## DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

## School Food Authority Name: Caesar Rodney School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 2/14/2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: <u>3/27/2023</u>

Date review summary was publicly posted: \_\_\_\_\_ April 3, 2023

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

## **General Program Participation**

- 1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)
  - x School Breakfast Program
    x National School Lunch Program
    Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
    Afterschool Snack
    Special Milk Program
    Seamless Summer Option
- 2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)
  - x Community Eligibility Provision
    Special Provision 1
    Special Provision 2
    Special Provision 3

## **Review Findings**

Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 x Yes □ No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
Х		A. P	Access and Reimbursement	
		YES	NO	
		Х		Certification and Benefit Issuance
		х		Verification
		х		Meal Counting and Claiming
		Findin	g(s) Det	tails:
		•		mentation was not provided for 14 students' meal benefit forms that noted as completed by a School Administrator.
		•		Verification reporting was not completed by the January 17, 2023
		•		was an over-claim of one free breakfast and three paid breakfasts at
			Davie	d E. Robinson Elementary during the month of January 2023.
		•		e was an over-claim of two free lunches, one reduced-price lunch, and
			-	aid lunch at David E. Robinson Elementary during the month of
X		January 2023. B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
л		YES	NO	terns and Autritional Quanty
		X		Meal Components and Quantities
			x	Offer versus Serve
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
			g(s) Det	
		•		iew of the January 2023 production records for Magnolia Middle
				ol indicated that production did not support the claim for
				bursement at breakfast and at lunch.
		•	A rev	iew of the January 2023 production records for Positive Outcomes
				er School indicated that production exceeded the number of meals
			claim	ed and sold as a la carte/adult meals.
		•		iew of the January 2023 production records for David E. Robinson
				entary School indicated that production did not support the claim for
				pursement.
		•		e were several days where the production records did not support that
				student had the required minimum 1/2 cup of fruit at breakfast at 1 E. Robinson Elementary School.
		•		e was one day $(1/31/2023)$ where the production records did not
		•		by that each student had the required minimum $1/2$ cup of fruit or
			veget	able at lunch at David E. Robinson Elementary School.
Х		C. So	chool N	utrition Environment
		YES	NO	
			х	Food Safety
		Х		Local School Wellness Policy
			х	Competitive Foods
		х		Other – Nonprogram Foods, Adult Meal Pricing, Buy American Provision, Professional Standards
		Findin	g(s) Det	
		•		riennial assessment of the wellness policy was not completed by the 30, 2020 deadline.
		•		SFA did not assess their revenue from nonprogram foods.
		•		t meal prices were not charged as submitted to the SA during annual
				lication.
		•		ptions for non-domestic products were not on file at the time of
			revie two i	w for one item at Magnolia Middle School (grapes from Peru) and for tems at David E. Robinson Elementary (gluten-free pizza crust from
			Italy	and pineapple tidbits from Thailand).

	• The SFA did not provide training documents (certificates, agenda, sign-in sheets, etc.) for six employees (one director, two managers, and three additional staff) as requested for the review.		
Х	D. Civil Rights		
	Finding(s) Details: The SFA does not have local-level complaint procedures in place as required by USDA.		