

# **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Steven H. Godowsky Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 31, 2016

Ms. Angela Porter
Department of Services for Children, Youth and Their Families
Educational Services
1825 Faulkland Road
Wilmington, DE 19805

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Ms. Porter:

Under the IDEA, the Department is required to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2014, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

## Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with
		disabilities by race/ethnicity
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition planning in the IEP

#### Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduation Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with
		disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes.

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA Needs Intervention in implementing the regulations of the IDEA.

Department of Services for Children, Youth and Their Families LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) May 31, 2016 Page 2

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, the LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Exceptional Children Resources staff will be in contact with Chris McIntyre to discuss next steps. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski

Director, Exceptional Children Resources

Marylina Meszkowski

Michael S. Watson Chief Academic Officer

5. held

MAM/MNLbjm Attachment

cc: Steven H. Godowsky, Secretary of Education

Michael S. Watson, Chief Academic Officer Chris McIntyre, Director, Special Education Services

Barbara Mazza, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

Maria N. Locuniak, Education Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

# IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

#### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

## The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

## **FFY 2014 LEA Annual Determinations**

FFY 2014 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

# • Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Disproportionality in the rates of long-term suspensions of students with
		disabilities by race/ethnicity
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation related to identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early childhood transition from Part C/preschool special education services
		to Part B/school-age special education services
0	Indicator 13	Transition planning in the IEP

## • Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduation Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension of students with
		disabilities
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes.

Meets Requirements	ı	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

# Spring, 2016 LEA Annual Determination for FFY 2014 DSCYF

Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices	2013-2014	0.00%	0.00%	Met Target	i	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionality/All Disabilities	2014-2015	0.00%	2.33%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionality/Specific Disabilities	2014-2015	0.00%	2.33%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluation Timelines	2014-2015	100.00%	99.46%	> 99%	1	1
Indicator 12: Preschool Transition Part C to Part B	2014-2015	100.00%	97.84%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition (LEA - Actual Percentage)	2014-2015	100.00%	98.15%	NA	NA	NA

Results Indicators		Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate		2013-2014	66.70%	67.66%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate		2013-2014	5.20%	3.49%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 3B: Participation Math							
	Grade 3		95.00%	97.10%	> 99%	i	1
	Grade 4		95.00%	97.03%	80.00%	0	1
	Grade 5	1	95.00%	97.41%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 6	2014-2015	95.00%	97.28%	90.00%	0	1
	Grade 7		95.00%	97.45%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 8		95.00%	96.25%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 11		95.00%	92.09%	> 99%	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation ELA							
	Grade 3		95.00%	97.16%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 4		95.00%	97.27%	80.00%	0	1
	Grade 5		95.00%	97.76%	83.33%	0	1
	Grade 6	2014-2015	95.00%	97.34%	90.90%	0	1
	Grade 7		95.00%	97.33%	> 99%	1	1
	Grade 8	1	95.00%	96.50%	> 99%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
	Grade 11		95.00%	91.95%	> 99%		1

Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C: Performance Rate Math						
Grade 3		15.00%	25.00%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 4	1	15.00%	18.59%	25.00%	1	1
Grade 5		15.00%	13.62%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 6	2014-2015	15.00%	9.67%	< 1%	0>	1
Grade 7	1	15.00%	11.15%	25.00%	1	1
Grade 8		15.00%	11.73%	< 1%	O.	1
Grade 11		15.00%	8.67%	< 1%	0	1
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2014 - 2015	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 3C: Performance Rate ELA						
Grade 3		19.30%	25.31%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 4	]	19.30%	21.67%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 5		19.30%	19.82%	20.00%	1	1
Grade 6	2014-2015	19.30%	15.13%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 7	j	19.30%	15.43%	25.00%	1	1
Grade 8		19.30%	16.45%	< 1%	0	1
Grade 11		19.30%	18.60%	< 1%	0	1
Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 1.24	2013-2014	0.00%	0.00%	< 1%	1	1
Indicator 7A: Early Childhood Outcomes - Social/Emotional						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014-2015	86.20%	85.86%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	55.30%	50.32%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B: Early Childhood Outcomes - Knowledge						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014-2015	89.00%	87.18%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	50.90%	47.06%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C: Early Childhood Outcomes - Behavior						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2014 2015	88.10%	87.16%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2014-2015	65.00%	63.58%	NA	NA	NA

Determination Summary	
Compliance Indicators Score	4
Possible Points:	4
Results Indicators Score	14
Possible Points:	29
Score Total	18
Out of a Possible:	33
Percentage:	54.55%
Annual Determination:	
Needs Intervention	

- 2	
2014	
7	
Œ	
14.	
ķ	
·ž	
是	
~	
<u></u>	
ā	
£	
-5	
2	
5	
2	
ğ	
3	
S	
ō	
S	
5	
*	
<u>2</u>	
2	
Ē	
an Inc	Ϋ́
Plan Inc	SCYF
e Plan Inc	DSCYF
nce Plan Inc	DSCYF
ance Plan Inc	DSCYF
mance Plan Inc	DSCYF
ormance Plan Inc	DSCYF
rformance Plan Inc	DSCYF
Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
e Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
ate Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
n State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
ss on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
ress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
gress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
rogress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
Progress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
ict Progress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
trict Progress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
district Progress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF
District Progress on State Performance Plan Inc	DSCYF

2014	Graduates	<u>Denominator</u> NA	Graduation Rate NA	<u>Target</u> 66.70%	Met Target? NA		Note: This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
indicator 2: Drop-Out Rates							
School Year 2014	Enrollment	Drop-Outs NA	Drop-Out Rate NA	<b>Target</b> 5.20%	Met Target? NA		Note: This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
ndicator 3B: Participation in State Assessment	n State Assessme	#				Ì	
School Year	Grade	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Target	Met Target?
2015	e	ELA		***************************************	%66 <	92.00%	Yes
2015	4	ELA			80:00%	95.00%	No
2015		ELA			83.33%	95.00%	No
2015	9	ELA			90.90%	95.00%	No
2015	7	ELA		1100	>664	95.00%	Yes
2015	60	ELA	N.		> 66%	95.00%	1
2015	7	ELA			> 66 <	95.00%	Yes
2015	m	MATH			%66 <	95.00%	Yes
2015	4	MATH			80:00%	95.00%	No
2015	ın	MATH			× 99%	92.00%	Yes
2015	9	MATH			90.00%	95.00%	ON
2015	7	MATH		100	%66 <	95.00%	Yes
2015	00	MATH		- 6	%66 <	95.00%	Yes
2015	Ħ	MATH	200	2	%66 <b>&lt;</b>	%00'56	Yes
School Year	n state Assessmen	TG Subject	Mumber Tector	Mumbos Moote	Doront Moste	Tapezot	Mos Towner?
2015	en en	EIA			<1%	19.30%	No
2015	4	EF			< 1%	19.30%	No
2015	S	ELA		2)	20.00%	19.30%	Yes
2015	9	EIA	10	10	< 1%	19.30%	No
2015	7	EA	74.	*	25.00%	19.30%	Yes
2015	00	Ë		cal	< 1%	19.30%	No
2015	#	ELA			< 1%	19.30%	No
2015	m	MATH		30	< 1%	15.00%	No
2015	4	MATH	36	760	25.00%	15.00%	Yes
2015	ιΩ	MATH			< 1%	15.00%	No
2015	9	MATH	ï	,	<1%	15.00%	No
2015	7	MATH			25.00%	15.00%	Yes
2015	00	MATH			× 1%	15.00%	S
						2000	2

Indicator 4A: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note - The LEA score is the Rate Ratio which for FFY 2013 had a target of 1.24.

NA NA	Note: NA	V V	NA	NA	NA	Υ S									e: xt apply to this LEA.
Met Target? Yes										*					Note: This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
Rate Ratio Target 1.24	rities by  Met Target?  NA	Ą	NA	NA	¥	NA NA		Met Target?	0		Met Target? Yes		Met Target? No		
Rate Ratio	students with Disabili  Target  0.00	00:00	000	00.00	00'0	0000									Met Target? NA
Non-SWD Suspended	ensions and Expulsions of S Rate Ratio 0.00	0000	0000	00'0	0.00	0.00		Target	68.00%		<u>Target</u> 15.50%		Terget 5.00%		Target 46.00%
SWD Suspended > 10 Days	Indicator 48: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the Rates of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices  School Year  School Year  Race SWD Enrolled SWD Suspended > 10 Days  Rate Ratio  Target Mispanic  2014 Hispanic				* 20		ay	Percent in LRE A	< 1%	epay	Percent in LRE B		Percent in Separate Setting > 99%		Percent With Peers NA
Non-SWD Enrollment 230	Frocedures, and Pra	**			174		ılar Class < 21% of D	Total in LRE A		lar Class >60% of th	Total in LRE B	ing	Total in Separate Setting 22	with Typical Peers	Total With Peers NA
Enrollment	of LEAs with Significon compliant Policies, F Race Hispanic	American	African American	White	Asian	Haw./P.I.	to 21 Outside Regu	Total	77	to 21 Outside Regu	Total 22	to 21 Separate Sett	Total 22	5 Early Childhood	Total EC NA
School Year 2014	Indicator 4B: Percentage of LEAs with Significant Discrepancy in the R. Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices School Year Race SWD Enrolled SWD S. 2014 Hispanic	2014	2014	2014	2014	2014	Indicator 5A: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class < 21% of Day	School Year	2015	Indicator 58: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Outside Regular Class > 60% of the Day	School Year 2015	Indicator 5C: LRE Ages 6 to 21 Separate Setting	School Year 2015	Indicator 6: LRE Ages 3 to 5 Early Childhood with Typical Peers	School Year 2015

ğ
差
F
Š
0
3
<u>ē</u>
ğ
훘
ē
<u>ā</u> ,
호
F
8
7
9
90
3
3
Š
5
Ē
믍
Ę
=
ĕ
ğ
Ξ
ills
S
2
Š
5
트
븚
ま
ĕ
Ě
۵
2
3
0
3
es
A
र्घ
dents
ž
S
ĕ
esch
Pre
5
=
5
Pe
7:
Þ
2
ā
-

	Knowledge Within Age Expectation Met Target? NA	Behavior Within Age. Expectation Met Target? NA	Social/Emotional Within Age Expectation Met Target? NA	
Knowledge Within Age	Expectation Tarket 50.90%	Behavior Within Age Expectation Target 65.00%	Social/Emotion al Within Age Expectation Target 55.30%	
	Knowledge Within Age Expectation NA	Behavior Within Age Expectation NA	Social/Emotional Within Age Expectation NA	
	Knowledge Increased Met. Target? NA	Behavior Increased Met. Target? NA	Social/Emotional Increased Met Target? NA	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
	Knowledge Increased Target 89.00%	Behavior Increased Target 88.10%	Social/Emotional Increased Target 86.20%	This indicator does
Knowledge	Growth NA	Behavior Increased Rate Growth NA	Social/Emotional Increased Rate Growth NA	Note:
School Year	2015	2015	2015	

Indicator 8 - Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for

Note:	Survey data was not collected from parents
Met Target?	NA NA
Target	82.00%
Percent Agree	¥.
Total	NA
Disagree	NA NA
Agree	
School Year	2015

Indicator 9 - Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

	Met Target?	Yes
	Target	0.00%
Disployoutingte nepicselliation as a nestit of	Inappropriate Identification?	< 1%
	School Year	2015

Indicator 10 - Percent of LEA's with Disproportional Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

		7	
nat to a necour of mappinghing the incitingation		Met Target?	Yes
caloraba III apecilir Disability categories II		Target	0.00%
in disproportionial nepresentation of made and crimic crosss in specific decade its first is a nestin of mapping and	Disproportionate Representation as a Result of	Inappropriate Identification?	< 1%
THE COT IN THE PARTY OF INTERNAL		School Year	2015

ndicator 11 - Percent of Children with Parental Consent to Evaluate Who Were Evaluated and Eligibility Determined Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter

Note:	N.
Met Target?	Yes
Target	100.00%
Percent Within	%66 <
Not Within Timelines	
Within Timelines	
School Year	2015

Indicator 12 - Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

Note:	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.
Met Target?	NA
Target	100.00%
Number with Services by Age 3	NA
Number with Services by Age 3	NA NA
Referred. Less Not Eligible and Parent Refusals	A A
School Year	2015

Indicator 13 - Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above With an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services that Will Reasonably Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals.

<u>Note:</u>	No data was reported by this LEA for FFY 2014.	
Met Target?	NA	1
Target	100.00%	
Percent Meeting Standard	NA	
IEP's Meeting	NA	
EP's	NA	
School Year	2015	The state of the s

Indicator 14 - Post-School Outcomes (Results Indicator) – Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer in Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were:

A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or

C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving High School

<u>Note:</u>	This indicator does not apply to this LEA.	
Group A Target Met? N/A	Group B Target Met? N/A	Group C Target Met? N/A
Group A Target 25,00%	Group B Target 56.00%	Group C Target 100.00%
Group A Percentage NA	Group B Percentage NA	Group C Percentage NA
Group A Respondents N/A	Group B Respondents N/A	Group C Respondents N/A
Total Respondents N/A		
Total Exiters N/A		
School Year 2014		