

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Steven H. Godowsky Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 31, 2016

Ms. Linda Jennings MOT Charter School 1156 Levels Road Middletown, DE 19709

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Ms. Jennings:

Under the IDEA, the Department is required to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2014, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

#### • Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with
		disabilities by race/ethnicity
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition planning in the IEP

## Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduation Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with
		disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Meets Requirements in implementing the regulations of the IDEA.

MOT Charter School LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) May 31, 2016 Page 2

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, the LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Please contact the DOE's Exceptional Children Resources Group with any questions concerning this determination.

Sincerely,

Mary and Mechowski Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Sincerely,

Michael S. Watson

Chief Academic Officer

MAM/MNLbjm Attachment

cc:

Steven H. Godowsky, Secretary of Education Michael S. Watson, Chief Academic Officer

Terry Angelus, Principal

Jennifer Nagourney, Director, Charter School Office

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Maria N. Locuniak, Education Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

## IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

## The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

# Spring, 2016 LEA Annual Determination for FFY 2014 MOT Charter School

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices	2013-2014	0.00%	0.00%	Met Target	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionality/All Disabilities	2014-2015	0.00%	2.33%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionality/Specific Disabilities	2014-2015	0.00%	2.33%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines	2014-2015	100.00%	99.46%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 12: Preschool Transition Part C to Part B	2014-2015	100.00%	97.84%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition (LEA - Actual Percentage)	2014-2015	100.00%	98.15%	75.00%	0	1

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2013-2014	66.70%	67.66%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2013-2014	5.20%	3.49%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3B: Participation Math						
Grade 3		95.00%	97.10%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	4	95.00%	97.03%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	5	95.00%	97.41%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	6 2014-2015	95.00%	97.28%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	7	95.00%	97.45%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	8	95.00%	96.25%	> 99%	1	1
Grade 1	1	95.00%	92.09%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3B: Participation ELA						
Grade	3	95.00%	97.16%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	4	95.00%	97.27%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	5	95.00%	97.76%	> 99%	-1	1
Grade	6 2014-2015	95.00%	97.34%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	7	95.00%	97.33%	> 99%	1	1
Grade	8	95.00%	96.50%	> 99%	1	1
Grade 1	1	95.00%	91.95%	NA	NA	NA

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C: Performance Rate Math						
Grade 3		15.00%	25.00%	80.00%	1	1
Grade 4		15.00%	18.59%	42.86%	1	1
Grade 5		15.00%	13.62%	20.00%	1	1
Grade 6	2014-2015	15.00%	9.67%	23.08%	1	1
Grade 7		15.00%	11.15%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 8	1	15.00%	11.73%	50.00%	î	1
Grade 11		15.00%	8.67%	NA	NA	NA
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 <u>-</u> 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C: Performance Rate ELA						
Grade 3		19.30%	25.31%	60.00%	1	1
Grade 4		19.30%	21.67%	57.14%	1	1
Grade 5		19.30%	19.82%	20.00%	1	1
Grade 6	2014-2015	19.30%	15.13%	46.15%	1	1
Grade 7		19.30%	15.43%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 8		19.30%	16.45%	50.00%	1	1
Grade 11		19.30%	18.60%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 1.24	2013-2014	0.00%	0.00%	< 1%	i	1
Indicator 7A: Early Childhood Outcomes - Social/Emotional						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014-2015	86.20%	85.86%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	55.30%	50.32%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B: Early Childhood Outcomes - Knowledge						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014-2015	89.00%	87.18%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2013	50.90%	47.06%	NA	NA	NA
ndicator 7C: Early Childhood Outcomes - Behavior						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014-2015	88.10%	87.16%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	65.00%	63.58%	NA	NA	NA

Determination Summary						
Compliance Indicators Score	3					
Possible Points:	4					
Results Indicators Score	23					
Possible Points:	25					
Score Total	26					
Out of a Possible:	29					
Percentage:	89.66%					
Annual Determination:						
Meets Requirements						

ict Progress on State Performance Plan Indicators for Students with Disabilities- FFY 2014	MOT Charter School
District Progn	

EA.	zi,																																
<u>Note:</u> This indicator does not apply to this LEA.	Note: This indicator does not apply to this LEA.		Met Target?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA		Met Target?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ON :	Yes	NA
			Target	%00'56	%00'56	95.00%	95.00%	92.00%	%00'56	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	92.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	92:00%		Target	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	19.30%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%	15.00%	15,00%
Met Target? NA	Met Target? NA		Percent Tested	%66 <	%66 <	> 86%	%66 <	%66 <	%66 <	NA	%66 <	> 866 <	%66 <	%66 <	%66 <	>666 <	NA		Percent Meets	%00.09	57.14%	20.00%	46.15%	< 1%	20.00%	NA	80.00%	42.86%	20.00%	23.08%	< 1%	20.00%	NIA.
<u>Target</u> 66.70%	<u>Target</u> 5.20%		Number Tested							NA							NA		Number Meets	**		· ·				NA		**			h.		414
Graduation Rate NA	Drop-Out Rate NA		Number Eligible		*		* * * *		•	NA						10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NA		Number Tested	*	.70		* ·	.,		NA			*				414
<u>Denominator</u> NA	Drop-Outs NA		Subject	EA	EIA	ELA	ELA	ELA	EA	ELA	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	Į.	Subject	ELA	ELA	EF	E.	ELA	EF	EF	MATH	MATH	MATH	MATH	МАТН	MATH	BAATII.
Graduates NA	Enrollment NA	n State Assessmen	Grade	m	4	5	9	1	00	11	m	4	2	9	7	00	11	State Assessmen	Grade	m	4	N	9	7	00	Ħ	က	4	5	9	7	00	77
School Year 2014	ndicator 2: Drop-Out Rates School Year 2014	ndicator 3B: Participation in State Assessment	School Year	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	Indicator 3C: Performance in State Assessments	School Year	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	7000

Note: Note: ă ž M M M ž Met Target? Yes Rate Ratio Met Target? Yes Met Target? Met Target? Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes NA Ke Indicator 48: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 1.24. Rate Ratio 0.00 000 0.00 0.00 0.00 SWD Suspended > 10 Days Non-SWD Suspended Rate Ratio 0.00 89.00% **Target** 15.50% Target <u>Target</u> 5.00% 000 0.00 000 000 0.00 0.00 SWD Enrolled SWD Suspended > 10 Days Percent in Separate **Percent With Peers** Percent in LRE A Percent in LRE B %66 < < 1% Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices Indicator 5B: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class >60% of the Day Indicator 5A: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class < 21% of Day Total EC Total With Peers

NA NA Total in Separate Indicator 6: LRE Ages 3 to 5 Early Childhood with Typical Peers Total in LRE A Total in LRE B Non-SWD Enrollment 639 ndicator 5C: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Separate Setting SWD Enrollment 58 Race Hispanic Haw./P.I. American American Indian African White Asian Multiple Tota Total Total 64 8 2 School Year 2014 School Year 2015 School Year 2015 School Year School Year School Year 2015 2014 2014 2015 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014

This indicator does not apply to this LEA.

Met Target? NA

Target 46.00%

<u></u>	
Ť	
et	
3	
2	
3	
<u>Ş</u> .	
Ē	
8	
윭	
፟፟፟፟፟፟፟	
2	
\$	
핕	
9,	
훘	
Ĭ	
3	
7	
E	
<b>E</b>	
5	
ia /	
ğ	
=	
쏦	
送	
B	
ē	
Ē	
9	
뷾	
ĕ	
Ē	
8	
£	
in	
2	
8	
₹	
된	
윤	
Sta	
8	
Sch	
일	
5	
1	
5	
Pe	
17	
ato	
흕	
Ξ	

e Knowledge Within Age Expectation Met Target?	Expectation Met Target?  NA	ge Social/Emotional Within Age Expectation Met Target?		Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for  Iotal Percent Agree Iarget Met Target? Note:  87,00% Yes NA	nappropriate Identification	iate Identification	Note:  Note:  Data Was Not Collected for this reporting period.
Knowledge Within Age Expectation Target 50.90%	Within Age Expectation Target 65.00%	Social/Emotion al Within Age Expectation Target 55.30%		Means of Impro	s a Result of Ina Met Target? Yes	of inappropriat  Met Target?  Yes	90 Calendar D
Knowledge Within Age Expectation NA	Behavior Within Age Expectation NA	Social/Emotional Within Age Expectation NA		ant involvement as a l Target 87.00%	elated Services That is	gories That is a Result	in 45 School Days or:  Met Target?  N/A
Knowledge Increased Met.  Target?  NA	Behavior Increased Met. Target? NA	<u>Social/Emotional Increased</u> Met Target? NA	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.	nt That School Facilitated Pan Percent Agree > 99%	Indicator 9 - Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification    Disproportionate Representation as a Result of Inappropriate Identification?	Indicator 10 - Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of <u>Disproportionate Representation as a Result of Inappropriate Identification?</u> School Year  2015  Ares  Yes	indicator 11 - Percent of Children with Parental Consent to Evaluate Who Were Evaluated and Eligibility Determined Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter  Within Not Within Percent Within Target Met Target Met Target Not Collected  2015 NA NA 100.00% N/A Data Was Not Collected
Knowledge Increased  Iarget 89.00%	Behavior Increased Target 88:10%	Social/Emotional Increased Target 86.20%	This indicator does	Education Services Who Repo	sproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Grou <u>Disproportionate Representation as a Result of</u> <u>Inappropriate Identification?</u> < 1%	Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Gro Disproportionate Representation as a Result of Inappropriate Identification? < 1%	iluate Who Were Evaluated a <u>Percent Within</u> NA
Knowledge Increased Rate Growth NA	Behavior Increased Rate Growth NA	Social/Emotional Increased Rate Growth NA	Note:	Receiving Special Disagree	rtional Representation of Racial <u>portionate Representation as a</u> <u>Inappropriate Identification?</u> < 1%	ortional Representation of Raci portionate Representation as a Inappropriate Identification? < 1%	ntal Consent to Eva Not Within Timelines NA
				of Parents with a Child ties Agree	of LEA's with Disprope <u>Dispro</u>	of LEA's with Disprop  Dispro	of Children with Pare Within Timelines NA
School Year	2015	2015		Indicator 8 - Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Children with Disabilities School Year Agree Disagree 2015	Indicator 9 - Percent o School Year 2015	Indicator 10 - Percent School Year 2015	Indicator 11 - Percent School Year 2015

2	
2	
2	
2	
Ξ	
Ξ	
흔	
2	
5	
돧	
ᅙ	
후	
E	
F	
듄	
ped	
Ö	
Š	
۵	
ם	
2	
ě	
É	
2	
3	
2	
8	
ᅙ	
ŏ.	
<u>-</u>	
읆	
<u></u>	
잗	
Ş	
ē	
₹	
롣	
5	
9	
₹	
돧	
.호	
Ā	
Ę	
Pa	
۵	
ē	
ē	
Se	
5	
퉏	
3	
of	
Ħ	
5	
Pe	
2-	
1	
ato	
dic	
2	

9	<u>Note:</u>	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
	Met Target?	NA
	Tarket	100.00%
	Number with Services by Age 3	NA
	Number with Services by Age 3	N N
Referred, Less Not Eligible and	<u>Parent</u> <u>Refusals</u>	NA
	School Year	2015

Indicator 13 - Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above With an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services that Will Reasonably Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals.

Note:	NA	
		by Left School, and Were:
Met Target?	o <sub>N</sub>	lad IEPs in Effect at the Time The
Target	100.00%	in Secondary School, H ducation or Competitive
R. Percent Meeting Standard	75.00%	sults indicator) – Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer in Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Wer ne Year of Leavine High School, B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leavine High School
N = 1	Standard	s Indicator) – Perc (ear of Leaving His
IEP's	Reviewed	Outcomes (Result
School Year	2015	Indicator 14 - Post-School  A. Enrolled in Higher Educa

C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving High School

Note:	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.	
Group A Target.  Met?  N/A	Group B Target Met? N/A	Group C Target Met? N/A
Group A Target 25.00%	Group B Target 56.00%	Group C Target 100.00%
Group A Percentage NA	Group B Percentage NA	Group C Percentage NA
Group A Respondents N/A	Group B Respondents N/A	Group C Respondents N/A
Total Respondents N/A		
Total Exiters N/A		
School Year 2014		