

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 24, 2018

Dr. D. Dusty Blakey, Ed.D. Superintendent Colonial School District 318 East Basin Road New Castle, DE 19720-4214

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Dr. Blakey:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance.

For FFY 2016, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

• Compliance:

0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

May 24, 2018 Colonial School District FFY 2016 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Intervention</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Maria Locuniak, will be in contact with Jon Cooper to provide technical assistance and discuss next steps, including the development of a Corrective Action Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/ANB

Attachment

cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education
Jon Cooper, Ed.D., Director of Student Services
Maria N. Locuniak, Ph.D., NCSP, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2016 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2016 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

Indicator 12

Indicator 13

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	npliance:	or range and same, and ese or rappropriate Benaviors
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.	
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined) and/or		LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)	
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)	

Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

Transition Planning in the IEP

Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016

Colonial School District

	ioiliai 3	chool Dis	trict			
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2015-2016	74.10%	67.15%	48.08%	0	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2015-2016	4.60%	<5%	<5%	0	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	94.81%	0	1
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	79.52%	0	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 4		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 5		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 7		95.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Grade 8		95.00%	>95%	94.81%	0	1
Grade 11		95.00%	89.05%	79.52%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		32.70%	23.92%	16.23%	0	1
Grade 4		32.70%	20.95%	12.58%	0	1
Grade 5		32.70%	22.97%	15.38%	0	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	14.94%	0	1
Grade 7		32.70%	17.49%	13.39%	0	1
Grade 8		32.70%	16.46%	7.53%	0	1
Grade 11		32.70%	13.57%	9.09%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		29.20%	25.90%	14.47%	0	1
Grade 4		29.20%	21.05%	9.87%	0	1
Grade 5		29.20%	14.94%	9.62%	0	1
Grade 6	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	9.03%	0	1
Grade 7		29.20%	13.42%	7.75%	0	1
Grade 8		29.20%	11.82%	6.85%	0	1
Grade 11		29.20%	8.46%	<5%	0	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities Note: Indicator 4A is based on school year 2015 2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.	2015-2016	Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Met Target	1	1

Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016

Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the		70.00%	65.72%	61.19%	0	1
Class 80% of the Day Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the	-	70.0070	03.7270	01.1370	U	
Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2016-2017	15.10%	14.96%	15.86%	0	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in	1					
Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and		4.50%	5.46%	8.84%	0	1
Homebound/Hospital Placements						
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016-2017	88.60%	89.89%	83.56%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation		58.00%	51.26%	41.62%	0	1
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		91.10%	87.36%	81.70%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	52.70%	48.60%	46.67%	0	1
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate		32.7070	40.0070	40.0770		1
Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2016 2017	90.20%	88.19%	81.40%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2016-2017	65.30%	64.31%	60.00%	0	1
Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2016	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices. Indicator 4B is based on school year 2015-2016 data with a Rate Ratio of 1.20.		Rate Ratio 1.20	NA	Target Not Met	0	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Met Targets	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Met Targets	1	1
Disabilities						
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	0	1
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2016-2017	100.00%	>95%	>95%	1	1
indicator 13. Secondary transition	2010-2017	100.00%	79370	73370	1	1
Determination Summary			Ann	ual Determin	ation:	
Compliance Indicators Score	4		Ne	eds Interven	tion	
Possible Points:	6					
Results Indicators Score	11	Interven	tion Plan /Com	pliance Agree	ment:	No
Possible Points:	40					
Score Total	15					
Out of a Describing						
Out of a Possible:	46					

				Color	iai School District				
Graduation Ra	te								
Indicator <u>1</u> Note: Percent	School Year 2015-2016 of youth with IEPs &	State Target 74.10% graduating from high so	State Data 67.15% Chool with a regular h	igh school diploma	within 4-year adjusted o	<u>Number Eligible</u> 104 cohort	Number Graduated 50	LEA Data % SWD Who Graduated 48.08%	Met Target? No
Due a Out Date									
Indicator 2	<u>School Year</u> 2015-2016	State Target 4.60%	<u>State Data</u> 2.91%			<u>Number Enrolled</u> 416	Number of Drop-Outs 20	LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u> < 5%	Met Target?
Note:									
Note.									
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - ELA							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2016-2017	95.00%	98.15%	3	ELA	158	154	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	98.45%	4	ELA	153	151	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.93%	5	ELA	159	156	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.03%	6	ELA	161	154	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.34%	7	ELA	130	127	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	95.77%	8	ELA	154	146	94.81%	No
Nata	2016-2017	95.00%	89.05%	11	ELA	83	66	79.52%	No
Note:									
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - MATH							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.67%	3	MATH	158	152	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	98.13%	4	MATH	154	152	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	97.87%	5	MATH	159	156	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	96.69%	6	MATH	161	155	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	96.62%	7	MATH	132	129	> 95%	Yes
	2016-2017	95.00%	95.28%	8	MATH	154	146	94.81%	No
	2016-2017	95.00%	89.05%	11	MATH	83	66	79.52%	No
Note:	2010 2017	33.0070	05.05/0		WATTI	- 03		75.5270	140
wote.									

State

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - ELA

		Juic	State					70 OI SVID WICCHING	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2016-2017	32.70%	23.92%	3	ELA	154	25	16.23%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	20.95%	4	ELA	151	19	12.58%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	22.97%	5	ELA	156	24	15.38%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.11%	6	ELA	154	23	14.94%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	17.49%	7	ELA	127	17	13.39%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	16.46%	8	ELA	146	-	7.53%	No
	2016-2017	32.70%	13.57%	11	ELA	66	-	9.09%	No
Note:									
Proficiency Rat	e on the State Asse	ssment - MATH							
·								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2016-2017	29.20%	25.90%	3	MATH	152	22	14.47%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	21.05%	4	MATH	152	15	9.87%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	14.94%	5	MATH	156	15	9.62%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	12.56%	6	MATH	155	-	9.03%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	13.42%	7	MATH	129	_	7.75%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	11.82%	8	MATH	146	_	6.85%	No
	2016-2017	29.20%	8.46%	11	MATH	66	_	< 5%	No
Note:	2010-2017	23.2070	0.40/0		IVIATTI	00		\ 3 /0	NO
Significant Disc	repancy in the Kate	e of Long-Term Suspens	ion and Explusion	s or Students with Dis	abilities				
						SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended		
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	<u>Days</u>	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
	2015-2016	0.00%	66.67%	1585	8178	20	88	1.17	Yes
Note:	Indicator 4A is ba	sed on school year 201	5- 2016 data with a	a Rate Ratio of > 1.20	and an N size of 15.				
					pared to the same LEAs that	t exceeded rate ratio of 1.	20		
Significant Disc	repancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	ions and Expulsion	s of Students with Dis	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity	and Noncompliant Polici	es, Procedures, and Pr	ractices	
						SWD Suspended > 10			
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	Race	SWD Enrolled	Days	Compliant	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Met Target?
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	Hispanic	509		Yes	0.34	Yes
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	American Indian	-	-	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	African American	750	16	No	1.82	No
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	White	280	-	Yes	0.61	Yes
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	Asian	25	_	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	Haw./P.I.	-	<u>-</u>	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2015-2016	0.00	100.00%	Multiple	17	<u>-</u>	Yes	0.00	Yes
	2015 2010	0.00	100.0070	marapic			103	0.00	, cs
Note:	Indicator /B is ha	ased on school year 201	5-2016 data with a	Rate Ratio of > 1.20	and an Nicize of 10				
		•			pared to the same LEAs that	t avecaded rate ratio of 1	20		
	State data is a Ca	iculation based on the f	TOTALDEL OF LEAS LINE	at met the N Size Comp	bared to the same LEAS tha	conceeded rate ratio of 1.	20		

LEA Data
% of SWD Meeting

Indicator 5A Note:	Iren Aged 6 to 21 Se <u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	rved Inside the Regula State Target 70.00%	r Class 80% or More of the State Data 65.72%	Day	Number of SWD 1595	Number of SWD In LRE A 976	LEA Data % in LRE A 61.19%	Met Target?
Note.								
Percent of Child	Iren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved Inside the Regula	r Class Less Than 40% of t	ne Day				
Indicator 5B	School Year 2016-2017	State Target 15.10%	<u>State Data</u> 14.96%	·	Number of SWD 1595	Number of SWD In LRE B 253	LRE Data % in LRE B 15.86%	Met Target? No
Note:								
Percent of Child	Iren Aged 6 to 21 Se	rved In Separate Schoo	ols, Residential Facilities,	nd in Homebound/Hospital Placements		Number of SWD	LRE Data	
Indicator 5C	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 4.50%	<u>State Data</u> 5.46%		Number of SWD 1595	<u>In LRE C</u> 141	<u>% in LRE C</u> 8.84%	Met Target? No
Note:								
Preschool Envir	onments: Percent o	f Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regular Early	Childhood Program and Receiving the Ma	ajority of Special Educati	ion and Related Services	in the Regular Early Ch	ildhood
Indicator 6A Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 48.50%	<u>State Data</u> 47.46%		Number of SWD 210	Number of SWD Receiving Services in the Regular EC Program 60	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program 28.57%	Met Target? No
Indicator 6B Note:	School Year 2016-2017	f Children Aged 3 to 5 A	Attending a Separate Spe State Data 34.78%	cial Education Class, Separate School, or I	Residential Facility Number of SWD 210	Number of SWD Receiving Services in Separate Setting 138	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in Separate Setting 65.71%	Met Target? No

Preschool Outo	omes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Ski	lls in Positive Social/Emot	ional Skills			
Indicator 7A	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 88.60%	<u>State Data</u> 89.89%	<u>LEA Data</u> 83.56%	<u>Met Target</u> No	Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 58.00%	<u>State Data</u> 51.26%	<u>LEA Data</u> 41.62%	<u>Met Target</u> No
Note:									
Preschool Outc	School Year 2016-2017	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 91.10%	o 5 Who Demons State Data 87.36%	strate Improved Ski LEA Data 81.70%	Ils in Acquisition and Use Met Target No	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 52.70%	<u>State Data</u> 48.60%	<u>LEA Data</u> 46.67%	<u>Met Target</u> No
Note:									
Preschool Outo	omes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3 t	o 5 Who Demons	strate Improved Ski	lls in Use of Appropriate E	Behaviors			
Indicator 7C Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 90.20%	<u>State Data</u> 88.19%	<u>LEA Data</u> 81.40%	<u>Met Target</u> No	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 65.30%	<u>State Data</u> 64.31%	<u>LEA Data</u> 60.00%	<u>Met Target</u> No
Note.									
Percent of Pare	ents with a Child	Receiving Special Education S		ort That School Fac		nt as a Means of Improving	Services and Results for		abilities
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State <u>Target</u> 88.00%	State <u>Data</u> 89.18%		Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> 105	<u>Number Agree</u> 95	Number Disagree -	LEA Data % Agree 90.50%	Met Target? Yes
Note:	Unsure = 1								

Spring 2018 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2016

Colonial School District

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Indicator 9	School Year	State Target	State Data	LEA Data Compliant	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	17.50%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Indicator 10	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>LEA Data Compliant</u>	Met Target?
	2016-2017	0.00%	18.92%	Yes	Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter, of Receiving	Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation
---	---------------------------------------

Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.97%	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u> 181	Number Within Timelines 176	Number Not Within Timelines 5	% LEA Data Within Timelines > 95%	Met Target?
Note:	NΔ							

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

						LEA Data 76 WIIU	
				Total Number of SWD	Number of Students Referred Minus Not	Received Services by	
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	Who Turned Age 3	Eligible and/or Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	98.55%	58	38	> 95%	Yes

Note:

Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals

Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	Reviewed	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2016-2017	100.00%	99.24%	606	606	> 95%	Yes

Total Number of IEPs Number of IEPs Meeting

Note:

LEA Data 9/ M/ha

LEA Data % Meeting

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or In Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 33.00%	<u>State Data</u> 49.47%	Total Number of Exiters 68	Total Number of Respondents 29	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A 24.14%	Met Target?
		State Target 64.00%	<u>State Data</u> 82.59%			Group B Respondents 22	LEA Data % Group B 75.86%	Met Target? Yes
Note:		State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 86.20%			Group C Respondents 26	<u>LEA Data % Group C</u> 89.66%	Met Target?