

Department of Education

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 education.delaware.gov Cynthia Marten
Secretary of Education
302-735-4000
302-739-4654 (fax)

May 29, 2025

Evelyn Edney, Ed.D. Head of School Early College School @ Delaware State University 1570 North DuPont Highway Dover, DE 19901

RE: FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Edney:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2023, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

Indicator 1D

•	indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
		Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
		Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Discussion of the December of the Delete of the Libertific of the

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Cignificant Discrepancy in the Data of Lang Torm Cyananaiana and

Early College @ Delaware State University FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Results:

•	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
•	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate

• Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Behaviors

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Needs Assistance (Year 2) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to engage in DDOE directed actions to address areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Jalee Brown, will be in contact with you to discuss required actions and provide technical assistance. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich
Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/JS Attachment

cc: Cynthia Marten, Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support Cecil Gordon, Board President Nyia McCants, Ed.D., Principal Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office Jalee Brown, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 7.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2023 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2023 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

Indicator 4B
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

• Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Results:

Indicator 1 Graduate RateIndicator 2 Drop-Out Rate

Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Behaviors

	Criteria													
Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA is engaged in Tier I Universal Monitoring Corrective Action Plan.	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier II Focused Monitoring.								
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier III Targeted Monitoring Intervention Plan.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 1 year								
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged in Tier IV Intensive Monitoring Compliance Agreement.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 2 years	and/ or	LEA is Needs Assistance for 2 consecutive years						
Needs Substantial Intervention	=	LEA in Needs Intervention for 3 or more consecutive years												

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Early College School at Delaware State University

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	17.07%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2023-2024	100.00%	98.36%	-	0	2
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%	100.00%	2	2
	-		<u> </u>			
Timely / Accurate Submission:				100.00%	2	2
Additional Relevant Audit Findings				NA	NA	NA

Note: For Indicators 11, 12, 13: If LEA data is 95% - 100%, LEA score = 2 If LEA data is 75% - 94.90%, LEA score = 1 If LEA data <75%, LEA Score = 0

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Larry Conces Se	moor at	DCIawai	. State o	Inversity		Early College School at Delaware State University									
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points									
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%	-	1	1									
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%	0.00%	1	1									
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - ELA															
Grade 4		95.00%	97.44%	NA	NA	NA									
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	NA	NA	NA									
High School		95.00%	88.35%	-	1	1									
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - MATH															
Grade 4		95.00%	97.16%	NA	NA	NA									
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	NA	NA	NA									
High School		95.00%	88.29%	-	1	1									
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - ELA															
Grade 4		28.54%	13.01%	NA	NA	NA									
Grade 8	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	NA	NA	NA									
High School		24.69%	8.85%	-	0	1									
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATH Grade 4	I	28.04%	13.98%	NA	NA	NA									
Grade 8	2023-2024	20.85%	3.42%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA									
High School	2023-2024	20.38%	1.89%	- -	0	1									
riigii school		20.38%	1.8370	-	J	ı									
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA															
Grade 4		33.17%	25.25%	NA	NA	NA									
Grade 8	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	NA	NA	NA									
High School		44.54%	9.35%	NA	NA	NA									
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH															
Grade 4		37.35%	5.05%	NA	NA	NA									
Grade 8	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	NA	NA	NA									
High School		23.90%	14.02%	NA	NA	NA									
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	Under Threshold	1	1									
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%	97.50%	1	1									
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%	-	1	1									
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%	-	1	1									

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Early College So	cnool at	Delaware	e State U	niversity		
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 6A: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program						
Age 3		>=36.90%	35.41%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2023-2024	>=42.70%	42.89%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		>=47.90%	46.15%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 6B: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility						
Age 3		<=39.50%	47.12%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2023-2024	<=39.50%	42.26%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		<=37.10%	40.13%	NA	NA	NA
to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4	2023-2024	<=1.80% <=0.80%	0.66%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Age 5		<=0.60%	0.33%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2023-2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA	NA
Determination Summary			Ar	nual Determir	nation:	
LEA Compliance Indicators Points	5			Needs Assista	nce	

Determination Summary		Annual Determination:	
LEA Compliance Indicators Points	5	Needs Assistance	
Possible Compliance Indicator Points	7		
LEA Timely/Accurate Data Points	2		
Possible Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	Note:	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	NA]	
Possible Additional Relevant Audit Findings	NA		
LEA Results Indicator Points	8]	
Possible Results Indicator Points	10	1	
LEA Total Points	15]	
Possible Total Points	19	1	
Percentage:	78.95%	1	

C					i at Delaware State O				
Graduation Rat Indicator 1 Note:	School Year 2022-2023	State Target 82.12%	<u>State Data</u> 81.82%			<u>Total Students Exited</u> -	Graduated with a Regular Diploma -	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u> -	Met Target Yes
Drop-Out Rate									
Indicator 2 Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2022-2023	State Target 7.67%	<u>State Data</u> 10.79%			<u>Total Students Exited</u> -	<u>Drop-Outs</u> -	LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u> 0.00%	Met Target Yes
Participation Ra	ate for Children with	IEPs - ELA							
Indicator 3A	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024 2023-2024	State Target 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 97.44% 93.20%	Grade 4 8	<u>Subject</u> ELA ELA	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA -	Number Tested NA -	LEA Data <u>Percent Tested</u> NA NA	Met Target? NA NA
	2023-2024 3-2024 = FFY 2023 the specified grade	95.00% level, the LEA does no	88.35% t have students or does n	HS ot have students eligible to	ELA take the assessment.				Yes
Participation Ra	ate for Children with		State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year 2023-2024 2023-2024 2023-2024	State <u>Target</u> 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 97.16% 92.62% 88.29%	<u>Grade</u> 4 8 HS	<u>Subject</u> MATH MATH MATH	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA -	Number Tested NA -	Percent Tested NA NA	Met Target NA NA Yes
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023	93.00%	86.23/0	113	WATT				res
N/A = In	the specified grade	level, the LEA does no	t have students or does n	ot have students eligible to	take the assessment.				
Proficiency Rat	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement S	tandards - ELA					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024 2023-2024	State Target 28.54% 24.57%	State <u>Data</u> 13.01% 7.81%	<u>Grade</u> 4 8	<u>Subject</u> ELA ELA	<u>Number Tested</u> NA -	Number Meets NA -	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency NA NA	Met Target NA NA
N/A = In	·	24.69% level, the LEA does no	8.85% t have students, the LEA c	HS does not have students eligi	ELA ble to take the assessment, o	- or the LEA had students eligible	- to take the test but zero	- students participated in	No the
assessm Proficiency Rate		demic Achievement S	tandards - MATH					LEA Data	
ndicator 3B	School Year	State Target	State <u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested_	Number Meets	% of SWD Meeting Proficiency	Met Target
	2023-2024	28.04%	13.98%	4 8	MATH MATH	NA .	NA -	NA NA	NA NA
	2023-2024 2023-2024	20.85% 20.38%	3.42% 1.89%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	No

assessment.

Proficiency Ra	ate in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	33.17%	25.25%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	44.54%	9.35%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SY - 202	23-2024 = FFY 2023								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH LEA Data												
		State	State									
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?			
	2023-2024	37.35%	5.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	2023-2024	23.90%	14.02%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Note: SV 20	22 2024 - EEV 2022											

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ency Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - ELA			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	27.19%	26.04%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	35.36%	32.71%	8	ELA	-	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	32.69%	35.90%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	No

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - MATH			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.60%	24.91%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	28.58%	21.39%	8	MATH	-	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.68%	16.58%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	Yes

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Significant Disc				Early College School at		•			
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ion and Expulsion	s of Students with Disabilities					
		·							
							Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	41	475	-		0.00	Yes
	2022 2020	3.3273	3.32/0		5			0.00	. 65
Notes									
Note:									
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ions and Expulsion	s of Students with Disabilities by Rad	e/Ethnicity and Noncomp	liant Policies, Procedures, and	Practices		
J	• •	·	•	•	•				
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Hispanic/Latino	-	_	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native American		NA	NA NA	NA	NA
					22	NA .			
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	African American	32	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	White	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Multi-Racial	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
Note:									
D . (01.11	l Maril IED A		W 1	A 15: 245 11:11 B	. al agg/ sa ful	_			
Percent of Child	aren with IEPS Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	i Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regul	iar Class 80% or More of th	іе рау			
							Number of SWD	LEA Data	
Indicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	<u>In LRE A</u>	<u>% in LRE A</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%			40	39	97.50%	Yes
Note:									
Porcent of Chile	dron With IEDs Agos	E Who Aro Enrolled in	Vindorgarton and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regul	ar Class Loss Than 40% of	the Day			
reitent of thin	uren with itrs Ageu	13 WIIO ATE ETITOREU II	i Kiliueigai teli aliu	Aged 0 to 21 Served Hiside the Regul	lai Class Less IIIaii 40% Oi	the Day			
	School Year								
Indicator 5B			- - .				Number of SWD In		
		State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	Number of SWD In LRE B	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target?
	2023-2024	State Target 13.59%	<u>State Data</u> 15.07%			Number of SWD 40		LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target? Yes
	2023-2024							LRE Data % in LRE B -	_
Note:	2023-2024							LRE Data % in LRE B -	_
Note:	2023-2024							LRE Data % in LRE B -	_
		13.59%	15.07%	Agad 6 to 21 Sarvad In Sanarata Sah	ools Pesidontial Sacilities	40	LRE B -	LRE Data % in LRE B -	_
		13.59%	15.07%	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40	LRE B - Placements	-	_
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	13.59%	15.07%	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD	- LRE Data	Yes
	dren With IEPs Agec <u>School Year</u>	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital <u>Number of SWD</u>	LRE B - Placements	-	Yes Met Target?
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	13.59%	15.07%	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD	- LRE Data	Yes
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Agec <u>School Year</u>	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital <u>Number of SWD</u>	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD	- LRE Data	Yes Met Target?
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Agec <u>School Year</u>	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital <u>Number of SWD</u>	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD	- LRE Data	Yes Met Target?
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Agec <u>School Year</u>	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities,	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital <u>Number of SWD</u>	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD	- LRE Data	Yes Met Target?
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Agec <u>School Year</u>	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital <u>Number of SWD</u>	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD	- LRE Data	Yes Met Target?
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note:	dren With IEPs Aged <u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03%	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53%			40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C -	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> -	Yes Met Target?
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note: Preschool Envir	dren With IEPs Aged School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent o	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% of Children Aged 3 to 5	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula	ır Early Childhood Program and Rece	iving the Majority of Speci	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early	LRE Data % in LRE C - c Childhood Program	Yes Met Target? Yes
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note:	dren With IEPs Aged School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent of School Year	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% of Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula State Data	ır Early Childhood Program and Rece <u>Age</u>	iving the Majority of Speci <u>Total Number of SWD</u>	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early	LRE Data % in LRE C Childhood Program Percent Receiving	Yes Met Target? Yes
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note: Preschool Envir	dren With IEPs Aged School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent o	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% of Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target >=36.90%	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula State Data 35.41%	or Early Childhood Program and Rece <u>Age</u> 3	iving the Majority of Speci <u>Total Number of SWD</u> NA	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early Total in 6A NA	LRE Data % in LRE C - Childhood Program Percent Receiving NA	Yes Met Target? Yes Met Target NA
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note: Preschool Envir	dren With IEPs Aged School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent of School Year	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% 6 Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target >=36.90% >=42.70%	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula State Data 35.41% 42.89%	or Early Childhood Program and Rece <u>Age</u> 3 4	iving the Majority of Speci <u>Total Number of SWD</u> NA NA	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early Total in 6A NA NA	LRE Data % in LRE C - Childhood Program Percent Receiving NA NA	Yes Met Target? Yes Met Target NA NA
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note: Preschool Envir	dren With IEPs Aged School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent of School Year	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% of Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target >=36.90%	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula State Data 35.41%	or Early Childhood Program and Rece <u>Age</u> 3	iving the Majority of Speci <u>Total Number of SWD</u> NA	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early Total in 6A NA	LRE Data % in LRE C - Childhood Program Percent Receiving NA	Yes Met Target? Yes Met Target NA
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note: Preschool Envir Indicator 6A	School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent of School Year 2023-2024	13.59% 15 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% 16 Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target >=36.90% >=42.70% >=47.90%	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula State Data 35.41% 42.89% 46.15%	or Early Childhood Program and Rece <u>Age</u> 3 4 5	iving the Majority of Speci <u>Total Number of SWD</u> NA NA	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early Total in 6A NA NA	LRE Data % in LRE C - Childhood Program Percent Receiving NA NA	Yes Met Target? Yes Met Target NA NA
Percent of Child Indicator 5C Note: Preschool Envir	School Year 2023-2024 ronments: Percent of School Year 2023-2024	13.59% 5 Who Are Enrolled in State Target 4.03% 6 Children Aged 3 to 5 State Target >=36.90% >=42.70%	15.07% n Kindergarten and State Data 4.53% Attending a Regula State Data 35.41% 42.89% 46.15%	or Early Childhood Program and Rece <u>Age</u> 3 4 5	iving the Majority of Speci <u>Total Number of SWD</u> NA NA	40 , and in Homebound/Hospital Number of SWD 40	LRE B - Placements Number of SWD In LRE C - ces in the Regular Early Total in 6A NA NA	LRE Data % in LRE C - Childhood Program Percent Receiving NA NA	Yes Met Target? Yes Met Target NA NA

				Early College School	ol at Delaware State Uni	iversity			
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate Sp	ecial Education Class, Sepa	rate School, or Residential Fac	cility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=39.50%	47.12%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=39.50%	42.26%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=37.10%	40.13%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	: have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Re	eiving Special Educat	tion and Related Services in	ı Home				
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=1.80%	0.66%	3	NA NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.80%	0.16%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.60%	0.33%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstrat	e Improved Skills in Positiv	e Social/Emotional Skills				
	<u>P</u>	7A1: Positive Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Increased Rate of				7A2: Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7A	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	Growth State Target 88.31%	<u>State Data</u> 80.40%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Expectation State Target 50.21%	<u>State Data</u> 44.78%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	Met Target NA
	2023-2024	00.51%	60.40%	INA	INA	50.21%	44.70%	INA	INA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstrat	e Improved Skills in Acquis	ition and Use of Knowledge ar	nd Skills			
	<u>P</u>	B1: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: ercent Increased Rate of				7B2: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7B	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	Growth State Target 87.72%	State Data 83.65%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Expectation State Target 47.62%	State Data 47.29%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	Met Target NA
	2023 2024	<i>57.727</i> 0	03.0370	74.7	14/1	47.0270	47.2370	147	10,
Note:	NA = LEA did not	: have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstrat	e Improved Skills in Use of	Appropriate Behaviors				
		7C1: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent ncreased Rate of Growth				7C2: Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State			
Indicator 7C	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA NA	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						

Early College School at Delaware State University Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That Schools Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Disabilities State State **Total Number of LEA Data School Year Number Disagree Indicator 8 Target Data** Respondents **Number Agree** % Agree **Met Target?** 0 2023-2024 91.50% 81.60% 100.00% Yes* Note: *LEA had 5 or less surveys returned Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification **School Year Indicator 9 State Target State Data LEA Data Compliant** Met Target? 2023-2024 0.00% 0.00% Compliant Compliant State data reflects % of LEAs with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. Note: Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification **School Year Indicator 10 State Data LEA Data Compliant** State Target Met Target? 2023-2024 0.00% 17.07% Compliant Compliant Note: State data reflects % of LEAs with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Less, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation

Total Number of Initial Number Not Within % LEA Data Within **School Year Indicator 11 Evaluations Number Within Timelines Timelines Timelines State Target State Data Met Target?** 2023-2024 100.00% 98.36% No

Note:

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

Eligible/IEP Implemented **Number of Students Referred Minus Not Eligible Received Services by Indicator 12 School Year** by Age 3 and/or Parent Refusals Age 3 **Met Target?** State Target State Data 2023-2024 100.00% 98.39% NA NA NA NA

Number of Children Found

Note: NA = LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.

LEA Data % Who

Percent of Youth Age 14 or in the 8th grade with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonably Enable the Student to Meet	
the Post-Secondary Goals	
	% Meeting
Indicator 13 School Year State Target State Data Reviewed Standard State	ndard Met Target?
2023-2024 100.00% 99.59% 33 33 100	0.00% Yes
Note:	
Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within	
One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in	
Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving	
<u>Total Number of</u>	
Indicator 14 <u>School Year</u> <u>State Target</u> <u>State Data</u> <u>Total Number of Exiters</u> <u>Respondents</u> <u>Group A Respondents</u> <u>LEA Data</u>	% Group A Met Target?
2022-2023 50.14% 51.09%	- Yes
State Target State Data Group B Respondents LEA Data	
70.82% 63.99% -	- Yes
State Target State Data Group C Respondents LEA Data	% Group C Met Target?
100.00% 71.78% -	- Yes
Note:	
	pliant?
	'es
	'es
·	'es
	'es
Excess Cost Y	'es
	I/A
	es
Coordinated Early Intervening Services/Comprehensive Coordianted Early Intervening Services	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings Coordinated Early Intervening Services/Comprehensive Coordianted Early Intervening Services Additional Relevant Audit Findings School Year Audit Com	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings School Year 2023-2024 Coordinated Early Intervening Services/Comprehensive Coordianted Early Intervening Services You Suppose the Services of Servic	pliant?
Additional Relevant Audit Findings School Year 2023-2024 Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services Additional Relevant Audit Findings School Year Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring	es pliant?



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates or LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21 who exited from high school.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	NA = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency.
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio). Note: For SY 2022-2023: N Size = 0/Rate Ratio = 3.0	
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have: • (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and • (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. • An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant. Note: For SY 20222-2023: Cell Size = 3/Rate Ratio = 3.0	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served: B. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day C. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day D. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	 A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. 	
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. C. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	 A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive socialemotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding. If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA – LEA did not receive any survey responses. Yes* = LEA met the target with 5 or less survey responses. No* = LEA did not meet the target and had 5 or less survey responses.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA Noncompliant: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100. LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	 A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100 	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
	Percent of youth with	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with	NA - LEA did not
	IEPs aged 16 and above	IEPs that contain each of the required components for	have students of
	with an IEP that includes	secondary transition	transition age in
	appropriate measurable	Divided by	grade 8 or ages 14
	postsecondary goals	Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and	and above.
	that are annually	above)	
	updated and based		
	upon an age-		
	appropriate transition		
	assessment, transition		
	services, including		
	courses of study, that		
	will reasonably enable		
	the student to meet		
	those postsecondary		
	goals, and annual IEP		
13	goals related to the		
(20 U.S.C.	student's transition		
•	services needs. There		
116(a)(3)(B))	also must be evidence		
	that the student was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting where		
	transition services are to		
	be discussed and		
	evidence that, if		
	appropriate, a		
	representative of any		
	participating agency was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting with the prior		
	consent of the parent or		
	student who has		
	reached the age of		
	majority.		



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	 A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school) Itimes 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
Timely/ Accurate Data	Timely/accurate submission of the following data: Child Count Data (2023-2024 SY) Exiting Data (2023-2024 SY) Discipline Data (2023-2024 SY) Maintenance of Effort (FY 2024) Excess Cost (FY 2024) Focused Monitoring (2023-2024 SY) CEIS/CCEIS Identification (2023-2024 SY)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	Additional Relevant Audit Findings include the following: Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records (2023-2024 SY) Fiscal Cyclical Monitoring (2023- 2024 SY) Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting (2023- 2024 Report)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	