

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 24 2018

Dr. Mark A. Dufendach, Ed.D. Superintendent Polytech School District PO Box 22, 823 Walnut Shade Road Woodside, DE 19980-0022

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Dufendach:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2016, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### • Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

### • Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

May 24, 2018
Polytech School District
FFY 2016 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)
Page 2

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Assistance</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Dale Matusevich, will be in contact with Amelia Hodges to provide technical assistance and discuss next steps, including the development of a Corrective Action Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

### MAM/ANB

Attachment

cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education
 Amelia Hodges, Director of Personnel and School Climate
 Vienna Walker, Assistant Principal
 Maria N. Locuniak, Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
 Dale Matusevich, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

## IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

## The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

## **FFY 2016 LEA Annual Determinations**

FFY 2016 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Graduate Rate

## • Results:

Indicator 1

Indicator 12

Indicator 13

0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	npliance:	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Transition Planning in the IEP

## **Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016**

## **POLYTECH School District**

101	ILCII	ולו וטטווטכ	311101			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2015-2016	74.10%	67.15%	90.32%	1	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2015-2016	4.60%	<5%	<5%	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	85.00%	0	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	85.00%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		32.70%	23.92%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		32.70%	20.95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		32.70%	22.97%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		32.70%	17.49%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		32.70%	16.46%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		32.70%	13.57%	5.88%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		29.20%	25.90%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		29.20%	21.05%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		29.20%	14.94%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		29.20%	13.42%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		29.20%	11.82%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		29.20%	8.46%	<5%	0	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note: Indicator 4A is based on school year 2015 2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.	2015-2016	Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1

## **Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016**

Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the		70.00%	65.72%	80.00%	1	1
Class 80% of the Day Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the	_	70.0070	03.7270	00.0070	-	
Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2016-2017	15.10%	14.96%	<5%	1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in						
Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and		4.50%	5.46%	<5%	1	1
Homebound/Hospital Placements						
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016-2017	88.60%	89.89%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		58.00%	51.26%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use						
of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth		91.10%	87.36%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	52.70%	48.60%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate		32.70%	48.00%	INA	IVA	IVA
Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016 2017	90.20%	88.19%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	65.30%	64.31%	NA	NA	NA
Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices. Indicator 4B is based on school year 2015-2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.		Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Met Targets	1	1
						-
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Met Targets	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 12. Casandar Transition	2046 204=	100.000/	.050/	. 050/	4	
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Determination Summary			Ann	ual Determin	ation:	
Compliance Indicators Score	4			leeds Assistar		
Possible Points:	4			22.27.0010101		
Results Indicators Score	6	Interven	tion Plan /Com	npliance Agree	ment:	No
Possible Points:	10			-		
Score Total	10	1				
Out of a Possible:	t	1				
Out of a Possible:	14					

2 of

5/18/2018

				POLTI	ECH School District				
<b>Graduation Ra</b>	te								
Indicator 1  Note: Percent	School Year 2015-2016 of youth with IEPs g	State Target 74.10% graduating from high so	<u>State Data</u> 67.15% :hool with a regular h	igh school diploma	within 4-year adjusted o	<u>Number Eligible</u> 31 cohort	Number Graduated 28	LEA Data % SWD Who Graduated 90.32%	Met Target? Yes
Drop-Out Rate	<u>School Year</u> 2015-2016	State Target 4.60%	<u>State Data</u> 2.91%			Number Enrolled 100	Number of Drop-Outs	LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u> < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:									
note:									
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - ELA							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	<u>Target</u> 95.00%	<u>Data</u> 98.15%	<u>Grade</u> 3	<u>Subject</u> ELA	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA	<u>Number Tested</u> NA	Percent Tested NA	Met Target? NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	98.45%	4	ELA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.93%	5	ELA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.03%	6	ELA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.34%	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	95.77%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	89.05%	11	ELA	20	17	85.00%	No
Note:									
Participation P	ate in the State Ass	accment MATH							
raiticipation	ate iii tile State Ass	essment - WATH							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.67%	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	98.13%	4	MATH	NA 	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.87%	5	MATH	NA 	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	96.69%	6	MATH	NA 	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	96.62%	7	MATH	NA NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	95.28%	8	MATH	NA 20	NA 17	NA SE 00%	NA
	2016-2017	95.00%	89.05%	11	MATH	20	17	85.00%	No
Note:									

State

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - ELA

Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2016-2017	32.70%	23.92%	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	20.95%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	22.97%	5	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	6	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	17.49%	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.46%	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	13.57%	11	ELA	17	_	5.88%	No
Note:									
Proficiency Rat	te on the State Asse	ssment - MATH							
		Ctata	Ctata					LEA Data	
In dianta a 20	Cabaal Vaan	State	State	Cuada	Cultinat	November Tested	Normalian Manata	% of SWD Meeting	Mat Tauata
Indicator 3C	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2016-2017	29.20%	25.90%	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	21.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	14.94%	5	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	6	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	13.42%	7	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	11.82%	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	8.46%	11	MATH	17	-	< 5%	No
Note:									
						SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	<u>Days</u>	<u>&gt; 10 Days</u>	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
	2015-2016	0.00%	66.67%	100	1094	-	-	5.47	Yes
Note:	Indicator 44 is ha	sed on school year 201	5- 2016 data with:	Rate Ratio of > 1.20	and an N size of 15				
Note:		•			pared to the same LEAs tha	at exceeded rate ratio of 1	20		
						it exceeded rate ratio or 1	.20		
		dalation basea on the	number of EEAS the	,		it exceeded rate ratio of 1	.20		
Significant Disc	crepancy in the Rate				sabilities by Race/Ethnicity	and Noncompliant Polici		actices	
		of Long-Term Suspens	sions and Expulsior	s of Students with Di	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	es, Procedures, and Pro		Mot Targot?
Significant Disc	School Year	of Long-Term Suspens	sions and Expulsior <u>State Data</u>	s of Students with Dis	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Pro	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
	School Year 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens State Target 0.00	sions and Expulsion  State Data  100.00%	is of Students with Dis Race Hispanic	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	es, Procedures, and Pro Compliant Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00	Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Dis Race Hispanic American Indian	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity <u>SWD Enrolled</u> 58 -	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Pro Compliant Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00	Yes Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American	Sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58  - 26	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Pro <u>Compliant</u> Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Yes Yes Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American White	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity <u>SWD Enrolled</u> 58 -	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Pro <u>Compliant</u> Yes Yes Yes Yes	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.66	Yes Yes Yes Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian	Sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58  - 26	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Procedures, and Procedures  Compliant  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.66 0.00	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian Haw./P.I.	Sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58  - 26	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Procedures, and Procedures  Compliant  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Ye	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.66 0.00 0.00	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian	Sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58  - 26	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Procedures, and Procedures  Compliant  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.66 0.00	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Indicator 4B	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian Haw./P.I. Multiple	Sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58  - 26	and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Procedures, and Procedures  Compliant  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Ye	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.66 0.00 0.00	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
	School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	of Long-Term Suspens  State Target  0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 sed on school year 201	State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian Haw./P.I. Multiple	Sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled  58  - 26	y and Noncompliant Polici  SWD Suspended > 10  Days	es, Procedures, and Procedures, and Procedures  Compliant  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Ye	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.66 0.00 0.00	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

LEA Data
% of SWD Meeting

Indicator 5A	School Year 2016-2017	State Target 70.00%	r Class 80% or More of th <u>State Data</u> 65.72%	e Day	<u>Number of SWD</u> 95	Number of SWD In LRE A 76	<b>LEA Data % in LRE A</b> 80.00%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	Iren Aged 6 to 21 Se	erved Inside the Regula	r Class Less Than 40% of	he Day				
Indicator 5B	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 15.10%	<u>State Data</u> 14.96%		Number of SWD 95	Number of SWD In LRE B -	LRE Data % in LRE B < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	School Year	State Target	State Data	and in Homebound/Hospital Placements	Number of SWD	Number of SWD In LRE C	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u>	Met Target?
Note:	2016-2017	4.50%	5.46%		95	-	< 5%	Yes
Preschool Envir Program	onments: Percent o	of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regular Early	Childhood Program and Receiving the Ma	jority of Special Educati	ion and Related Services	in the Regular Early Ch	ildhood
Indicator 6A Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 48.50%	<u>State Data</u> 47.46%		Number of SWD NA	Number of SWD Receiving Services in the Regular EC Program NA	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program NA	Met Target? NA
Indicator 6B	School Year 2016-2017	of Children Aged 3 to 5 in the State Target 33.50%	Attending a Separate Sp <u>State Data</u> 34.78%	cial Education Class, Separate School, or f	Residential Facility  Number of SWD  NA	Number of SWD Receiving Services in Separate Setting NA	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in Separate Setting NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA
Note:								

Preschool Outco	mes: Percent o	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	Is in Positive Social/Emot	tional Skills			
Indicator 7A		Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 88.60%	<u>State Data</u> 89.89%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 58.00%	<u>State Data</u> 51.26%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:									
Preschool Outco	omes: Percent of	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	ls in Acquisition and Use	of Knowledge and Skills			
Indicator 7B	School Year 2016-2017	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 91.10%	<u>State Data</u> 87.36%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 52.70%	<b>State Data</b> 48.60%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:									
Preschool Outco	omes: Percent of	f Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	ls in Use of Appropriate E	Behaviors			
Indicator 7C  Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 90.20%	<u>State Data</u> 88.19%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 65.30%	<u>State Data</u> 64.31%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:									
Percent of Parer	nts with a Child	Receiving Special Education S	ervices Who Rep	ort That School Faci	litated Parent Involveme	nt as a Means of Improving	Services and Results for	r Children with Diisa	abilities
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	<b>State</b> <u><b>Target</b></u> 88.00%	<b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 89.18%		Total Number of Respondents	Number Agree -	Number Disagree -	<b>LEA Data % Agree</b> 91.70%	Met Target? Yes
Note:	No Response =	= 1							

### **Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016**

### **POLYTECH School District**

Diamonautiameta Damonautatiam a	f Daniel and Ethnia Cuarra in Canalel Education at	ad Dalatad Camilaaa That ia a Daawlt af luammuamilata Idamtifiaatiam
Disproportionate Representation of	r Kaciai and Ethnic Groups in Special Education ar	nd Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification
zispi spertionate nepresentation s		The state of the state of the state of the property of the state of th

Indicator 9	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Indicator 10	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Note:

<b>Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Da</b>	vs or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorte	er, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation

Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.97%	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u> NA	Number Within Timelines NA	Number Not Within Timelines NA	% LEA Data Within Timelines NA	Met Target?
Note:	No initial evalua	tions were reported						

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

						LEA Data % Who	
				Total Number of SWD	Number of Students Referred Minus Not	Received Services by	
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	Who Turned Age 3	Eligible and/or Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	98.55%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals

				Total Number of IEPs	Number of IEPs Meeting	LEA Data % Meeting
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Standard</u>	Standard Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	99.24%	104	104	> 95% Yes
Notes						

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 33.00%	<u>State Data</u> 49.47%	Total Number of Exiters 28	Total Number of Respondents -	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A 81.82%	Met Target? Yes
		State Target 64.00%	State Data 82.59%			Group B Respondents	<u>LEA Data % Group B</u> > 95%	Met Target? Yes
Note:		State Target 100.00%	State Data 86.20%			Group C Respondents	<u>LEA Data % Group C</u> > 95%	Met Target? Yes