

### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 24, 2018

Mr. Herbert Sheldon Head of School Delaware Academy of Public Safety and Security 801 North DuPont Highway New Castle, DE 19720

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Sheldon:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2016, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

#### • Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

#### • Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

May 24, 2018
Delaware Academy of Public Safety and Security
FFY 2016 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)
Page 2

## Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Assistance</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Susan Veenema, will be in contact with Kim Whyte to provide technical assistance and discuss next steps, including the development of a Corrective Action Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

### MAM/ANB

Attachment

cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education
 Kim Whyte, Director of Special Education
 Denise Stouffer, Education Associate, Charter School Office
 Maria N. Locuniak, Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
 Susan Veenema, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

### IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

### **FFY 2016 LEA Annual Determinations**

FFY 2016 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### • Results:

Indicator 12 Indicator 13

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	npliance:	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Transition Planning in the IEP

### **Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016**

### **Delaware Academy of Public Safety and Security**

Delaware Acau		i abiic sa	icty and	Security		
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2015-2016	74.10%	67.15%	76.92%	1	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2015-2016	4.60%	<5%	<5%	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
· ·		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 3 Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA NA
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	70.00%	0	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	70.00%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		32.70%	23.92%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		32.70%	20.95%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Grade 5		32.70%	22.97%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	NA	NA	NA NA
Grade 7	2010 2017	32.70%	17.49%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		32.70%	16.46%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		32.70%	13.57%	<5%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH				2		-
Grade 3		29.20%	25.90%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 4		29.20%	21.05%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 5		29.20%	14.94%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 6	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 7		29.20%	13.42%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 8		29.20%	11.82%	NA	NA	NA
Grade 11		29.20%	8.46%	<5%	0	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note: Indicator 4A is based on school year 2015 2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.	2015-2016	Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1

## **Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016**

Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the		70.00%	65.72%	>95%	1	1
Class 80% of the Day		70.00%	03.72/0	/33/0	1	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2016-2017	15.10%	14.96%	<5%	1	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in	2010 2017					
Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and		4.50%	5.46%	<5%	1	1
Homebound/Hospital Placements						
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016-2017	88.60%	89.89%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2010 2017	58.00%	51.26%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use						
of Knowledge and Skills		24.4224	07.000	214	214	21.0
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016-2017	91.10%	87.36%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		52.70%	48.60%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		90.20%	88.19%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	65.30%	64.31%	NA	NA	NA
Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices. Indicator 4B is based on school year 2015-2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.		Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Met Targets	1	1
	<u> </u>					
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Met Targets	1	1
	•		1			
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	NA	NA	NA
<b>.</b>						
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Determination Summary			Ann	ual Determin	ation:	
Compliance Indicators Score	5			leeds Assistar		
Possible Points:	5					
Results Indicators Score	6	Interven	tion Plan /Com	pliance Agree	ment:	No
Possible Points:	10		-			
•						
Score Total	11					
Score Total Out of a Possible:	11 15					

2 of

5/21/2018

Coll-2016				DCI	awaic Acaaciii	y of Public Salety a	and Security			
Name	<b>Graduation Rat</b>	te								
LEA Data   State Target   A 60%   2.91%   State Data   Number Enrolled   Number of Drop-Outs   Dut   Met Target   State Data   State Data   State Data   State   Sta	Indicator 1  Note: Percent	2015-2016	74.10%	67.15%	igh school diploma	within 4-year adjusted (	-	Number Graduated -	% SWD Who Graduated	Met Target? Yes
LEA Data   State Target   A 60%   2.91%   State Data   Number Enrolled   Number of Drop-Outs   Dut   Met Target   State Data   State Data   State Data   State   Sta										
Indicator 2   School   Year   State   Target   State   Data   State   Data   State   Data   State	Drop-Out Rate									
Participation Rate in the State Assessment - ELA    State   State   State   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   Number State   Number	Indicator 2							Number of Drop-Outs -	Out	Met Target? Yes
Participation Rate in the State Assessment - ELA    State   State   State   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   Number State   Number	Note:									
State   State   State   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   Data   Grade   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   Data   Control										
Indicator 38   School Year   Target   Data   Grade   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   2016-2017   95.00%   98.15%   3   ELA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA	Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - ELA							
2016-2017   95.00%   98.15%   3			State	State					LEA Data	
2016-2017   95.00%   98.15%   3	Indicator 3B	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
2016-2017   95.00%   98.45%   4										
2016-2017   95.00%   97.93%   5										
2016-2017   95.00%   97.03%   6										
2016-2017   95.00%   97.34%   7										
2016-2017   95.00%   95.77%   8										
Note:										
Note:							IVA.	-		
State   State   State   State   State   State   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   Percent Tested   Met Target   Percent Tested   Perce	Note:	2010-2017	93.00%	83.03%	11	LLA			70.00%	NO
State   State   State   State   State   State   Subject   Number Eligible   Number Tested   Percent Tested   Met Target   Percent Tested   Met Target   Percent Tested   Perce										
Indicator 3B         School Year         Target         Data         Grade         Subject         Number Eligible         Number Tested         Percent Tested         Met Target           2016-2017         95.00%         97.67%         3         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         98.13%         4         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         97.87%         5         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         96.69%         6         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         96.62%         7         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         96.62%         7         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         95.28%         8         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         89.05%         11         MATH         - <td>Participation R</td> <td>ate in the State Ass</td> <td>essment - MATH</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - MATH							
2016-2017         95.00%         97.67%         3         MATH         NA			State	State					LEA Data	
2016-2017       95.00%       98.13%       4       MATH       NA       N	Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
2016-2017       95.00%       97.87%       5       MATH       NA       N		2016-2017	95.00%	97.67%	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
2016-2017       95.00%       96.69%       6       MATH       NA       NA       NA       NA       NA         2016-2017       95.00%       96.62%       7       MATH       NA		2016-2017	95.00%	98.13%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
2016-2017       95.00%       96.62%       7       MATH       NA       NA       NA       NA         2016-2017       95.00%       95.28%       8       MATH       NA       NA       NA       NA         2016-2017       95.00%       89.05%       11       MATH       -       -       -       70.00%       No		2016-2017	95.00%	97.87%	5	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
2016-2017         95.00%         95.28%         8         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         89.05%         11         MATH         -         -         70.00%         No		2016-2017	95.00%	96.69%	6	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
2016-2017         95.00%         95.28%         8         MATH         NA         NA         NA         NA           2016-2017         95.00%         89.05%         11         MATH         -         -         70.00%         No		2016-2017	95.00%	96.62%	7	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
2016-2017 95.00% 89.05% 11 MATH 70.00% No					8			NA		
							-	-		
	Note:									

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - ELA

State

State

Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2016-2017	32.70%	23.92%	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	20.95%	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	22.97%	5	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	6	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	17.49%	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.46%	8	ELA	NA	-	NA	NA
	2016-2017	32.70%	13.57%	11	ELA	-	_	< 5%	NA
Note:	2010 2017	02.7070	20.0770					. 5,7	
Proficiency Rate	e on the State Asse	ssment - MATH							
								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	Target	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2016-2017	29.20%	25.90%	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	21.05%	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	14.94%	5	MATH	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	6	MATH	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA
	2016-2017	29.20%	13.42%	7	MATH	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA
	2010-2017		11.82%	8	MATH	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
	2016-2017				IVIATIT	INA	IVA	INA	IVA
	2016-2017	29.20%			NANTII			~ E0/	NIO
Note:	2016-2017 2016-2017	29.20%	8.46%	11	MATH	-	-	< 5%	No
	2016-2017		8.46%	11		•	-	< 5%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	8.46%	11		SWD Suspended > 10	- Non-SWD Suspende		No
Significant Disc	2016-2017 repancy in the Rate	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens	8.46% sion and Explusion	11 s of Students with Dis	abilities	SWD Suspended > 10 Days		<u>1</u>	
Significant Disc	2016-2017	29.20%	8.46%	11		SWD Suspended > 10  Days -	Non-SWD Suspender  > 10 Days  -		No  Met Target?  Yes
Significant Disc	2016-2017 repancy in the Rate <u>School Year</u>	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target	8.46% sion and Explusion State Data	11 s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment	abilities <u>Non-SWD Enrollment</u>			<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
Significant Disc Indicator 4A	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year  2015-2016	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target	8.46% sion and Explusion State Data 66.67%	11 as of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment  59	abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244			<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment  59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20	abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244	<u>Days</u> -	> 10 Days -	<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment  59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15.	<u>Days</u> -	> 10 Days -	<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
Significant Disc <u>Indicator 4A</u> Note:	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the recognitions are selected as the selected as th	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a	11  S of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment  59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15.	Days - t exceeded rate ratio of 1.	> 10 Days - .20	<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07	Met Target?
Significant Disc ndicator 4A Note:	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the recognitions are selected as the selected as th	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a	11  S of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment  59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. hared to the same LEAs that	Days - t exceeded rate ratio of 1.	> 10 Days - .20	<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07	Met Target?
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the recognitions are selected as the selected as th	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a	11  S of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment  59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. hared to the same LEAs that	Days - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. and Noncompliant Polici	> 10 Days - .20	<u>d</u> LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07	Met Target?
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that sions and Expulsion	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days - .20 ies, Procedures, and P	d <u>LEA Data (Rate Ratio)</u> 2.07	Met Target? Yes
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal  repancy in the Rate	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that sions and Expulsion  State Data	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that sabilities by Race/Ethnicity	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days20 ies, Procedures, and P	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07  ractices  LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target? Yes  Met Target?
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00	8.46%  Sion and Explusion  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that sions and Expulsion  State Data 100.00%	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size composes of Students with Dis  Race Hispanic	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled 24	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days20 ies, Procedures, and P Compliant Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07  ractices  LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.02	Met Target? Yes  Met Target? Yes
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00 0.00	8.46%  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion  State Data 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size composes of Students with Dis  Race Hispanic American Indian	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled 24 -	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days20 .20 .20 .20 .20 .20 .20 .20 .20 .20	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07  ractices  LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.02 0.00	Met Target? Yes  Met Target? Yes Yes
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00	8.46%  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion  State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp as of Students with Dis  Race  Hispanic  American Indian  African American	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled 24 -	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days20 ies, Procedures, and P Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07  ractices  LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.02 0.00 0.00	Met Target? Yes  Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note: Significant Disc	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	8.46%  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion  State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size comp as of Students with Dis  Race Hispanic American Indian African American White	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled 24 -	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days20 ies, Procedures, and P Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07  ractices  LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.02	Met Target? Yes  Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Significant Disc Indicator 4A Note:	2016-2017  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016  Indicator 4A is ba State data is a cal  repancy in the Rate  School Year 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016 2015-2016	29.20%  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00%  sed on school year 201 culation based on the r  of Long-Term Suspens  State Target 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	8.46%  State Data 66.67%  5- 2016 data with a number of LEAs that ions and Expulsion  State Data 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	s of Students with Dis  SWD Enrollment 59  a Rate Ratio of > 1.20 at met the N Size composes of Students with Dis  Race Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian	Abilities  Non-SWD Enrollment 244  and an N size of 15. bared to the same LEAs that sabilities by Race/Ethnicity  SWD Enrolled 24 -	Days  - t exceeded rate ratio of 1. r and Noncompliant Polici SWD Suspended > 10	> 10 Days20 ies, Procedures, and P Compliant Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.07  ractices  LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 2.02 0.00 0.00 2.02 0.00 2.02 0.00	Met Target? Yes  Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

LEA Data
% of SWD Meeting

			el ees/ ss ful					
Indicator 5A	School Year 2016-2017	State Target 70.00%	r Class 80% or More of the <u>State Data</u> 65.72%	оау	Number of SWD 65	Number of SWD In LRE A 63	<b>LEA Data</b> <u><b>% in LRE A</b></u> > 95%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	lren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved Inside the Regula	r Class Less Than 40% of th	Day				
Indicator 5B	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 15.10%	<u>State Data</u> 14.96%		Number of SWD 65	Number of SWD In LRE B -	LRE Data % in LRE B < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	Iren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved In Separate School	ols, Residential Facilities, a	d in Homebound/Hospital Placements				
Indicator 5C	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 4.50%	<u>State Data</u> 5.46%		Number of SWD 65	Number of SWD <u>In LRE C</u> -	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> < 5%	Met Target? Yes
Note:								
Preschool Envir	onments: Percent o	f Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regular Early (	nildhood Program and Receiving the Ma	ajority of Special Educati	ion and Related Services	in the Regular Early Ch	ildhood
Indicator 6A	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 48.50%	<u>State Data</u> 47.46%		<u>Number of SWD</u> NA	Number of SWD Receiving Services in the Regular EC Program NA	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program NA	Met Target?
Note:								
Preschool Envir	onments: Percent o School Year	f Children Aged 3 to 5 a	Attending a Separate Spec	al Education Class, Separate School, or I	Residential Facility  Number of SWD	Number of SWD Receiving Services in Separate Setting	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in Separate Setting	Met Target?
	2016-2017	33.50%	34.78%		NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA
Note:								

Positive Social/Emotional Skills; Percent Increased Indicator 7A 2016-2017 88.60% 288.60% 289.89% NA Met Target 2016-2017 88.60% 289.89% NA Met Target 2016-2017 89.60% 289.89% NA Met Target 2016-2017 89.00% 289.89% 28	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	lls in Positive Social/Emot	ional Skills			
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:    Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:   Percent Increased Rate of Knowledge and Skills:   Percent Increased Rate of School Year Growth State Target   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   NA   S 2.70%   48.60%   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N	Indicator 7A		Skills : Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target				Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target			
Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Skide Data Indicator 7B School Year 2016-2017 91.10% School Year 2016-2017 91.10% School Year 2016-2017 91.10% School Year 2016-2017 91.10% School Year 2016-2017 School Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors    Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Incre	Note:									
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors  Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Increased Rate of Growth Increased Rate of Growth Increased Rate of Growth Increased Rate of Behaviors  Note:  Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Diisabilities    State	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent c	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills:	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	lls in Acquisition and Use	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age			
Note:  Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors:    Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth	Indicator 7B									
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors    Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation   Increased Rate of Growth		2016-2017	91.10%	87.36%	NA	NA	52.70%	48.60%	NA	NA
Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth Indicator 7C School Year 2016-2017 Section Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Diisabilities    LEA Data	Note:									
Rehaviors: Percent   Increased Rate of Growth   Indicator 7C   School Year   State Target   State Data   LEA Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   65.30%   State Data   LEA Data   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   NA   N	Preschool Outc	omes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Skil	lls in Use of Appropriate B	Behaviors			
State State Total Number of LEA Data  Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree Met Target?  2016-2017 88.00% 89.18% > 95% Yes			Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target				Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target			
State State Total Number of LEA Data  Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree Met Target?  2016-2017 88.00% 89.18% > 95% Yes										
2016-2017 88.00% 89.18% >95% Yes	Percent of Pare	nts with a Child			ort That School Fac		nt as a Means of Improving	Services and Results for		abilities
	Indicator 8					Respondents	Number Agree	Number Disagree		
Note: NA		2016-2017	88.00%	89.18%		-	-	-	> 95%	Yes
	Note:	NA								

#### **Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016**

### **Delaware Academy of Public Safety and Security**

Disproportionate Representation of	Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Rela	ated Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Indicator 9	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Indicator 10	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Evaluations Co	onducted Within 45 S	School Days or 90 Cale	ndar Days, Whichever is	Shorter, of Receiving Parent Consent for Init	ial Evaluation			
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.97%	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u> -	Number Within Timelines -	Number Not Within Timelines 0	% LEA Data Within Timelines > 95%	Met Target? Yes

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

						LEA Data % Who	
				Total Number of SWD	Number of Students Referred Minus Not	Received Services by	
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	Who Turned Age 3	Eligible and/or Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	98.55%	NA	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals

				Total Number of IEPS	Number of IEPS Meeting	LEA Data % Meeting	
Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Standard</u>	Standard Met Ta	rget?
	2016-2017	100.00%	99.24%	54	54	> 95% Yes	ŝ

Note:

Note:

Note:

NA

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or In Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 33.00%	<u>State Data</u> 49.47%	Total Number of Exiters	Total Number of Respondents -	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A 60.00%	Met Target? Yes
		State Target 64.00%	<u>State Data</u> 82.59%			Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B 80.00%	Met Target? Yes
Note:		State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 86.20%			Group C Respondents	<u>LEA Data % Group C</u> 80.00%	Met Target?