DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Charter School of New Castle

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 2/21/2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>4/3/2023</u>

Date review summary was publicly posted: ______ April 4, 2023

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
 - x School Breakfast Program
 x National School Lunch Program
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 x Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
 - x Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

x Yes 🗆 No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|--|--|
| Х | | A. Pro | Access and Reimbursement | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | | Х | Certification and Benefit Issuance | |
| | | | Х | Verification | |
| | | Х | | Meal Counting and Claiming/Special Provision Options (CEP) | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| | | | | ntage (ISP) and CEP claiming percentages. | |
| | | • | | FA underclaimed 195 breakfasts during the month of January 2023 due to | |
| | | | | counting discrepancies. | |
| | | • | | FA underclaimed 263 lunches during the month of January 2023 due to | |
| | | | | counting discrepancies. | |
| Х | | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | Х | | Meal Components and Quantities | |
| | | | Х | Offer versus Serve | |
| | | | Х | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis | |
| | | Finding(s) Details: | | | |
| | | Production records reflected that the weekly requirement for the starchy | | | |
| | vegetable subgroup wa | | | able subgroup was not met during one week of the review period. | |
| | | • | The S | FA does not identify the food items that constitute the reimbursable meal at | |
| | | breakfast. | | | |
| Х | | C. School Nutrition Environment | | | |
| | | YES | NO | | |
| | | | Х | Food Safety | |
| | | | Х | Local School Wellness Policy | |
| | | | Х | Competitive Foods | |
| | | х | | Other – Onsite Monitoring, Professional Standards, Summer Food Service | |
| | | | | Program (SFSP) Outreach, and Afterschool Snack Program (ASSP) | |
| | Finding | Finding(s) Details: | | | |
| | The SFA did not conduct the required on-site reviews by Febru | | | FA did not conduct the required on-site reviews by February 1. | |
| | | • The reviewer was not able to assess the training hours taken by Char | | | |
| | | | | Castle staff; training documentation was not provided. | |
| | | • The SFA did not provide tracking for Professional Standards hours for | | | |
| | | | | ol of New Castle School Nutrition employees. | |
| | | • | | chool Nutrition Program Director has not completed the required eight | |
| | | | | of food safety training. | |
| | | • | | FA has not conducted any reviews of the ASSP during SY 2022-2023. | |
| | | • Forty-three ASSP snacks were over-claimed during the month of January 2 | | | |
| | | | | meal counting discrepancies. | |
| | | • Throughout the course of the review month, potato chips were served as one of the two snack components. Potato chips are not creditable; therefore, the snacks | | | |
| | | | served with potato chips as a component were disallowed. In total, 395 snacks | | |
| | | | | d with potato chips as a component were disallowed. In total, 395 snacks disallowed. | |
| | | • | | -ounce portion of popcorn was served and credited as one of two snack | |
| | | • | | onents. USDA requires that a 1-ounce portion of popcorn be served in | |
| | | | | to credit as 1-ounce equivalent grain. | |
| X | | D. Civil Rights | | | |
| Λ | | | | ails: The SFA does not have local-level complaint procedures in place as | |
| | | required | | | |
| | 1 | isquireu | | | |