

**DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Silver Lake Treatment Consortium

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): March 17, 2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 31, 2023

Date review summary was publicly posted: _____

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement			
		YES	NO		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Certification and Benefit Issuance	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Verification	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming	
Finding(s) Details: The SFA's meal counts exceeded enrollment on two days during the month of review for both breakfast and lunch. The SFA incorrectly claimed breakfast and lunch meals based on census data rather than point of service meal count data. The claim was revised by the SA for both sites.					
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
		YES	NO		
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have signage at breakfast explaining to students what constitutes a reimbursable meal. There were insufficient quantities of beans/peas offered during the month of review. There were insufficient quantities (due to lack of supporting documentation) of meat/meat alternates during the week of review. The SFA was short 1/8 cup red/orange vegetables during the week of review. The SFA made allowable menu changes but did not adequately document the changes.					
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment			
		YES	NO		
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Competitive Foods	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: On-site Monitoring; Buy American; SFSP Outreach	
Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have a HACCP Plan that contained all required components. The SFA did not complete a triennial assessment of the wellness policy. The SFA did not conduct on-site monitoring by the February 1 deadline. The SFA purchased non-domestic cod, peas, and gluten-free penne pasta and did not have an exception on file to justify the purchases. The SFA did not conduct SFSP outreach.					
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights			
		Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have local-level complaint procedures in place as required by USDA.			