

**DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Division of Youth Rehabilitative Services

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 29, 2025

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: March 17, 2025

Date review summary was publicly posted: March 19, 2025

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Verification
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per the documents submitted, 596 breakfasts were served; however, 597 breakfasts were claimed. This resulted in an over-claim of one breakfast in December 2024. Per the documents submitted, 595 lunches were served; however, 594 lunches were claimed. This resulted in an under-claim of one lunch in December 2024. 				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The minimum weekly whole grain rich requirement was not met for breakfast. Over the course of the review week, 52.56% of grains were whole-grain rich. The minimum daily meat/meat alternate requirement of 2-ounce equivalents was not met on one day during the review week. The minimum weekly meat/meat alternate requirement of 14-ounces equivalents was not met. The minimum daily grain requirement of 2-ounce equivalents was not met on two days during the week of review. The minimum weekly whole grain-rich was not met for lunch. Over the course of the week of review, 22.54% of grains were whole grain rich. The minimum daily vegetable requirement of one cup was not met on two days. During the week of review, only 1/4 cup of dark green vegetables were offered; the requirement over the course of the week is 1/2 cup. No beans, peas, and lentils were offered; the requirement over the course of the week is 1/2 cup. The minimum daily fruit requirement was not met on 13 days. The weeks of December 1, 8, 22, and 29, 2024, did not meet the weekly fruit minimum as a result of the days on which only 1/2 cup was served. The minimum daily vegetable requirement was not met on five days. During the week of December 8-14, 2024, no dark green vegetables were offered; the requirement over the course of the week is 1/2 cup. The minimum daily fruit requirement of one cup was not met on the day of review. 				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Food Safety
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Competitive Foods
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Buy American, Afterschool Snack		
Finding(s) Details:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One item was not in compliance with the Buy American Provision (an exception was not on file at the time of the review). Production records did not include the correct portion sizes for items. 				

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Per the meal count documents and production records, 425 snacks were served; however, 442 snacks were claimed. This resulted in an over-claim of 17 snacks in December 2024.
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>D. Civil Rights</p> <p>Finding(s) Details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A review of documents identified that the Residential Child Care Institution Individual Income Eligibility Form used the old USDA Nondiscrimination Statement (NDS). The SFA entered an incorrect version of the USDA NDS in the DENARS compliance module, question #800.