DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| School Food Authority Name: Milford School District |
|---|
| Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): <u>2/22/23</u> |
| Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 3/28/23 |
| Date review summary was publicly posted: April 13, 2023 |
| The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of th school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3). General Program Participation |
| General Frogram Farticipation |
| 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) |
| x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program x Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program x Afterschool Snack □ Special Milk Program □ Seamless Summer Option |
| 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) |
| x Community Eligibility Provision ☐ Special Provision 1 ☐ Special Provision 2 ☐ Special Provision 3 |
| Review Findings |
| Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? x Yes □ No |
| If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page |

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|---|--|
| | X | A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | | X | Certification and Benefit Issuance | |
| | | | X | Verification | |
| | | | X | Meal Counting and Claiming | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: N/A | | | |
| Х | | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | X | | Meal Components and Quantities | |
| | | | X | Offer versus Serve | |
| | | | X | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | |
| | | A review of the January 2023 production records for Benjamin Banneker Elementary School indicated that production did not support the claim for reimbursement at breakfast. There were several days where production records did not support that each student had the required minimum ½ cup of fruit at breakfast at Benjamin Banneker Elementary School. The SFA does not have signage to identify the food items that constitute the reimbursable meal at breakfast at Evelyn I. Morris Childhood School. There was one day (1/4/2023) where production records did not support the claim for reimbursement at Evelyn I. Morris Childhood School. On the same day, there were insufficient quantities of fruits and vegetables | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | documented on production records. | | | |
| X | | C. School Nutrition Environment | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | | X | Food Safety | |
| | | | X | Local School Wellness Policy | |
| | | | X | Competitive Foods | |
| | | X | Finding(s) Details: | | |
| | | Findin | | | |
| | | During the on-site observations, the reviewer identified one product at | | | |
| | | | Evelyn I. Morris Childhood School that was not compliant with the Buy American Provision. No exceptions were on file at the time of the review. During the on-site observations, the reviewer identified one product at Benjamin Banneker Elementary School that was not compliant with the | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | - | American Provision. No exceptions were on file at the time of the | |
| | v | review. D. Civil Rights | | | |
| | x D. Civil Rights Finding(s) Details: N/A | | | | |
| | | 1 IIIUIII | g(s) De | tans. 17/15 | |