DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: <u>Department of Correction</u>						
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 8, 2025						
Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: February 26, 2025						
Date review summary was publicly posted: February 27, 2025						
The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligib SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness pocompliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minotification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equiv	meals, the results of the review of the licy, and competitive foods), nimum, this would include the written					
General Program Participation						
1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority par	ticipate in? (Select all that apply)					
x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program ☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program ☐ Afterschool Snack ☐ Special Milk Program ☐ Seamless Summer Option						
2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provision	ns? (Select all that apply)					
 □ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3 						
Review Findings						
3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food x Yes □ No	Authority?					
If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in th	e table on the following page.					

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
	_	YES	NO	
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance
			Х	Verification
		X		Meal Counting and Claiming
		Findin	g(s) Det	
		•		SFA used the monthly dollar amount on the federal Income Eligibility
			Guid	elines for a household of one instead of using the actual income wed by the child on the individual RCCI Income Eligibility forms.
				the eligibility determination was not checked on the forms.
		•		ne of the reviewed sites, per the documents submitted, 167 breakfasts
				served; however, 172 breakfasts were claimed. This resulted in an
				claim of five breakfasts in December 2024. Per the documents
				itted, 172 lunches were served; however, 174 lunches were claimed.
				resulted in an over-claim of two lunches in December 2024.
		•	At on	e of the reviewed sites, per the documents submitted, 2517 breakfasts
				served; however, 2498 breakfasts were claimed. This resulted in an
			unde	-claim of 19 breakfasts in December 2024. Per the documents
			subm	itted, 2501 lunches were served; however, 2499 lunches were
				ed. This resulted in an under-claim of two lunches in December
			2024	
X				terns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	
		X		Meal Components and Quantities
			X	Offer versus Serve
		X		Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
		Findin	g(s) Det	
		•		result of the documentation provided and SFA practices reviewed, the
				is exceeding the weekly calorie and sodium levels at breakfast at the
				wed site. As a result of the documentation provided and SFA
				ices reviewed, the SFA is exceeding the weekly calorie levels at
				at the reviewed sites.
		•		th reviewed sites, the minimum daily meat/meat alternate
				rement was not met on three days during the review week. The
				num weekly meat/meat alternate requirement was not met during the
				w week. The minimum daily fruit requirement was not met on six during the week of review. The weekly fruit requirement of seven
				for a 7-day week was not met during the review week. Only 1/8 cup
				rchy vegetables were offered during the week of review. The
				num daily fruit requirement was not met on 10 days during the
				w month. The minimum weekly fruit requirement was not met three
				s during the review month. The minimum daily vegetable
			requi	rement was not met on eight days during the month of review. The
			minir	num weekly vegetable requirement was not met the week of
				mber 16, 2024.
X				utrition Environment
		YES	NO	
			X	Food Safety
		X		Local School Wellness Policy
			X	Competitive Foods
		X		Other: Buy American, On-Site Monitoring, Professional Standards
		Tr' 1'	g(s) Det	raile:

	 Three items were not in compliance with the Buy American Provision (an exception was not on file at the time of the review). No documentation was provided by the SFA for the breakfast or lunch reviews conducted during SY 2023-2024 for the reviewed sites. The SFA did not update the Wellness Policy by the June 30, 2017, deadline. The SFA did not accurately track professional standards hours for SY 23-24. 	
X	D. Civil Rights	
	Finding(s) Details: N/A	