

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

May 30, 2023

Aaron Bass Chief Executive Officer EastSide Charter School 3000 North Claymont Street Wilmington, DE 19802

RE: FFY 2021 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Bass:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2021, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following results and compliance indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3A	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3B	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic
		Achievement Standards
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Alternate Academic
		Achievement Standards
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and
		Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 5-21)
0	Indicator 6	Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,
		Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate
		Behaviors

May 30, 2023 EastSide Charter School FFY 2021 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

Compliance:

Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and

Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and

Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

o Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

o Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations

o Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

o Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Assistance</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to analyze related data and develop a continuous improvement plan addressing areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Dr. Rachael Rudinoff, will be in contact with *Katelyn Whelan, Director of Student Support* to provide technical assistance and discuss possible actions, including the development or revision of a Continuous Improvement Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail dale.matusevich@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Dale Matusevich

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

DM/JS:bd Attachment

cc: Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D., Secretary of Education

Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary, Academic Support

Jocelyn Stewart, Board Chair

Katelyn Whelan, Director of Student Support

Leroy Travers, Education Associate, Charter School Office

Rachael Rudinoff, Ed.D., Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2021 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2021 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3A	Participation Rate for Children with IEPs in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3B	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate for Children with IEPs against Alternate Academic Achievement Standards
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 5-21)
0	Indicator 6	Preschool Environments (Children 3-5)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills,
		Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate
		Behaviors

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and
		Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and
		Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On-Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

				East Sig	de Charter School				
Graduation Ra Indicator 1 Note: N/A = LEA had	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	<u>State Target</u> 81.12% pecial education gradua	<u>State Data</u> 80.97% ates or LEA did not have	any graduates		<u>Total Students Exited</u> NA	<u>Graduated with a</u> <u>Regular Diploma</u> NA	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u> NA	Met Target? NA
Drop-Out Rate	<u>School Year</u> 2020-2021	State Target 8.27%	<u>State Data</u> 9.99%			<u>Total Students Exited</u> NA	<u>Drop-Outs</u> -	LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note: NA = LEA had :	special education stu	udents ages 14-21 but o	did not have any special	education students who ex	ited.				
NA = LEA had	special education stu Rate for Children wit		did not have any special	education students who ex	ited.				
NA = LEA had : Participation F	Rate for Children wit	h IEPs - ELA State	State			No. of the late	No	LEA Data	Mattanata
NA = LEA had	Rate for Children wit	h IEPs - ELA State <u>Target</u>	State <u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Number Eligible</u>	Number Tested	LEA Data <u>Percent Tested</u>	Met Target?
NA = LEA had : Participation F	Rate for Children wit School Year 2021-2022	h IEPs - ELA State <u>Target</u> 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 95.64%		<u>Subject</u> ELA	<u>Number Eligible</u> - -	Number Tested - -		Yes
NA = LEA had : Participation F	Rate for Children wit	h IEPs - ELA State <u>Target</u> 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 95.64% 90.10%	<u>Grade</u> 4 8	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Number Eligible</u> - - NA	-	Percent Tested - -	Yes Yes
NA = LEA had s Participation F Indicator 3A	Rate for Children wit School Year 2021-2022 2021-2022	h IEPs - ELA State <u>Target</u> 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 95.64%	<u>Grade</u> 4	<u>Subject</u> ELA ELA	- - -	-		Yes
NA = LEA had s Participation F Indicator 3A Note: SY - 202 N/A = I	School Year 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 21-2022 = FFY 2021 LEA does not have st	h IEPs - ELA State <u>Target</u> 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 95.64% 90.10% 81.08%	<u>Grade</u> 4 8 HS	<mark>Subject</mark> ELA ELA ELA	- - -	- - NA	Percent Tested - - NA	Yes Yes NA
Participation F Indicator 3A Note: SY - 202 N/A = I the tes	School Year 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 21-2022 = FFY 2021 LEA does not have st	h IEPs - ELA State Target 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 95.64% 90.10% 81.08%	<u>Grade</u> 4 8 HS	<mark>Subject</mark> ELA ELA ELA	- - NA	- - NA	Percent Tested - - NA	Yes Yes NA
Participation F Indicator 3A Note: SY - 202 N/A = I the tes	School Year 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 21-2022 = FFY 2021 LEA does not have st	h IEPs - ELA State Target 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> 95.64% 90.10% 81.08%	<u>Grade</u> 4 8 HS	<mark>Subject</mark> ELA ELA ELA	- - NA	- - NA	Percent Tested - - NA	Yes Yes NA
Participation F Indicator 3A Note: SY - 202 N/A = I the tes	School Year 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 21-2022 = FFY 2021 LEA does not have st	h IEPs - ELA State Target 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% cudents in the specified participated in the asse	State Data 95.64% 90.10% 81.08% I grade level, the LEA do	<u>Grade</u> 4 8 HS	<mark>Subject</mark> ELA ELA ELA	- - NA	- - NA	Percent Tested NA e specified grade level e	Yes Yes NA
Participation F Indicator 3A Note: SY - 202 N/A = I the tes	School Year 2021-2022 2021-2022 2021-2022 21-2022 = FFY 2021 LEA does not have st tbut zero students p	h IEPs - ELA State Target 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% cudents in the specified participated in the asse	State Data 95.64% 90.10% 81.08% I grade level, the LEA do essment.	Grade 4 8 HS	<u>Subject</u> ELA ELA ELA specified grade level eligible	NA to take the assessment, or the	- NA LEA had students in th	Percent Tested NA e specified grade level e	Yes Yes NA

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Proficiency Rate	e in Grade Level Aca	demic Achievement S	tandards - ELA					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2021-2022	22.42%	13.42%	4	ELA	-	-	-	No
	2021-2022	17.29%	8.62%	8	ELA	-	-	-	No
	2021-2022	17.45%	8.04%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SY - 2021	L-2022 = FFY 2021								

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Proficiency Rate in Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards - MATH LEA Data										
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting		
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?	
	2021-2022	21.78%	13.12%	4	MATH	-	-	-	No	
	2021-2022	12.63%	3.45%	8	MATH	-	-	-	No	
	2021-2022	11.92%	2.44%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Note: SV - 202	1-2022 - EEV 2021									

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Pi	roficiency Rat	e in the Alternate Ac	ademic Achievement	Standards - ELA					LEA Data	
			State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
In	dicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
		2021-2022	28.35%	41.12%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		2021-2022	43.79%	27.50%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		2021-2022	42.98%	22.14%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
N	ote: SY - 2021	1-2022 = FFY 2021								

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Proficiency Rat	e in the Alternate Ac	cademic Achievement	Standards - MATH					LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2021-2022	33.75%	11.21%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2021-2022	24.55%	15.83%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2021-2022	16.44%	6.02%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: SV - 202	1-2022 - EEV 2021								

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Proficier	ncy Rates (Grade Lev	vel Academic Achiever	nent Standards) - ELA	LEA Data	LEA Data				
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3D	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2021-2022	30.21%	27.10%	4	ELA	-	-	-	Yes
	2021-2022	39.30%	33.04%	8	ELA	-	-	-	Yes
	2021-2022	36.33%	39.10%	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note:

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Gap in Prof	iciency Rates (Grade Lev	vel Academic Achieve	ment Standards) - MATH			LEA Data	LEA Data		
		State	State			% of ALL Meeting	% of SWD Meeting	Proficiency	
Indicator 3	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>Proficiency</u>	<u>GAP</u>	Met Target?
	2021-2022	31.78%	22.22%	4	MATH	-	-	-	Yes
	2021-2022	31.76%	20.10%	8	MATH	-	-	-	Yes
	2021-2022	22.98%	21.84%	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note:

N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but zero students participated in the assessment.

Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ion and Expulsions	s of Students with Disabilities					
							Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2020-2021	32.00%	0.00%	60	389	-	-	0.00	Yes
Note:									
Note:									
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ions and Expulsion	s of Students with Disabilities by Ra	ce/Ethnicity and Noncomp	oliant Policies. Procedures. and	Practices		
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Race	SWD Enrolled	SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Hispanic/Latino	-	<u>-</u>	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Native American	-	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	African American	55	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	White	-	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Multi-Racial	-	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
Note:									
Daycout of Chile	dron With IEDs Asse	d E Mha Ara Enrallad in	. Kindorgorton and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regu	ular Class 200/ or More of t	ho Day			
Percent of Child	uren with iers aget	u 5 Willo Are Elifolieu II	i Kiliuergarten anu	Aged 6 to 21 Served Hiside the Regt	ilar Class 60% or More or t	пе рау	Number of SWD	LEA Data	
Indicator 5A	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE A	% in LRE A	Met Target?
illulcator 5A	2021-2022	65.54%	64.95%			58	36	62.07%	No
	2021-2022	05.54%	04.93%			36	30	02.07/6	NO
Note:									
Percent of Child	dren With IEPs Aged	d 5 Who Are Enrolled in	Kindergarten and	Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regu	ılar Class Less Than 40% of	the Day			
	School Voor						Number of SWD In		
Indicator 5B	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	<u>LRE B</u>	LRE Data % in LRE B	Met Target?
	2021-2022	14.59%	15.11%			58	-	-	No
Note:									
Daycout of Chile	dron With IEDs Asse	d E Mha Ara Enrallad in	. Kindorgorton and	Agad 6 to 21 Campad In Canarata Ca	hools Desidential Essilities	and in Homohound/Hospital	Discoments		
Percent of Child	uren with iers aget	u 5 Wilo Are Elifolieu II	i Kiliuergarteli aliu	Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Sc	noois, Residential Facilities	s, and in nomebound/nospital	Number of SWD	LRE Data	
Indicator 5C	School Year	State Target	State Data			Number of SWD	In LRE C	% in LRE C	Met Target?
	2021-2022	4.73%	4.77%			58	<u> </u>		Yes
Note:									
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent o	of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regula	ar Early Childhood Program and Reco	eiving the Majority of Spec	ial Education and Related Serv	rices in the Regular Ear	ly Childhood Program	
Indicator 6A	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6A	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2021-2022	>=36.70%	32.42%	3	NA		NA	NA NA	NA
		>=41.10%	36.36%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		>=44.30%	36.33%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:									

Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Att	ending a Separate	Special Education Class, Sep	arate School, or Residential Fa	acility			
Indicator 6B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Age</u>	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6B	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2021-2022	<=40.40%	50.78%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=40.40%	47.97%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=38.90%	48.55%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:									
Preschool Envir	ronments: Percent	of Children Aged 3 to 5 Rec	ceiving Special Educ	ation and Related Services in	n Home				
Indicator 6C	School Year	State Target	State Data	Age	Total Number of SWD		Total in 6C	Percent Receiving	Met Target
	2021-2022	<=1.8%	0.91%	3	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.8%	0.47%	4	NA		NA	NA	NA
		<=0.6%	0.96%	5	NA		NA	NA	NA
Note:									
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstr	ate Improved Skills in Positiv	ve Social/Emotional Skills				
		7A1: Positive				7A2: Positive			
		Social/Emotional Skills :				Social/Emotional Skills :			
		Percent Increased Rate of				Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7A	School Year	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2021-2022	86.50%	85.59%	NA NA	NA NA	48.42%	53.86%	NA NA	NA
	2021 2022				10/1				
Note:									
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstr	ate Improved Skills in Acquis	sition and Use of Knowledge a	and Skills			
	71	31: Acquisition and Use of				7B2: Acquisition and Use of			
		Knowledge and Skills:				Knowledge and Skills:			
	D	ercent Increased Rate of				Percent Within Age			
Indicator 7P	_	Growth State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Expectation State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
Indicator 7B	School Year						State Data		
	2021-2022	87.27%	85.73%	NA	NA	46.62%	49.83%	NA	NA
Note:									
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent of P	Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demonstr	ate Improved Skills in Use of	f Appropriate Behaviors				
		•		·					
		7C1: Use of Appropriate				7C2: Use of Appropriate			
		Behaviors: Percent				Behaviors: Percent Within			
	<u>lı</u>	ncreased Rate of Growth				Age Expectation State			
Indicator 7C	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target	Target	State Data	LEA Data	Met Target
	2021-2022	88.65%	85.59%	NA NA	NA NA	 59.65%	61.94%	NA NA	NA
Note:									

				East Side Charter School	
Percent of Pare	ents with a Child Rec	eiving Special Educatio	n Services Who Report Th	at Schools Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	
Indicator 8 Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2021-2022 Yes*=LEA had 5 o	State <u>Target</u> 90.50% or less surveys returned	State <u>Data</u> 91.33%	Total Number of Respondents 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Met Target? Yes*
Disproportiona	te Representation o	of Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Special Education a	and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification	
Indicator 9	School Year 2021-2022	State Target 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%	<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Yes	Met Target? Yes
Note: State dat	ta reflects % of distr	icts with Disproportion	ate Representation as a re	esult of inappropriate identification.	
Disproportiona	te Representation o	of Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Specific Disability C	Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification	
Indicator 10	<u>School Year</u> 2021-2022	State Target 0.00%	State Data 2.44%	<u>LEA Data Compliant</u> Yes	Met Target? Yes
Note: State dat	ta reflects % of distr	ricts with Disproportion	ate Representation as a re	esult of inappropriate identification.	
Evaluations Cor	nducted Within 45 S	chool Days or 90 Calen	dar Days, Whichever is Les	ss, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation	
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2021-2022	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.31%	Total Number of Initial EvaluationsNumber Within TimelinesNumber Not Within Timelines% LEA Data Within Timelines0100.00%	Met Target? Yes
Note:					
-		nt of Children Referred nplemented by Their Tl	•	ho Are Found Eligible for Part B, and	
Indicator 12	<u>School Year</u> 2021-2022	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 97.43%	Number of Children Found Eligible/IEP Implemented by Age 3 NA NA NA NA LEA Data % Who Received Services by Age 3 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Met Target? NA
Note:					

Percent of You	th Age 14 or in the 8	th grade with an IEP TI	hat Includes Coordinated, I	Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services Th	at Will Reasonably Enable	the Student to Meet		
the Post-Secon	dary Goals							
				Total Number of IEPs	Number of IEPs Meeting		LEA Data % Meeting	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Standard</u>		<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2021-2022	100.00%	93.68%	-	-		-	Yes
Note:								
Post-School Ou	utcomes-Percent of Y	outh Who Are No Long	ger In Secondary School, H	ad IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were:	Group A. Enrolled in Highe	er Education Within		
				vely Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School,		her Education or in		
Some Other Po	ost-Secondary Educat	tion or Training Progra	m; or Competitively Emplo	yed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of L	eaving			
					Tatal Number of			
Indicator 14	School Year	State Target	State Data	Total Number of Exiters	<u>Total Number of</u> Respondents	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?
mulcator 14	2020-2021	46.14%	51.09%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2020-2021	40.1476	31.03%	IVA	INA	INA	INA	INA
		State Target	State Data			Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	Met Target?
		66.82%	63.99%			NA	NA NA	NA
		00.0270	00.0070					
		State Target	State Data			Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target?
		100.00%	71.78%			NA	NA	NA
Note:								

Spring 2023 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2021

East Side Charter School							
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points	
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2020-2021	81.12%	80.97%	NA	NA	NA	
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2020-2021	8.27%	9.99%	-	1	1	
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-ELA							
Grade 4 Grade 8 High School	2021-2022	95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	95.64% 90.10% 81.08%	- - NA	1 1 NA	1 1 NA	
Indicator 3A: Participation Rate-MATH							
Grade 4 Grade 8 High School	2021-2022	95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	95.40% 89.95% 81.22%	- - NA	1 1 NA	1 1 NA	
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-ELA							
Grade 4 Grade 8 High School	2021-2022	22.42% 17.29% 17.45%	13.42% 8.62% 8.04%	- - NA	0 0 NA	1 1 NA	
Indicator 3B: Proficiency Rate in Regular Assessment-MATH							
Grade 4 Grade 8 High School	2021-2022	21.78% 12.63% 11.92%	13.12% 3.45% 2.44%	- - NA	0 0 NA	1 1 NA	
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in Alternate Assessment-ELA							
Grade 4 Grade 8 High School	2021-2022	28.35% 43.79% 42.98%	41.12% 27.50% 22.14%	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate in Alternate Assessment-MATH Grade 4		33.75%	11.21%	NA	NA	NA	
Grade 8 High School	2021-2022	24.55%	15.83% 6.02%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
		2011170	0.0270				
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2020-2021	32.00%	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1	
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class 80% or More of the Day	2021-2022	65.54%	64.95%	62.07%	0	1	
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served Inside the Regular Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2021-2022	14.59%	15.11%	-	0	1	
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children With IEPs Aged 5 Who Are Enrolled in Kindergarten and Aged 6 to 21 Served In Separate Schools, Residential Facilities, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	2021-2022	4.73%	4.77%	-	1	1	

Spring 2023 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2021

East Side Charter School						
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 6A: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Regular Early Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority of Special Education and Related Services in the Regular Early Childhood Program						
Age 3		36.70%	32.42%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2021-2022	41.10%	36.36%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		44.30%	36.33%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 6B: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Attending a Separate Special Education Class, Separate School, or Residential Facility						
Age 3		40.40%	50.78%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2021-2022	40.40%	47.97%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		38.90%	48.55%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 6C: Preschool Environments: Percent of Children Aged 3 to 5 Receiving Special Education and Related Services in Home						
Age 3		1.80%	0.91%	NA	NA	NA
Age 4	2021-2022	0.80%	0.47%	NA	NA	NA
Age 5		0.60%	0.96%	NA	NA	NA
	•				•	
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2021-2022	86.50%	85.59%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2021-2022	48.42%	53.86%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2021-2022	87.27%	85.73%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2021-2022	46.62%	49.83%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2021-2022	88.65%	85.59%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2021-2022	59.65%	61.94%	NA	NA	NA

Spring 2023 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2021

East Side Charter School

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2021	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2020-2021	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2021-2022	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2021-2022	0.00%	2.44%	Compliant	1	1
	•		•		•	•
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2021-2022	100.00%	98.31%	100.00%	2	2
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2021-2022	100.00%	97.43%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2021-2022	100.00%	93.68%	-	2	2

Note: For Indicators 11, 12, 13: If LEA data is 95% - 100%, LEA score = 2 If LEA data is 75% - 94.90%, LEA score = 1 If LEA data <75%, LEA Score = 0

Determination Summary		Annual Determination:	
Compliance Indicators Score	7	Needs Assistance	
Possible Points:	7		
Results Indicators Score	7	Intervention Plan /Compliance Agreements	No
Possible Points:	13	Intervention Plan /Compliance Agreement:	No
Score Total	14		
Out of a Possible:	20		
Percentage:	70.00%		



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 http://education.delaware.gov

Mark A. Holodick, Ed.D. Secretary of Education (302) 735-4000 (302) 739-4654 - fax

FFY 2021 IDEA LEA Annual Determination Business Rules

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
1 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had graduates but no special education graduates or LEA did not have any graduates.
2 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.	Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out Divided by the number of all youth with IEPs who left high school (ages 14-21) in the denominator.	NA = LEA had students ages 14-21 who exited but did not have special education students. NA = LEA had special education students ages 14- 21 but did not have any special education students who exited.
3A (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: Participation rate for children with IEPs.	Number of children with IEPs participating in an assessment Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The participation rate is based on all children with IEPs, including both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
3B (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the regular assessment. Calculate separately for math and reading. Account for ALL children with IEPs, in grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes children not participating in assessments and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only includes children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
3C (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments: • Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.	Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned for the alternate assessment. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes both children with IEPs enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only includes children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment
3D (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments: Gap in proficiency rates for children with IEPs and for all students against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards Subtracted from the proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards. Calculate separately for reading and math. Calculate separately for grades 4, 8, and high school. Note: The proficiency rate includes all children enrolled for a full academic year and those not enrolled for a full academic year. Only include children with disabilities who had an IEP at the time of testing.	N/A = LEA does not have students in the specified grade level, the LEA does not have students in the specified grade level eligible to take the assessment, or the LEA had students in the specified grade level eligible to take the test but 0 students participated in the assessment * = Percent of students with disabilities meeting proficiency was greater than the percent of ALL meeting proficiency resulting in a negative proficiency gap.
4A (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days • An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. • An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. • An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio). Note: For SY 2020-2021: N Size = 15/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State Determined Ratio for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
4B (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A); 1412(a)(22))	Percent of districts that have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	State Determination: Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size Divided by The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students in LEA An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant. Note: For SY 2020-2021: Cell Size = 10/Rate Ratio = 2.0 State Determined Ratio for data reported is a rate ratio of 2.0 for 3 consecutive years or has a cell size of 5 and a rate ratio of 5.0	
5 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served: A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.	 A. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100. B. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class less than 40% of the day Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs)] times 100. C. Number of children with IEPs aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements Divided by the total number of students aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 with IEPs times 100. 	

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
6 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of children with IEPs aged 3, 4, and 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program attending a: A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. Receiving special education and related services in the home.	 A. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. B. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. C. # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs receiving special education and related services in the home Divided by the total # of children ages 3, 4, and 5 with IEPs times 100. 	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5.
7 (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))	Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in the Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.	NA - LEA did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program.
8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A))	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.	Number of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities. Indicator data is documented out to two decimal points. Meets or does not meet target is based on the percentage out to two decimal points without rounding. If a respondent indicated unsure or N/A or if the item was left blank, that respondent was removed from the total number of respondents (denominator) and was not counted in LEA's Data % Agree.	NA - Data were not reported for the LEA.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
9 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
10 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State-established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100. LEA Determination LEA Compliant: LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions: LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 or LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. LEA Noncompliant: LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification: LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0 LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 2.0	State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.
11 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B)) (14 DE Admin Code § 925.2.0)	Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less, of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days, whichever is less Evaluation met the exception (a) criteria (the parent of the child repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the child for the evaluation). The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance. Evaluation met the exception (b) criteria (the child enrolls in a school of another public agency after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the child's previous public agency as to whether the child is a child with a disability. Exception (b) applies only if the subsequent public agency is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent and subsequent public agency agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.) The initial evaluation was found to be in compliance.	NA - no initial evaluations were reported.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
12 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	 A. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination B. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday C. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays D. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied E. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100 	NA - LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.
13 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an ageappropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with IEPs that contain each of the required components for secondary transition Divided by Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above)	NA - LEA did not have students of transition age in grade 8 or ages 14 and above.

Indicator	Description	Business Rule	Note/s
14 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B))	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school. • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school. • Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.	A. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number or respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school	NA - LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education.
		B. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school Divided by the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school	
		C. Number of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment Divided by	
		the number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	