

**DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Academia Antonia Alonso

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): 11/9/2021

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: 12/1/2021

Date review summary was publicly posted: December 16, 2022

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
- National School Lunch Program
- Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
- Afterschool Snack
- Special Milk Program
- Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
- Special Provision 1
- Special Provision 2
- Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

- Yes No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table on the following page.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Verification
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: The SFA under-claimed 25 breakfasts in the month of review; this under-claim was paid to the SFA. The SFA over-claimed 8 lunches in the month of the review. Fiscal action for lunch was below the \$600 threshold and was disregarded. Corrective action was provided and accepted.				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: The weekly minimum for the grain component was not met at breakfast during the week of review. Corrective action was provided and accepted.				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Food Safety
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Competitive Foods
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other		
Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have documentation on file for the April 1, 2020 Community Eligibility Provision data. The Identified Student Percentage (ISP) was incorrect (one less student was identified as a result of the data validation); as a result, revisions were made to the ISP. Corrective action was provided and accepted.				
x	<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights		
Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not have the USDA Nondiscrimination Statement posted on its webpage. Corrective action was provided and accepted.				