

Department of Education

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 education.delaware.gov Cynthia Marten
Secretary of Education
302-735-4000
302-739-4654 (fax)

May 29, 2025

Maura Triebenbacher, Ed.D. Interim President Charter School of Wilmington 100 North Dupont Road Wilmington, DE 19807

RE: FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Triebenbacher:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2023, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

•	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
		Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
		Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

FFY 2023 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Results:

Indicator 1 Graduate RateIndicator 2 Drop-Out Rate

Indicator 3A
 Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7
 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of

Appropriate Behaviors

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Needs Assistance (Year 1) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to engage in DDOE directed actions to address areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Barbara Mazza, will be in contact with you to discuss required actions and provide technical assistance. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich
Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/JS Attachment

cc: Cynthia Marten, Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support

Chonnie Blair, Board Chair

Lindsay Maiorano, Special Services Coordinator

Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 7.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2023 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2023 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Compliance:

Indicator 4B
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

Indicators 9 & 10
 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

Indicator 12
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

• Timely/Accurate Data Child Count Data, Exiting Data, Discipline Data, Maintenance

of Effort, Excess Cost, Focused Monitoring, CEIS/CCEIS

Relevant Audit Findings Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records, Cyclical

Fiscal Monitoring, Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting

Results:

Indicator 1 Graduate RateIndicator 2 Drop-Out Rate

Indicator 3A Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment

Indicator 3B Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level

Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 3C
 Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPS against Grade Level

Modified and Alternate Academic Achievement Standards

Indicator 4A
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities

Indicator 5 Education Environments (Children 5-21)
 Indicator 6 Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)

Indicator 7 Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,

Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate

Behaviors

				Criteria				
Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA is engaged in Tier I Universal Monitoring Corrective Action Plan.	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier II Focused Monitoring.		
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged Tier III Targeted Monitoring Intervention Plan.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 1 year		
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/ or	LEA is engaged in Tier IV Intensive Monitoring Compliance Agreement.	and/ or	Outstanding Noncompliance beyond 2 years	and/ or	LEA is Needs Assistance for 2 consecutive years
Needs Substantial Intervention	=	LEA in Needs Intervention for 3 or more consecutive years						

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Charter School of Wilmington

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2023-2024	0.00%	17.07%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2023-2024	100.00%	98.36%	100.00%	2	2
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%	-	0	2
Timely / Accurate Submission:				100.00%	2	2
Additional Relevant Audit Findings				NA	NA	NA

Note: For Indicators 11, 12, 13: If LEA data is 95% - 100%, LEA score = 2 If LEA data is 75% - 94.90%, LEA score = 1 If LEA data <75%, LEA Score = 0

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

	<u> </u>	oi oi wiin	migron			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2022	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%	0.00%	1	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - ELA						
Grade 4		95.00%	97.44%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	NA	NA	NA
High School		95.00%	88.35%	-	1	1
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate for Children with IEPs - MATH						
Grade 4		95.00%	97.16%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	NA	NA	NA
High School		95.00%	88.29%	-	1	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - ELA						
Grade 4		28.54%	13.01%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	NA	NA	NA
High School	1	24.69%	8.85%	-	0	1
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATH Grade 4		28.04%	13.98%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	20.85%	3.42%			
High School	2023-2024	20.38%	1.89%	NA -	NA 0	NA 1
riigii Scriooi		20.36%	1.89%	-	Ü	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - ELA						
Grade 4		33.17%	25.25%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	NA	NA	NA
High School		44.54%	9.35%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in the Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - MATH						
Grade 4		37.35%	5.05%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	NA	NA	NA
High School		23.90%	14.02%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%	Under Threshold	1	1
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%	-	1	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%	-	1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%	-	1	1

Spring 2025 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2023

Indicator 6A: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program	Chai	rter Scho	ol ot Wiln	nington			
to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program Age 3	Results Indicators	From: (Time	_	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6B: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Improved Skills in Vac of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors							
Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 68: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 6 Age 7 Age 8 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Residential Facility Age 9 Age 9 Age 1 Age 9 Age 1 Age 1 Age 9 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Reserving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 6 Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Age 1 Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 4 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 6 Age 7	5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the						
Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6B: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors			>=36.90%	35.41%	NA	NA	NA
Second S		2023-2024					NA
to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors			>=47.90%	46.15%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate	3					
Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9 A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<=39.50%	47.12%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5 Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Uithin Age Expectation Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Indicator 7A: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Within Age Expectation Age 3 Age 4 Age 5 Age 6 Age 7 Age 6 Age 7 Age 7 Age 8 Age 9		2023-2024	<=39.50%	42.26%	NA	NA	NA
to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home Age 3 Age 4 Age 4 Age 5 Colored Colore			<=37.10%	40.13%	NA	NA	NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 88.31% 80.40% NA	e 4	2023-2024	<=0.80%	0.16%	NA	NA	NA NA NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7B: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 88.31% 80.40% NA		<u> </u>	<=0.60%	0.33%	NA	I NA	NA NA
Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 50.21% 44.78% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N							
Percent Within Age Expectation 50.21% 44.78% NA	rcent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 Indicator 7C: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth 2023-2024 89.32% 83.65% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	rcent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation 2023-2024 47.62% 47.29% NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	monstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledg	ge					
Percent Within Age Expectation 47.62% 47.29% NA	rcent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	NA
Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth 89.32% 83.37% NA	rcent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA	NA
2023-2024							
2023-2024	rcent Increase Rate of Growth	2022 2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation 60.25% 54.42% NA NA NA	rcent Within Age Expectation	2023-2024	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA	NA
Determination Summary Annual Determination:	etermination Summary			An	nual Determir	nation:	

Determination Summary		Annual Determination:
LEA Compliance Indicators Points	5	Needs Assistance
Possible Compliance Indicator Points	7	
LEA Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	
Possible Timely/Accurate Data Points	2	Note:
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	NA	1
Possible Additional Relevant Audit Findings	NA	
LEA Results Indicator Points	7	1
Possible Results Indicator Points	9	1
LEA Total Points	14]
Possible Total Points	18	1
Percentage:	77.78%	1

				Charter 50	nooi or wilmington				
Graduation Rate							Graduated with a	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u>	
Indicator 1	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	Regular Diploma	Graduated	Met Target?
	2022-2023	82.12%	81.82%			NA	-	NA	NA
Note:			154 111 11						
N/A = LEA nad g	graduates but no spe	cial education graduat	es or LEA did not have ai	ny graduates or the LEA had	no special education students	eligible to graduate			
Drop-Out Rate									
Indicator 2	Cabaal Vaar	Shaka Tayank	Chaha Daha			Takal Chudanka Fuikad	Duna Outo	LEA Data % SWD who Dropped	Mat Towart?
Indicator 2	School Year	State Target	State Data			Total Students Exited	<u>Drop-Outs</u>	<u>Out</u>	Met Target?
Notes	2022-2023	7.67%	10.79%			NA	-	0.00%	Yes
Note:									
Participation Ra	ate for Children with	IEPs - ELA State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2023-2024	95.00%	97.44%	4	ELA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	93.20%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	88.35%	HS	ELA		-	-	Yes
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023	33.0070	00.0070		-2.				. 65
N/A = In	the specified grade		have students or does n	ot have students eligible to	take the assessment.				
Participation Ra	ate for Children with								
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3A	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2023-2024	95.00%	97.16%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	92.62%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	95.00%	88.29%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	Yes
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023								
N/A = In	the specified grade	evel, the LEA does not	have students or does n	ot have students eligible to	take the assessment.				
Proficiency Rate	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement St State	andards - ELA State					LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.54%	13.01%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	24.57%	7.81%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	24.69%	8.85%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	No
Note: SY - 2023	3-2024 = FFY 2023	21.03/0	0.0370	110	LU.				110
N/A = In	the specified grade	evel, the LEA does not	have students, the LEA	does not have students eligib	ole to take the assessment, or	the LEA had students eligible t	o take the test but zero	students participated in	the
assessme									
Proficiency Rate	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement St State	andards - MATH State					LEA Data % of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.04%	13.98%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.85%	3.42%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.38%	1.89%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	No

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

assessment.

Proficiency Ra	ate in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	33.17%	25.25%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	45.17%	17.48%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	44.54%	9.35%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SY - 202	23-2024 = FFY 2023								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Proficiency Ra	ate in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - MATH					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	37.35%	5.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	30.21%	11.65%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	23.90%	14.02%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SV 20	22 2024 - EEV 2022								

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - ELA			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	27.19%	26.04%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	35.36%	32.71%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	32.69%	35.90%	HS	ELA	-	-	-	No

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficie	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	el Academic Achieven	nent Standards) - MATH			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	28.60%	24.91%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	28.58%	21.39%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2023-2024	20.68%	16.58%	HS	MATH	-	-	-	No

Note: SY - 2023-2024 = FFY 2023

N/A = In the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students, the LEA does not have students eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

				Charter Scho	ool of Wilmington				
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ion and Expulsions	of Students with Disabilities					
			•						
							Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	9.52%	9.52%					0.00	Yes
	2022 2023	3.3270	3.3270					0.00	103
Note:									
Significant Disc	renancy in the Rate	of Long-Torm Suspens	ions and Evnulsion	s of Students with Disabilities by Rac	e/Ethnicity and Noncomn	liant Policies Procedures and	Dractices		
Significant Disc	reparity in the nate	or Long-Term Suspens	ions and Expuision.	s or students with bisabilities by had	e, Ethinicity and Honcomp	nanti oncies, i roccuares, ana	Tractices		
Indicator AD	School Voor	State Target	State Date	Pose	CWD Envalled	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Mot Torgot?	LEA Data (Bata Batia)	Compliant?
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Race	SWD Enrolled	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Hispanic/Latino	-		Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native American	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	African American	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	White	-	-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Asian American		-	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2022-2023	0.00%	33.33%	Multi-Racial	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	Yes	0.00	Compliant
	2022 2020								
Nata									
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regul	ar Class 80% or More of th	ne Day			
							Number of SWD	LEA Data	
Indicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE A	% in LRE A	Met Target?
	2023-2024	67.54%	65.11%						Yes
	2023 2024	07.5470	03.1170						103
Nata.									
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regul	ar Class Less Than 40% of	the Day			
							Number of SWD In		
Indicator 5B	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	LRE B	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target?
	2023-2024	13.59%	15.07%						Yes
	2023 2024	13.3370	13.0770						163
NI-4									
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sch	ools, Residential Facilities	, and in Homebound/Hospital	Placements		
							Number of SWD	LRE Data	
Indicator 5C	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE C	% in LRE C	Met Target?
	2023-2024	4.03%	4.53%						Yes
	2023-2024	4.05/0	4.55/0						163
Note:									
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent o	f Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regula	r Early Childhood Program and Rece	iving the Majority of Speci	al Education and Related Servi	ces in the Regular Early	Childhood Program	
Indicator 6A	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age_	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6A	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	>=36.90%	35.41%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
	2023 2024								
		>=42.70%	42.89%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		>=47.90%	46.15%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not l	nave students ages 3-5	in a preschool prog	gram.					

				Charter	school of Wilmington				
Preschool Envi	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate S _l	ecial Education Class, Sep	arate School, or Residential Fa	cility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=39.50%	47.12%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=39.50%	42.26%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=37.10%	40.13%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	: have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Envi	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Re	ceiving Special Educa	tion and Related Services i	n Home				
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2023-2024	<=1.80%	0.66%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.80%	0.16%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.60%	0.33%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	: have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstra	te Improved Skills in Positi	ve Social/Emotional Skills				
		7A1: Positive				7A2: Positive			
	:	Social/Emotional Skills:				Social/Emotional Skills:			
	<u>P</u>	ercent Increased Rate of				Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7A	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	88.31%	80.40%	NA	NA	50.21%	44.78%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	: have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstra	te Improved Skills in Acqui	sition and Use of Knowledge ar	nd Skills			
	<u>7E</u>	31: Acquisition and Use of				7B2: Acquisition and Use of			
		Knowledge and Skills:				Knowledge and Skills:			
	——————————————————————————————————————	ercent Increased Rate of				Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7B	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data</u>	Met Target
	2023-2024	87.72%	83.65%	NA	NA	47.62%	47.29%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	: have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	reschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstra	te Improved Skills in Use of	f Appropriate Behaviors				
	-	7C1: Use of Appropriate				7C2: Use of Appropriate			
		Behaviors: Percent				Behaviors: Percent Within			
	_	ncreased Rate of Growth				Age Expectation State			
Indicator 7C	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2023-2024	89.32%	83.37%	NA	NA	60.25%	54.42%	NA	NA
Note:	NA = LEA did not	have students ages 3-5 in	a preschool program.						

				Charter School of Wilmington				
Percent of Pare	ents with a Child Rec	eiving Special Educatio	n Services Who Report That	t Schools Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Mean	s of Improving Services and Res	ults for Children with Dis	sabilities	
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State <u>Target</u> 91.50%	State <u>Data</u> 81.60%	Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> 0	<u>Number Agree</u> 0	Number Disagree 0	LEA Data <u>% Agree</u> NA	Met Target?
Note:	NA = LEA did not r	eceive any survey resp	onses.					
Disproportiona	ate Representation o	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Special Education and	d Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate	e Identification			
Indicator 9	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%			LEA Data Compliant Compliant		Met Target? Compliant
Note:	State data reflects	% of LEAs with Dispro	portionate Representation a	as a result of inappropriate identification.				
Disproportiona	ate Representation o	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Specific Disability Cat	tegories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identifica	ition			
Indicator 10	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 17.07%			LEA Data Compliant Compliant		Met Target? Compliant
Note:	State data reflect	cs % of LEAs with Dispro	oportionate Representation a	as a result of inappropriate identification.				
Evaluations Co	nducted Within 45 S	chool Days or 90 Calen	dar Days, Whichever is Less,	, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation				
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2023-2024	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.36%	<u>Total Number of Initia</u> <u>Evaluations</u> -	<u>Number Within Timelines</u> -	Number Not Within Timelines 0	% LEA Data Within Timelines 100.00%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
•		t of Children Referred oplemented by Their Th	•	o Are Found Eligible for Part B, and			LEA Data % M/ha	
				Number of Children Fou	<u>INO</u> ed Number of Students Refer	rod Minus Not Eligible	LEA Data % Who	

				Eligible/IEP Implemented	Number of Students Referred Minus Not Eligible	Received Services by	
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	by Age 3	and/or Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target?
	2023-2024	100.00%	98.39%	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA = LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B. Note:

				Charter School of Wilmington				
Percent of Your	th Age 14 or in the 8	th grade with an IEP Th	nat Includes Coord	nated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Service	es That Will Reasonably Enable t	he Student to Meet		
the Post-Secon	dary Goals							
	•			Total Number of IEP	s Number of IEPs Meeting		LEA Data % Meeting	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	Reviewed	<u>Standard</u>		<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2023-2024	100.00%	99.59%	-	-		-	No
Note:								
Post-School Ou	tcomes-Percent of	Youth Who Are No Long	ger In Secondary S	chool, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and V	ere: Group A. Enrolled in Highe	r Education Within		
				ompetitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High Sch		ner Education or in		
Some Other Po	st-Secondary Educa	tion or Training Progra	m; or Competitive	y Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Yea	r of Leaving			
					Total Number of			
Indicator 14	School Voor	State Target	State Data	Total Number of Exite	Total Number of	Group A Bospondonts	LEA Data % Group A	Mot Torgot?
indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2022-2023	State Target 50.14%	<u>State Data</u> 51.09%	Total Number of Exite	<u>rrs</u> <u>Respondents</u>	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?
	2022-2023	50.14%	51.09%		- -	•	•	Yes
		State Target	State Data			Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	Met Target?
		70.82%	63.99%			-	-	Yes
		70.0270	03.3370					163
		State Target	State Data			Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target?
		100.00%	71.78%			-	-	Yes
Note:								
Timely and Acc	urate Data		School Year	<u>Data</u>			Compliant?	
			2023-2024	Child Count			Yes	
				Exiting Data			Yes	
				Discipline Data			Yes	
				Maintenance of Effort			Yes	
				Excess Cost			Yes	
				Focused/Cyclical Monitoring			N/A	
				Coordinated Early Intervening Services/Comprehensive Coo	rdianted Early Intervening Service	es	Yes	
Additional Rele	evant Audit Findings		School Year	<u>Audit</u>			Compliant?	
			2023-2024	Cyclical Monitoring of Special Educaiton Records			N/A	
				Cyclical Fiscal Monitoring			N/A	
				Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting			N/A	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates or LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA did not have students ages 14-21 who exited from high school.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level or the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment.
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: Include children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	NA = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment Meeting Proficiency = The LEA had students in the specified grade who were tested. 0 met proficiency.
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio). Note: For SY 2022-2023: N Size = 0/Rate Ratio = 3.0	
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have: • (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and • (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established cell or "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. • An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established cell or "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant. Note: For SY 20222-2023: Cell Size = 3/Rate Ratio = 3.0	



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served: B. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day C. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day D. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	 A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 22 with IEPs times 100. 	
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. C. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	 A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive socialemotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding. If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA – LEA did not receive any survey responses. Yes* = LEA met the target with 5 or less survey responses. No* = LEA did not meet the target and had 5 or less survey responses.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA Noncompliant: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100. LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	 A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100 	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
	Percent of youth with	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with	NA - LEA did not
	IEPs aged 16 and above	IEPs that contain each of the required components for	have students of
	with an IEP that includes	secondary transition	transition age in
	appropriate measurable	Divided by	grade 8 or ages 14
	postsecondary goals	Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and	and above.
	that are annually	above)	
	updated and based		
	upon an age-		
	appropriate transition		
	assessment, transition		
	services, including		
	courses of study, that		
	will reasonably enable		
	the student to meet		
	those postsecondary		
	goals, and annual IEP		
13	goals related to the		
(20 U.S.C.	student's transition		
•	services needs. There		
116(a)(3)(B))	also must be evidence		
	that the student was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting where		
	transition services are to		
	be discussed and		
	evidence that, if		
	appropriate, a		
	representative of any		
	participating agency was		
	invited to the IEP Team		
	meeting with the prior		
	consent of the parent or		
	student who has		
	reached the age of		
	majority.		



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	 A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school) Itimes 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.



Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note(s)
Timely/ Accurate Data	Timely/accurate submission of the following data: Child Count Data (2023-2024 SY) Exiting Data (2023-2024 SY) Discipline Data (2023-2024 SY) Maintenance of Effort (FY 2024) Excess Cost (FY 2024) Focused Monitoring (2023-2024 SY) CEIS/CCEIS Identification (2023-2024 SY)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	
Additional Relevant Audit Findings	Additional Relevant Audit Findings include the following: Cyclical Monitoring of Special Education Records (2023-2024 SY) Fiscal Cyclical Monitoring (2023- 2024 SY) Single Audit/Delaware Division of Accounting (2023- 2024 Report)	Total points earned Divided by Total possible Point Scoring • 2 points = Percentage reflects at least 95% compliance • 1 point = Percentage reflects at least 75% and less than 95% compliance • 0 points = Percentage reflects less than 75% compliance	