Corrective Action Plan.	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier II Focused Monitoring.		
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier III Targeted Monitoring Intervention Plan.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 1 year		
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged in Tier IV Intensive Monitoring Compliance Agreement.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 2 years	and/ or	LEA is Needs Assistance for 2 consecutive years
Needs Substantial Intervention	=	LEA in Needs Intervention for 3 or more consecutive years						

# Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

### **Milford School District**

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	17.07%	Noncompliant	0	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2023-2024	100.00%	98.36%	100.00%	2	2
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	100.00%	2	2
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%	100.00%	2	2
Timely / Accurate Submission:				100.00%	2	2
Additional Relevant Audit Findings				100.00%	2	2

Note: For Indicators 11, 12, 13: If LEA data is 95% - 100%, LEA score = 2 If LEA data is 75% - 94.90%, LEA score = 1 If LEA data <75%, LEA Score = 0

IV		chool Dist				
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%	84.78%	1	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%	-	0	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - ELA						
Grade 4		95.00%	97.44%	100.00%	1	1
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	96.30%	1	1
High School		95.00%	88.35%	85.71%	0	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - MATH						
Grade 4		95.00%	97.16%	98.86%	1	1
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	94.44%	0	1
High School		95.00%	88.29%	85.71%	0	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - ELA						
Grade 4		28.54%	13.01%	-	0	1
Grade 8	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	-	0	1
High School		24.69%	8.85%	-	0	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATH Grade 4 Grade 8	2023-2024	28.04% 20.85%	13.98% 3.42%	-	0	1 1
High School		20.38%	1.89%	-	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA		33.17%	25.25%			NA
Grade 4 Grade 8	2023-2024	45.17%		NA	NA 0	1
High School	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48% 9.35%	- NA	NA	I NA
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH						
Grade 4	2022 2024	37.35%	5.05%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	30.21% 23.90%	11.65% 14.02%	-	0	1 NA
High School Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	NA Under Threshold	NA 1	1
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%	59.25%	0	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%	19.95%	0	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%	6.01%	0	1

Data

Results Indicators	From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 6A: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program						
Age 3		>=36.90%	35.41%	-	0	1
Age 4	2023-2024	>=42.70%	42.89%	-	0	1
Age 5		>=47.90%	46.15%	-	0	1
Indicator 6B: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility						
Age 3		<=39.50%	47.12%	64.52%	0	1
Age 4	2023-2024	<=39.50%	42.26%	74.42%	0	1
Age 5		<=37.10%	40.13%	-	0	1
Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home						
Age 3		<=1.80%	0.66%	-	1	1
Age 4	2023-2024	<=0.80%	0.16%	-	1	1
Age 5		<=0.60%	0.33%	-	1	1
			•			
Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2023-2024	88.31%	80.40%	94.59%	1	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	50.21%	44.78%	43.24%	0	1
Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	87.72% 47.62%	83.65% 47.29%	91.67% 51.35%	1 1	1 1
Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	89.32%	83.37%	94.29%	1	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	60.25%	54.42%	54.05%	0	1
Determination Summary			An	nual Determir	nation:	
LEA Compliance Indicators Points	8		Needs	Substantial Int	tervention	
Possible Compliance Indicator Points	9					
LEA Timely/Accurate Data Points	2					
Possible Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	Note:				
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	2					
Possible Additional Relevant Audit Findings	2					
LEA Results Indicator Points	12	l				
Possible Results Indicator Points	35	l				
LEA Total Points	24	l				
Possible Total Points	48	1				
Percentage:	50.00%	J				
						Page 3 of 3

Graduation Rat	te							LEA Data	
Indicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	<u>Graduated with a</u> <u>Regular Diploma</u>	<u>% SWD Who</u> Graduated	Met Target?
Note:	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%			46	39	84.78%	Yes
Note.									
Drop-Out Rate									
								LEA Data % SWD who Dropped	
Indicator 2	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Drop-Outs	Out	Met Target?
Note:	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%			46	-	-	No
Participation R	ate for Children with								
lu dianta y 2.4	Calca al Varan	State	State	Curada	Cubicut	Number Elisible	Number Tested	LEA Data	Mast Taurat 2
Indicator 3A	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	<u>Target</u> 95.00%	<u>Data</u> 97.44%	<u>Grade</u> 4	<u>Subject</u> ELA	Number Eligible 88	Number Tested 88	Percent Tested 100.00%	Met Target? Yes
	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	8	ELA	54	52	96.30%	Yes
	2023-2024	95.00%	88.35%	HS	ELA	35	30	85.71%	No
	3-2024 = FFY 2023 ate for Children with	IEPs - MATH							
•		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2023-2024	95.00%	97.16%	4	MATH	88	87	98.86%	Yes
	2023-2024 2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	8 HS	MATH	54 35	51 30	94.44%	No
Note: SY - 202	3-2024 = FFY 2023	95.00%	88.29%	пэ	MATH	55	30	85.71%	No
Proficiency Rat	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement St						LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	State <u>Target</u>	State <u>Data</u>	Grade	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency	Met Target?
<u></u>	2023-2024	28.54%	13.01%	4	ELA	88	-		No
	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	8	ELA	51	-	-	No
	2023-2024	24.69%	8.85%	HS	ELA	30	-	-	No
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023								
Proficiency Rat	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement St	andards - MATH					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.04%	13.98%	4	MATH	87	-	-	No
	2023-2024 2023-2024	20.85% 20.38%	3.42% 1.89%	8 HS	MATH MATH	50 30	_	-	No No
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023	20.30/0	1.0970	115	MATH	30	-	-	140

			Chaudauda ELA	-					
roticiency Rate	e in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement						LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
ndicator 3C	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target
	2023-2024	33.17%	25.25%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	8	ELA	-	-	-	No
	2023-2024	44.54%	9.35%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	3-2024 = FFY 2023								
		evel, the LEA does no	t have students, the LEA	does not have students eligil	ble to take the assessment, or	r the LEA had students eligible	e to take the test but zero s	tudents participated i	n the
assessm									
vroficiency Rate	e in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement						LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
ndicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	<b>Proficiency</b>	Met Target
	2023-2024	37.35%	5.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	8	MATH	-	-	-	No
	2023-2024	23.90%	14.02%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023								
N/A = In	the specified grade I	evel, the LEA does no	t have students, the LEA	does not have students eligil	ble to take the assessment, or	r the LEA had students eligible	e to take the test but zero s	tudents participated i	n the
assessm	ent.								
Sap in Proficier	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achiever	nent Standards) - ELA			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
ndicator 3D	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Proficiency	Proficiency	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	27.19%	26.04%	4	ELA	43.27%		-	No
	2023-2024	35.36%	32.71%	8	ELA	42.71%	-	-	Yes
	2023-2024	32.69%	35.90%	HS	ELA	40.61%			No
Note: SV - 202:	3-2024 = FFY 2023	52.0570	33.3070	115		40.0170			NO
vote. 31 - 2023	5-2024 - FFT 2025								
Gap in Proficier	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achiever	nent Standards) - MATH			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
ndicator 3D	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	<u>Subject</u>	Proficiency	Proficiency	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	28.60%	24.91%	4	MATH	38.34%		-	Yes
	2023-2024	28.58%	21.39%	8	MATH	16.23%	_	-	Yes
	2023-2024	20.68%	16.58%	HS	MATH	10.66%	_	_	Yes
Note: SV - 202:	3-2024 = FFY 2023	20.0070	10.3070	115	MATT.	10.0070			103
NOLE: 31 - 2023	-2024 - FFT 2023								

Note: Significant Discrep	School Year 2022-2023 pancy in the Rate of School Year 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	State Target           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%	State Data           9.52%           ions and Expulsion           State Data           33.33%           33.33%           33.33%           33.33%           33.33%           33.33%           33.33%           33.33%	SWD Enrollment 867 s of Students with Disabilities by Rad <u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino Native American	Non-SWD Enrollment 3663 ce/Ethnicity and Noncomp <u>SWD Enrolled</u> 143	SWD Suspended > 10 Days - liant Policies, Procedures, and SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Non-SWD Suspended > 10 Days 53 Practices <u>Met Target?</u>	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 1.04 LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant? Yes Compliant?
Note: Significant Discrep	2022-2023 pancy in the Rate of <u>School Year</u> 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	9.52% of Long-Term Suspens <u>State Target</u> 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	9.52% ions and Expulsion <u>State Data</u> 33.33% 33.33% 33.33%	867 s of Students with Disabilities by Rad <u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino	3663 ce/Ethnicity and Noncomp <u>SWD Enrolled</u>	- liant Policies, Procedures, and	53 Practices	1.04	Yes
Significant Discrep	<b>School Year</b> 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	of Long-Term Suspens <u>State Target</u> 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	ions and Expulsion <u>State Data</u> 33.33% 33.33% 33.33% 33.33%	s of Students with Disabilities by Rad <u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino	ce/Ethnicity and Noncomp <u>SWD Enrolled</u>		Practices		
ignificant Discrep	School Year 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	State Target           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 33.33% 33.33% 33.33%	<u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino	SWD Enrolled			LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	School Year 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	State Target           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%           0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 33.33% 33.33% 33.33%	<u>Race</u> Hispanic/Latino	SWD Enrolled			LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant
ndicator 4B	2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	33.33% 33.33% 33.33%	Hispanic/Latino		SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	33.33% 33.33%		143				compnants
	2022-2023 2022-2023 2022-2023	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	33.33%	Native American		-	Yes	0.97	Compliant
	2022-2023 2022-2023	0.00% 0.00%			-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33 33%	African American	264	-	Yes	1.57	Compliant
			55.5570	White	405	-	Yes	0.68	Compliant
	2022-2023		33.33%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
		0.00%	33.33%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	Yes	0.00	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Multi-Racial	48	-	Yes	1.44	Compliant
lote:									
Percent of Childre	en With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regu	lar Class 80% or More of th	ne Day			
							Number of SWD	LEA Data	
ndicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE A	<u>% in LRE A</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%			832	493	59.25%	No
Note:									
Percent of Childre	en With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regu	lar Class Less Than 40% of	the Day			
			•				Number of SWD In		
ndicator 5B	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	LRE B	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target
	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%			832	166	19.95%	No
lote:									
Percent of Childre	en With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities	, and in Homebound/Hospital F	Placements Number of SWD	LRE Data	
ndicator 5C	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE C	<u>% in LRE C</u>	Met Target
<u>narcator se</u>	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%			832	50	6.01%	No
Note:									
Note:									
		-		r Early Childhood Program and Rece		ial Education and Related Servi		-	Mot Tara
Indicator 6A	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6A	Percent Receiving	Met Targe
	2023-2024	>=36.90%	35.41%	3	31		-	-	No
		>=42.70%	42.89%	4	43		-	-	No
		>=47.90%	46.15%	5	-		-	-	No

Preschool Enviro	onments: Perce	nt of Children Aged 3 to 5 Atto	ending a Separate Spe	cial Education Class, Sepa	arate School, or Residential Fac	cility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=39.50%	47.12%	3	31		20	64.52%	No
		<=39.50%	42.26%	4	43		32	74.42%	No
		<=37.10%	40.13%	5	-		-	-	No
Note:									
Preschool Enviro	onments: Perce	nt of Children Aged 3 to 5 Rec	eiving Special Education	on and Related Services in	n Home				
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=1.80%	0.66%	3	31		-	-	Yes
		<=0.80%	0.16%	4	43		-	-	Yes
		<=0.60%	0.33%	5	-		-	-	Yes
Note:									
Preschool Outco	omes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demonstrate	Improved Skills in Positiv	e Social/Emotional Skills				
		7A1: Positive				7A2: Positive			
		Social/Emotional Skills :				Social/Emotional Skills :			
		Percent Increased Rate of				Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7A	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	88.31%	80.40%	94.59%	Yes	50.21%	44.78%	43.24%	No
Note:									
Preschool Outco	omes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demonstrate	Improved Skills in Acquis	ition and Use of Knowledge ar	nd Skills			
		7B1: Acquisition and Use of				7B2: Acquisition and Use of			
		Knowledge and Skills:				Knowledge and Skills:			
		Percent Increased Rate of				Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7B	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	87.72%	83.65%	91.67%	Yes	47.62%	47.29%	51.35%	Yes
Note:									
Preschool Outco	omes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demonstrate	Improved Skills in Use of	Appropriate Behaviors				
		7C1: Use of Appropriate				7C2: Use of Appropriate			
		Behaviors: Percent				Behaviors: Percent Within			
		Increased Rate of Growth				Age Expectation State			
Indicator 7C	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	94.29%	Yes	60.25%	54.42%	54.05%	No
Note:									

Dorsont of Dors	ante with a Child Pac	niving Special Educatio	n Sonvisos Who Donort Tha	Milford School District t Schools Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of	Improving Convisos and Boo	ulto for Childron with Di	abilitios	
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State <u>Target</u> 91.50%	State Data 81.60%	Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> 1	<u>Number Agree</u> 1	Number Disagree 0	LEA Data <u>% Agree</u> 100.00%	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes*
Note:		, surveys returned						
Disproportiona	ate Representation o	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Special Education a	d Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Ide	entification			
<u>Indicator 9</u>	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	<u>State Target</u> 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%			<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Compliant		<u>Met Target?</u> Compliant
Note:	State data reflect	s % of LEAs with Dispro	portionate Representation	as a result of inappropriate identification.				
Disproportiona	ate Representation o	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Specific Disability Ca	tegories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification	1			
Indicator 10	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 17.07%			<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Noncompliant		<u>Met Target?</u> Noncompliant
Note:	State data reflec	ts % of LEAs with Dispro	oportionate Representation	as a result of inappropriate identification.				
Evaluations Co	nducted Within 45 S	chool Days or 90 Calen	dar Days, Whichever is Less	, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation				
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.36%	Total Number of Initial <u>Evaluations</u> 118	Number Within Timelines 118	<u>Number Not Within</u> <u>Timelines</u> 0	<u>% LEA Data Within</u> <u>Timelines</u> 100.00%	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note:								
-		nt of Children Referred nplemented by Their Th		o Are Found Eligible for Part B, and				
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Number of Children Found</u> <u>Eligible/IEP Implemented</u> by Age 3	Number of Students Referi and/or Parent		LEA Data % Who Received Services by Age 3	Met Target?
	2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	32	32		100.00%	Yes
Note:								

D				Milford School District				
	•	th grade with an IEP T	hat Includes Coord	inated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services Th	hat Will Reasonably Enable t	he Student to Meet		
the Post-Second	School Year 2023-2024	<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 99.59%	Total Number of IEPs <u>Reviewed</u> 302	Number of IEPs Meeting Standard 302		LEA Data % Meeting Standard 100.00%	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note:								
One Year of Lea	aving High School, G	roup B. Enrolled in Hig	her Education or C	chool, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, ly Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of I	or Group C. Enrolled in High			
					Total Number of			
Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2022-2023	<u>State Target</u> 50.14%	<u>State Data</u> 51.09%	<u>Total Number of Exiters</u> 46	<u>Respondents</u> 35	<u>Group A Respondents</u> -	<u>LEA Data % Group A</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> No
		<u>State Target</u> 70.82%	<u>State Data</u> 63.99%			Group B Respondents 16	LEA Data % Group B 45.71%	<u>Met Target?</u> No
Note:		<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 71.78%			Group C Respondents 18	LEA Data % Group C 51.43%	<u>Met Target?</u> No
Timely and Acc	urate Data		<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	Data Child Count Exiting Data Discipline Data Maintenance of Effort Excess Cost Focused/Cyclical Monitoring Coordinated Early Intervening Services/Comprehensive Coordia	nted Early Intervening Servic	es	Compliant? Yes Yes Yes Yes N/A Yes	
Additional Rele	evant Audit Findings		<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	Audit Cyclical Monitoring of Special Educaiton Records Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting			<u>Compliant?</u> N/A N/A Yes	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates or LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21 who exited from high school.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	<ul> <li>Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:</li> <li>Participation rate for children with IEPs.</li> </ul>	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	<ul> <li>Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:</li> <li>Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.</li> </ul>	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. <i>Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.</i>	NA = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency.
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. <i>Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled</i> <i>for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full</i> <i>academic year. Only include children with disabilities</i> <i>who had an IEP at the time of testing.</i>	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	<ul> <li>State Determination:</li> <li>Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio).</li> <li>LEA Determination:</li> <li>Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled &gt; than 10 days</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled &gt; than 10 days</li> <li>An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell.</li> <li>An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio.</li> <li>An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio).</li> <li>Note: For SY 2022-2023: N Size = 0/Rate Ratio = 3.0</li> </ul>	
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	<ul> <li>Percent of districts that have:</li> <li>(a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and</li> <li>(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>State Determination:</li> <li>Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio).</li> <li>LEA Determination:</li> <li>Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled</li> <li>&gt; than 10 days</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled</li> <li>&gt; than 10 days</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>General Ed Students Suspended &gt; than 10 days</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>General Ed Students in LEA</li> <li>An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell.</li> <li>An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance.</li> <li>An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant.</li> <li>Note: For SY 20222-2023: Cell Size = 3/Rate Ratio = 3.0</li> </ul>	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	<ul> <li>A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served:</li> <li>B. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day</li> <li>C. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day</li> <li>D. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/ hospital placements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs)] times 100.</li> <li>C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100.</li> </ul>	
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	<ul> <li>Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5</li> <li>who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a:</li> <li>A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program</li> <li>B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.</li> <li>C. Receiving special education and related services in the home.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> <li>C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100.</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive social- emotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding. If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	<ul> <li>NA – LEA did not receive any survey responses.</li> <li>Yes* = LEA met the target with 5 or less survey responses.</li> <li>No* = LEA did not meet the target and had 5 or less survey responses.</li> </ul>



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
	Percent of districts with	State Determination	State data reflects %
	disproportionate	Number of LEAs with disproportionate	of districts with
	representation of racial	representation of racial and ethnic groups in special	Disproportionate
	and ethnic groups in	education and related services	Representation as a
	special education and	Divided by	result of
	related services that is	representation of racial and ethnic groups in special	inappropriate
	the result of	education and related services that is the result of	identification.
	inappropriate identification.	inappropriate identification	
		LEA Determination	
		LEA Compliant:	
		LEA was not identified with Disproportionate	
		Representation as a result of both conditions:	
		<ul> <li>LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk</li> </ul>	
		ratio of 2.0	
		<ul> <li>LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in</li> </ul>	
		one or more racial and ethnic groups in special	
		education and related services	
		LEA was not identified with Disproportionate	
		Representation as a result of one of the conditions:	
9		<ul> <li>LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or</li> </ul>	
(20 U.S.C.		<ul> <li>LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in</li> </ul>	
1416(a)(3)(C))		one or more racial and ethnic groups in special	
1410(0)(0)(0))		education and related services	
		LEA was identified with Disproportionate	
		Representation as a result of both conditions,	
		however Disproportionate Representation was not	
		the result of inappropriate identification:	
		<ul> <li>LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of</li> </ul>	
		2.0	
		<ul> <li>LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one</li> </ul>	
		or more racial and ethnic groups in special	
		education and related services	
		LEA Noncompliant:	
		LEA Noncompliant:	
		LEA was identified with Disproportionate     Representation as a result of both conditions and	
		Disproportionate Representation was the result of	
		inappropriate identification:	
		• LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of	
		2.0	
		LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more	
		racial and ethnic groups in special education and related	
		services.	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Description Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	Business Rule           State Determination           Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification           Divided by           Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100.           LEA Determination           LEA Compliant:           •         LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of <u>both conditions</u> : •           •         LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0           •         LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.           •         LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of <u>one of the conditions</u> : •           •         LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 <u>or</u> •           •         LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.           •         LEA did neet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0           •         LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0           •         LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.           LE	Note(s) State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	<ul> <li>A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination</li> <li>B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday</li> <li>C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays</li> <li>D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied</li> <li>E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
13 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Description Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age- appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	Business Rule Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with IEPs that contain each of the required components for secondary transition Divided by Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above)	Note(s) NA - LEA did not have students of transition age in grade 8 or ages 14 and above.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	<ul> <li>Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:</li> <li>Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.</li> <li>Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.</li> <li>Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school</li> <li>B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school</li> <li>C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school]</li> </ul>	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
Timely/ Accurate Data	<ul> <li>Timely/accurate submission of the following data:</li> <li>Child Count Data (2023-2024 SY)</li> <li>Exiting Data (2023- 2024 SY)</li> <li>Discipline Data (2023-2024 SY)</li> <li>Maintenance of Effort (FY 2024)</li> <li>Excess Cost (FY 2024)</li> <li>Focused Monitoring (2023-2024 SY)</li> <li>CEIS/CCEIS Identification (2023- 2024 SY)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Total points earned</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>Total possible Point</li> <li>Scoring <ul> <li>2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance</li> <li>1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance</li> <li>0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance</li> </ul> </li> <li>O points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance</li> </ul>	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	<ul> <li>Additional Relevant</li> <li>Audit Findings include</li> <li>the following:</li> <li>Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records (2023-2024 SY)</li> <li>Fiscal Cyclical Monitoring (2023- 2024 SY)</li> <li>Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting (2023- 2024 Report)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Total points earned</li> <li>Divided by</li> <li>Total possible Point</li> <li>Scoring <ul> <li>2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance</li> <li>1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance</li> <li>0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	