## DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

| to the p   | aone apon request.  |  |  |  |  |         |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---------|
| School Food Authority Name: <u>Cape Henlopen School District</u> Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): <u>October 30, 2023</u> |   |  |  |  |  |         |
|  |   |  |  |  |  | Date re |
| Date re  |   |  |  |  |  |         |
| SFA's c<br>school r<br>complia   | iew summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), at ompliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), unce related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written tion of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3) |  |  |  |  |         |
| Genera   | l Program Participation   |  |  |  |  |         |
| 1.   | What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)  |  |  |  |  |         |
|  | x School Breakfast Program x National School Lunch Program Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack Special Milk Program Seamless Summer Option  |  |  |  |  |         |
| 2.   | Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)  |  |  |  |  |         |
|  | <ul> <li>□ Community Eligibility Provision</li> <li>□ Special Provision 1</li> <li>□ Special Provision 2</li> <li>□ Special Provision 3</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |         |
| Review   | Findings  |  |  |  |  |         |
| 3.   | Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?<br>$x$ Yes $\Box$ No  |  |  |  |  |         |
|  | If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.   |  |  |  |  |         |

| YES | NO                      | REVIEW FINDINGS                             |   |   |  |
|-----|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| X   |                         | A. Program Access and Reimbursement         |   |   |  |
|     |                         | YES   | NO  |   |  |
|     |                         | X   |   | Certification and Benefit Issuance                                    |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Verification  |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Meal Counting and Claiming  |  |
|     |                         | Findin                                      | g(s) De   | tails: The SFA directly certified five students incorrectly. The SFA  |  |
|     |                         |   |   | ncomplete meal benefit form (the form was not signed by an adult      |  |
|     |                         |   |   | mber). One student's meal benefit determination was incorrectly       |  |
|     |                         | transferred to the benefit issuance system. |   |   |  |
| X   |                         | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality    |   |   |  |
|     |                         | YES   | NO  |   |  |
|     |                         | X   |   | Meal Components and Quantities  |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Offer versus Serve  |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis                          |  |
|     |                         |   | inding(s) Details: At one school during the onsite portion of the review, three |   |  |
|     |                         |   |   | re incomplete but were rang up as reimbursable meals. In addition, at |  |
|     |                         |   |   | ol, one lunch was incomplete (missing a fruit/vegetable) but was rang |  |
|     |                         | up as a reimbursable meal.                  |   |   |  |
|     | X                       |   |   | utrition Environment  |  |
|     |                         | YES   | NO  | Frad Cafete.  |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Food Safety   |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Local School Wellness Policy  |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Competitive Foods   |  |
|     |                         |   | X   | Other – Buy American Provision  |  |
|     | Finding(s) Details: N/A |   |   |   |  |
|     | X                       | D. Civil Rights                             |   |   |  |
|     |                         | Findin                                      | g(s) De   | tails: N/A  |  |