DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| School Food Authority Name: Department of Correction | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 10/12/2022 Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 1/9/2022 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3) | | | | | |
| General Program Participation | | | | | |
| 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) | | | | | |
| x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program ☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program ☐ Afterschool Snack ☐ Special Milk Program ☐ Seamless Summer Option | | | | | |
| 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) | | | | | |
| □ Community Eligibility Provision □ Special Provision 1 □ Special Provision 2 □ Special Provision 3 | | | | | |
| Review Findings | | | | | |
| Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? x Yes □ No | | | | | |
| If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page. | | | | | |

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | | |
|-----|----|--|----|---|--|
| X | | A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | | X | Certification and Benefit Issuance | |
| | | | X | Verification | |
| | | X | | Meal Counting and Claiming | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: The SFA under-claimed breakfasts and lunches; less meals | | | |
| | | (seven breakfasts, seven lunches) were claimed than served per the meal count | | | |
| | | documentation. | | | |
| X | | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | X | | Meal Components and Quantities | |
| | | | X | Offer versus Serve | |
| | | X | | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | |
| | | Breakfast: Per the documentation provided by the SFA, the SFA is exceeding the | | | |
| | | weekly calorie and sodium levels at breakfast. | | | |
| | | Lunch: At lunch, during the week of review and month of review, the minimum | | | |
| | | daily vegetable requirement (one cup) was not met on several days; this created a | | | |
| | | shortage in the weekly minimum vegetable requirement. The minimum daily fruit | | | |
| | | requirement was not met on one day; this created a shortage in the weekly | | | |
| | | minimum fruit requirement. During two weeks of the review month, insufficient | | | |
| | | vegetable subgroups were served. Only 3/4 cup of red/orange vegetables were | | | |
| | | offered both weeks (the requirement over the course of the week is 1 1/4-cup). The | | | |
| | | daily meat/meat alternate requirement of 2-ounce equivalents was not met on two | | | |
| | | days during the review week. | | | |
| X | | C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO | | | |
| | | | NO | Food Cofee | |
| | | | X | Food Safety | |
| | | X | | Local School Wellness Policy | |
| | | | X | Competitive Foods Other | |
| | | X Eindin | | | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have documentation that a triennial assessment | | | |
| | | of the Wellness Policy was completed by the June 30, 2020 deadline as required by | | | |
| | | 2 CFR 210.31(e). Documentation of Professional Standards was not provided for the Director or the eight staff members who oversee each facility. The SFA did not | | | |
| | | provide tracking for Professional Standards hours for employees. | | | |
| X | | D. Civil Rights | | | |
| | _ | Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have the USDA Nondiscrimination Statement | | | |
| | | posted on its website (corrective action has taken place for this finding). In | | | |
| | | | | FA did not have Civil Rights Complaint Procedures in place. | |