

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

April 18, 2019

Mr. Rick Gregg Superintendent Christina School District 600 North Lomard Street Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Mr. Gregg:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; an
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2017, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

April 18, 2019 Christina School District FFY 2017 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

• Compliance:

Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
 Indicators 9 & 10 Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
 Indicator 11 Timely Initial Evaluations
 Indicator 12 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B

o Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Intervention</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result your LEA is required to analyze related data and develop a continuous improvement plan addressing areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Pamela Bauman, will be in contact with *Michele Marinucci*, *Ed.D.*, *Senior Director of Pupil Personnel Services* to provide technical assistance and discuss possible actions, including the development or revision of a Continuous Improvement Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/pb Attachment

> cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education Monica Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary Michele Marinucci, Ed.D., Senior Director of Pupil Personnel Services Pamela Bauman, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2017 LEA Annual Determinations

FFY 2017 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

Graduate Rate

• Results:

Indicator 1

_		
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	mpliance:	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
0	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
0	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
0	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP
		\mathcal{C}

Meets Requirements	=	≥ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	=	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	=	≤ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Spring 2019 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2017

Christina School District

CII	ristina :					
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2017	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2016-2017	77.80%	67.94%	43.02%	0	1
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2016-2017	4.30%	2.38%	-	1	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		95.00%	98.00%	96.60%	1	1
Grade 4		95.00%	96.17%	90.53%	0	1
Grade 5		95.00%	97.85%	97.40%	1	1
Grade 6	2017-2018	95.00%	97.25%	93.83%	0	1
Grade 7		95.00%	96.64%	93.21%	0	1
Grade 8		95.00%	96.80%	92.02%	0	1
Grade 11		95.00%	84.40%	72.28%	0	1
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		95.00%	97.64%	95.83%	1	1
Grade 4		95.00%	96.34%	90.85%	0	1
Grade 5		95.00%	97.80%	97.08%	1	1
Grade 6	2017-2018	95.00%	96.63%	92.48%	0	1
Grade 7	2017 2010	95.00%	96.24%	92.31%	0	1
Grade 8		95.00%	96.79%	93.12%	0	1
Grade 11		95.00%	83.84%	72.43%	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA						
Grade 3		39.50%	12.28%	-	0	1
Grade 4		39.50%	16.88%	-	0	1
Grade 5		39.50%	15.59%	-	0	1
Grade 6	2017-2018	39.50%	11.07%	-	0	1
Grade 7		39.50%	12.81%	-	0	1
Grade 8		39.50%	13.30%	-	0	1
Grade 11		39.50%	8.62%	-	0	1
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH						
Grade 3		36.30%	16.92%	-	0	1
Grade 4		36.30%	16.67%	-	0	1
Grade 5		36.30%	9.40%	-	0	1
Grade 6	2017-2018	36.30%	5.70%	-	0	1
Grade 7		36.30%	5.05%	-	0	1
Grade 8		36.30%	5.74%	-	0	1
Grade 11		36.30%	2.83%	-	0	1
Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2016-2017	Rate Ratio 1.18 Cell Size 15	NA	Exceeded Thresholds	0	1

Spring 2019 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2017

Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2017	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the		71.00%	65.74%	47.49%	0	1
Class 80% of the Day Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside the Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2017-2018	14.90%	14.94%	29.73%	0	1
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and Homebound/Hospital Placements	2017 2010	4.00%	5.22%	-	0	1
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2017-2018	89.80%	91.25%	87.12%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use		59.30%	51.06%	52.98%	0	1
of Knowledge and Skills Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2017-2018	92.20%	88.14%	78.10%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate	2017-2018	53.70%	46.86%	47.30%	0	1
Behaviors Percent Increase Rate of Growth		91.30%	89.60%	83.90%	0	1
Percent Within Age Expectation	2017-2018	65.40%	63.58%	66.67%	1	1
Compliance Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2017	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices.	2016-2017	Rate Ratio 1.18 Cell Size 10	NA	Noncompliant	0	1
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities	2017-2018	0.00%	NA	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities	2017-2018	0.00%	NA	Compliant	1	1
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2017-2018	100.00%	99.36%	100.00%	1	1
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B	2017-2018	100.00%	89.11%	62.50%	0	1
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2017-2018	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	1	1
Determination Summary			Anı	nual Determina	ition:	
Compliance Indicators Score	4		N	leeds Intervent	ion	
Possible Points: Results Indicators Score	6 6	Interver	ntion Plan /Co	mpliance Agreen	nent:	No
Possible Points:	40			,		
Score Total	10					
Out of a Possible:	46					
Percentage:	21.74%					

Indicator 1 Note: Percent Drop-Out Rate Indicator 2 Note:	School Year 2016-2017 of youth with IEPs g	State Target 77.80% graduating from high so State Target 4.30%	State Data 67.94% Chool with a regular h State Data 2.38%	igh school diploma	within 4-year adjusted		<u>Number Graduated</u> 74	LEA Data % SWD Who Graduated 43.02% LEA Data % SWD who Dropped	<u>Met Target?</u> No
Note: Percent of the	2016-2017 of youth with IEPs g School Year	77.80% graduating from high so	67.94% Chool with a regular h	igh school diploma v	within 4-year adjusted	172		% SWD Who Graduated 43.02%	
Indicator 2	School Year								
Indicator 2	School Year								
Note:						Number Enrolled 715	Number of Drop-Outs 25	Out -	Met Target? Yes
Mote.									
Participation Ra	ate in the State Asso	essment - ELA							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
	2017-2018	95.00%	98.00%	3	ELA	265	256	96.60%	Yes
	2017-2018	95.00%	96.17%	4 5	ELA	285	258	90.53%	No
	2017-2018	95.00%	97.85%	5 6	ELA ELA	308 227	300 213	97.40%	Yes
	2017-2018 2017-2018	95.00% 95.00%	97.25% 96.64%	7	ELA	227	206	93.83% 93.21%	No No
				8	ELA				
	2017-2018 2017-2018	95.00% 95.00%	96.80% 84.40%	0 11	ELA	188 184	173 133	92.02% 72.28%	No No
Note:	2017-2018	95.00%	84.40%	11	ELA	104	155	72.28%	INO
Participation Ra	ate in the State Asse	essment - MATH							
		State	State					LEA Data	
Indicator 3B	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Eligible	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Met Target?
marcator 3B	2017-2018	95.00%	97.64%	3	MATH	264	253	95.83%	Yes
	2017-2018	95.00%	96.34%	4	MATH	284	258	90.85%	No
	2017-2018	95.00%	97.80%	5	MATH	308	299	97.08%	Yes
	2017-2018	95.00%	96.63%	6	MATH	226	209	92.48%	No
	2017-2018	95.00%	96.24%	7	MATH	221	204	92.31%	No
	2017-2018	95.00%	96.79%	8	MATH	189	176	93.12%	No
	2017-2018	95.00%	83.84%	11	MATH	185	134	72.43%	No
Note:									

State

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - ELA

Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Target?
	2017-2018	39.50%	12.28%	3	ELA	256	18	-	No
	2017-2018	39.50%	16.88%	4	ELA	258	29	-	No
	2017-2018	39.50%	15.59%	5	ELA	300	37	-	No
	2017-2018	39.50%	11.07%	6	ELA	213	-	-	No
	2017-2018	39.50%	12.81%	7	ELA	206	18	-	No
	2017-2018	39.50%	13.30%	8	ELA	173	-	-	No
	2017-2018	39.50%	8.62%	11	ELA	133	16	-	No
Note:									
Proficiency Ra	te on the State Asse	ssment - MATH							
								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
Indicator 3C	School Year	<u>Target</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Grade</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Number Tested	Number Meets	<u>Proficiency</u>	Met Target?
	2017-2018	36.30%	16.92%	3	MATH	253	32	-	No
	2017-2018	36.30%	16.67%	4	MATH	258	32	-	No
	2017-2018	36.30%	9.40%	5	MATH	299	19	-	No
	2017-2018	36.30%	5.70%	6	MATH	209	-	-	No
	2017-2018	36.30%	5.05%	7	MATH	204	-	-	No
	2017-2018	36.30%	5.74%	8	MATH	176	-	-	No
	2017-2018	36.30%	2.83%	11	MATH	134	-	-	No
Note:									
Indianta 46	Cab and Many	State Toward	Chata Data	CMD Farellmont	Non CMD Farrellmont	SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended	-	<u>Under</u>
Indicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	<u>Days</u>	> 10 Days	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Threshold?
	2016-2017	50.00%	100.00%	2721	12031	56	175	-	No
Note:	Indicator 4A is ba	sed on school year 201	L6- 2017 data with a	a Rate Ratio of > 1.18	and an N size of 15.				
					pared to the same LEAs tha	t exceeded rate ratio of 1	.18		
.									
Significant Disc	crepancy in the Rate	of Long-Term Suspens	sions and Expulsior	is of Students with Di	sabilities by Race/Ethnicity	and Noncompliant Polic <u>SWD Suspended > 10</u>	ies, Procedures, and Pr	actices	
						OTT D Gaspenaca - 10			0
Indicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	<u>Days</u>	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Compliant?
Indicator 4B	<u>School Year</u> 2016-2017	State Target 0%	<u>State Data</u> 50.00%	<u>Race</u> Hispanic	SWD Enrolled -	<u>Days</u> -	Met Target? Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes
Indicator 4B					<u>SWD Enrolled</u> - -			LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	
Indicator 4B	2016-2017	0%	50.00%	Hispanic	SWD Enrolled 1182		Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes
Indicator 4B	2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0%	50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian	-	- -	Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes
Indicator 4B	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0% 0% 0%	50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian African American White	1182	- -	Yes Yes No Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes No Yes
Indicator 4B	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian	1182	- -	Yes Yes No Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes No Yes Yes
Indicator 4B	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian Haw./P.I.	1182	- -	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes
Indicator 4B	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian	1182	- -	Yes Yes No Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes No Yes Yes
	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian Haw./P.I. Multiple	- - 1182 - - - -	- -	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes
Indicator 4B	2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017 2016-2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% sed on school year 201	50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00%	Hispanic American Indian African American White Asian Haw./P.I. Multiple Rate Ratio of > 1.18	- - 1182 - - - -	- - 49 - - - -	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes

LEA Data
% of SWD Meeting

Dancaut of Chile	Juan Arad C to 24 C	ominad Instide the Desirie	" Class 200/ au Băsus af th	D				
Indicator 5A	School Year 2017-2018	State Target 71.00%	r Class 80% or More of th <u>State Data</u> 65.74%		Number of SWD 2708	Number of SWD In LRE A 1286	LEA Data % in LRE A 47.49%	Met Target? No
Note:								
Percent of Child	dren Aged 6 to 21 Se	erved Inside the Regula	r Class Less Than 40% of t	e Day				
Indicator 5B Note:	School Year 2017-2018	State Target 14.90%	<u>State Data</u> 14.94%		Number of SWD 2708	Number of SWD In LRE B 805	LRE Data % in LRE B 29.73%	Met Target? No
Percent of Child	School Year 2017-2018	erved In Separate School State Target 4.00%	ols, Residential Facilities, State Data 5.22%	d in Homebound/Hospital Placements	Number of SWD 2708	Number of SWD In LRE C 217	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> -	Met Target?
Note:								
Preschool Envir	onments: Percent c	of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Regular Early	hildhood Program and Receiving the Majori	ity of Special Educat	ion and Related Services	in the Regular Early Ch	ildhood
Indicator 6A Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2017-2018	State Target 49.00%	<u>State Data</u> 49.24%		Number of SWD 413	Number of SWD Receiving Services in the Regular EC Program 289	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program 69.98%	Met Target? Yes
Preschool Envir	School Year 2017-2018	of Children Aged 3 to 5 of State Target 32.00%	Attending a Separate Spe State Data 34.59%	al Education Class, Separate School, or Resi	Mumber of SWD 413	Number of SWD Receiving Services in Separate Setting 36	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in Separate Setting -	Met Target? Yes
HULE.								

<u>Positive</u>	
Positive Social/Emotional Percent Within Age Expectation State	<u>LEA Data</u> <u>Met Target</u> - No
Note:	
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills	
Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age	<u>LEA Data</u> <u>Met Target</u> - No
Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Use of Appropriate Behaviors	
Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Data LEA Data No 65.40% 63.58% Note:	<u>LEA Data</u> <u>Met Target</u> - Yes
Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Resul	ts for Children with Disabilities
Indicator 8 School Year Target Data Respondents Number Agree Number Disagree 2017-2018 89.00% 89.54% 194 171 19	LEA Data <u>e % Agree</u> <u>Met Target'</u> 88.14% No
2017-2016 65.00% 65.34% 154 1/1 15	

Spring 2019 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2017

Christina School District

 Indicator 9
 School Year
 State Target
 State Data
 Met Target?

 2017-2018
 0.00%
 0.00%
 Yes
 Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

 Indicator 10
 School Year
 State Target
 State Data
 LEA Data Compliant
 Met Target?

 2017-2018
 0.00%
 2.78%
 Yes
 Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation

Indicator 11	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Total Number of Initial</u> <u>Evaluations</u>	Number Within Timelines	Number Not Within Timelines	% LEA Data Within Timelines	Met Target?
	2017-2018	100.00%	99.36%	-	-	-	100.00%	Yes
Noto	0							

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

						LEA Data % Who	
				Total Number of SWD	Number of Students Referred Minus Not	Received Services by	
Indicator 12	School Year	State Target	State Data	Who Turned Age 3	Eligible and/or Parent Refusals	Age 3	Met Target?
	2017-2018	100.00%	89.11%	87	48	62.50%	No

Note:

Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals

Indicator 13	School Year	State Target	State Data	Reviewed	<u>Standard</u>	<u>Standard</u>	Met Target?
	2017-2018	100.00%	100.00%	1077	1077	100%	Yes

Total Number of IEPs Number of IEPs Meeting

Note:

LEA Data % Meeting

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

					Total Number of				
Indicator 14	School Year	State Target	State Data	Total Number of Exiters	Respondents	Group A Respondents	LEA Data % Group A	Met Target?	
	2016-2017	37.00%	41.39%	166	104	19	18.27%	No	
		State Target	State Data			Group B Respondents	LEA Data % Group B	Met Target?	
		68.00%	62.16%			27	25.96%	No	
		State Target	State Data			Group C Respondents	LEA Data % Group C	Met Target?	
		100.00%	81.56%			71	68.27%	No	
Note:									