## DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: <u>Lake Forest School District</u>					
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): November 29, 2022					
Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>December 21, 2022</u>					
Date review summary was publicly posted: December 21, 2022					
The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), and SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).					
General Program Participation					
1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)					
x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program ☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program ☐ Afterschool Snack ☐ Special Milk Program ☐ Seamless Summer Option					
2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)					
x Community Eligibility Provision  ☐ Special Provision 1 ☐ Special Provision 2 ☐ Special Provision 3					
Review Findings					
<ol> <li>Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?</li> <li>x Yes □ No</li> </ol>					
If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.					

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS				
	X	A. Program Access and Reimbursement				
		YES	NO			
			X	Certification and Benefit Issuance		
			X	Verification		
			X	Meal Counting and Claiming		
		Finding	ling(s) Details:			
X						
		YES	NO			
		X		Meal Components and Quantities		
			X	Offer versus Serve		
			x Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis			
		Finding(s) Details: There were insufficient weekly quantities of grains offered at				
		both sites during the week of review. The SFA did not meet the minimum				
		requirement that 80% of grains be whole grain rich during the week of review. On				
			the day of review, one breakfast was counted for reimbursement that did not			
			contain the required three items. The State Agency was unable to determine compliance with the CACFP meal pattern for pre-k students.			
		compitance with the CACLI linear pattern for pie-k students.				
X		C. School Nutrition Environment				
		YES	NO			
			X	Food Safety		
			X	Local School Wellness Policy		
			X	Competitive Foods		
		X		Other – Buy American, On-Site Monitoring		
		Finding(s) Details: Three items at one school and two items at the second school				
		were not compliant with the Buy American Provision. An exception was not on file				
			r to accepting the non-domestic products for use in the school foodservice. The			
			A did not conduct a review of the counting and claiming system for each school der its jurisdiction.			
		under 1	ts jurisc	diction.		
X	П	D. Civil Rights				
Λ	Ш	Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have a nutrition-specific Civil Rights				
			Complaint Procedure in place.			
		Compi	Complaint Frocedure in place.			
X		E. Resource Management				
		Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have adequate documentation to support the				
				indirect cost pool.		