DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| School Food Authority Name: <u>DAPI</u> | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of | Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): March 30, 2022 Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 5, 2022 Date review summary was publicly posted:July 29, 2022 | | | | | | |
| Date r | | | | | | | |
| Date r | | | | | | | |
| SFA's on school compli | view summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), a compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), ance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written ation of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3) | | | | | | |
| Genera | al Program Participation | | | | | | |
| 1. | What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply) | | | | | | |
| | x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program x Seamless Summer Option | | | | | | |
| 2. | Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply) | | | | | | |
| | x Community Eligibility Provision ☐ Special Provision 1 ☐ Special Provision 2 ☐ Special Provision 3 | | | | | | |
| Reviev | v Findings | | | | | | |
| 3. | Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority? x Yes \Box No | | | | | | |
| | If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page. | | | | | | |

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|--|--|
| X | | A. Program Access and Reimbursement | | | |
| | _ | YES | NO | | |
| | | | Х | Certification and Benefit Issuance | |
| | | | X | Verification | |
| | | X | | Meal Counting and Claiming | |
| | | Findin | g(s) De | tails: The SFA did not maintain documentation of the Community | |
| | | | | vision (CEP) base year data. Using the enrollment provided by the | |
| | | | | dInsight Direct Certification data, the State Agency (SA) validated | |
| | | | | year data. As a result, the CEP data (identified students and | |
| | | | | ere not correctly calculated by the SFA at the time of implementation. | |
| | | | rrective action has been provided and accepted. | | |
| X | | | | terns and Nutritional Quality | |
| | | YES | NO | Mari Commonanto and Occantition | |
| | | X | | Meal Components and Quantities | |
| | | | X | Offer versus Serve | |
| | | Fig. 1in | X | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: There were insufficient quantities of fruits and/or vegetables | | | |
| | | served on multiple days at lunch during the month of review. In addition, lunch production records were incomplete. There were insufficient quantities of fruits | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | erved on several days at breakfast during the month of review. In addition, reakfast production records were incomplete. The SFA did not identify the | | |
| | | breakfast items or the lunch items that constitute the reimbursable meal. Corrective | | | |
| | | action has been provided and accepted. | | | |
| X | | C. School Nutrition Environment | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | X | | Food Safety | |
| | | X | | Local School Wellness Policy | |
| | | | X | Competitive Foods | |
| | | X | | Other | |
| | | Findin | g(s) De | tails: The SFA did not have a HACCP Plan in place. Upon review of | |
| | | | | luction records, the reviewer noted that several temperatures were out | |
| | | | | . A triennial assessment of the Wellness Policy was not conducted by | |
| | | | | equired by USDA. The Wellness Policy was not posted on the SFA's | |
| | | | | as it made available to the public. The SFA did not conduct the | |
| | | | | te reviews. Corrective action has been provided and accepted. | |
| X | | | ivil Rig | | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have the full USDA Nondiscrimination posted | | | |
| | | | The SFA did not have civil rights complaint procedures in place. An on of the USDA Nondiscrimination Statement was provided by the | | |
| | SFA during the offsite review question period. Corrective | | | | |
| | | | _ | considereview question period. Confective action has been provided | |
| | and accepted. | | | | |