

### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

August 24, 2020

Mr. Robert Fulton Superintendent Cape Henlopen School District 1270 Kings Highway Lewes, DE 19958

RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) - REVISED

Dear Mr. Fulton:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

- Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and
- Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2018, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

#### • Results:

| 0 | Indicator 1  | Graduate Rate   |
|---|--------------|---|
| 0 | Indicator 2  | Drop-Out Rate   |
| 0 | Indicator 3B | Participation Rate in the State Assessment                                      |
| 0 | Indicator 3C | Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment  |
| 0 | Indicator 4A | Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of   |
|   |              | Students with Disabilities  |
| 0 | Indicator 5  | Education Environments (Children 6-21)  |
| 0 | Indicator 7  | Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use |
|   |              | of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors                       |

August 24, 2020 Cape Henlopen School District FFY 2018 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

#### • Compliance:

Indicator 4B Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
 Indicators 9 & 10
 Indicator 11
 Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
 Indicators 9 & 10
 Indicator 11

Indicator 12
 Indicator 13
 Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
 Transition Planning in the IEP

Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Needs Assistance</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. As a result, your LEA is required to analyze related data and develop a continuous improvement plan addressing areas identified in your determinations in order to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

Your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Susan Veenema, will be in contact with *Jeff Conrad*, *Ed.D.*, *Supervisor of Special Education* to provide technical assistance and discuss possible actions, including the development or revision of a Continuous Improvement Plan. In the interim, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at (302) 735-4210, or by e-mail maryann.mieczkowski@doe.k12.de.us.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/pb Attachment

> cc: Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary of Academic Support

Jeff Conrad, Ed.D., Supervisor of Special Education

Pamela Bauman, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources Susan Veenema, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources

### IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

#### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

### **FFY 2018 LEA Annual Determinations**

FFY 2018 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### **Results:**

| 0   | Indicator 1  | Graduate Rate   |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 0   | Indicator 2  | Drop-Out Rate   |
| 0   | Indicator 3B | Participation Rate in the State Assessment                                      |
| 0   | Indicator 3C | Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment  |
| 0   | Indicator 4A | Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of   |
|     |              | Students with Disabilities  |
| 0   | Indicator 5  | Education Environments (Children 6-21)  |
| 0   | Indicator 7  | Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use |
|     |              | of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors                       |
| Cor | npliance:    |   |

| 0 | Indicator 4B      | Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, |
|---|-------------------|--|
|   |                   | Procedures, and Practices  |
| 0 | Indicators 9 & 10 | Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification  |
| 0 | Indicator 11      | Timely Initial Evaluations   |
| 0 | Indicator 12      | Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B   |
| 0 | Indicator 13      | Transition Planning in the IEP   |

| Meets<br>Requirements | = | ≥ 80%<br>(compliance and<br>results combined) | and    | LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan. | and/or | If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action. |
|-----------------------|---|---|--------|---|--------|---|
| Needs<br>Assistance   | = | 60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)  | and/or | LEA is engaged in an<br>Intervention Plan.      | and/or | Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)            |
| Needs<br>Intervention | = | ≤ 59%<br>(compliance and<br>results combined) | and/or | LEA is engaged in a<br>Compliance<br>Agreement. | and/or | Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)           |

| Determination      | Possible Actions (Federal)    | Possible Actions (State)   |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Meets Requirements | -                             | - Encourage continuous     |
|                    |                               | improvement planning       |
| Needs Assistance   | - Advice on available         | - Explicit plan addressing |
|                    | Technical                     | area of concern (s)        |
|                    | Assistance                    | - Advice on available      |
|                    | - Use of state level funds on | Technical                  |
|                    | area of concern               | Assistance                 |
|                    | - Other special conditions    |                            |
| Needs Intervention | - Use of Correction Action    | - Explicit plan addressing |
|                    | Plan                          | area of concern (s)        |
|                    | or Improvement Plan           | -                          |

|                   | - Compliance Agreement       |                                 |
|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                   | - Use of state level funds   |                                 |
|                   | - Use of federal funds       |                                 |
|                   | - Other enforcement actions  |                                 |
| Needs Substantial | - Use of federal funds       | - explicit plan addressing area |
| Intervention      | - Referral to Department of  | of concern (s)                  |
|                   | Justice or Inspector General |                                 |

### **Spring 2020 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2018**

**Cape Henlopen School District** 

| Cape   | пеннор                            | en Schoo                       | ו טוטנווננ |                 |           |                 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Results Indicators   | Data<br>From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2018             | State Data | LEA Data        | LEA Score | Possible Points |
| Indicator 1: Graduation Rate   | 2017-2018                         | 67.30%                         | 69.07%     | 69.57%          | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate   | 2017-2018                         | 4.00%                          | 2.60%      | -               | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA   |                                   |                                |            |                 |           |                 |
| Grade 3  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 98.00%     | 97.83%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 4  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 98.16%     | 96.04%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 5  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 97.59%     | 98.08%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 6  | 2018-2019                         | 95.00%                         | 97.17%     | 100.00%         | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 7  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 96.74%     | 98.85%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 8  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 95.70%     | 97.26%          | 1         | 1               |
| High School  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 74.76%     | 94.12%          | 0         | 1               |
| Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH  |                                   |                                |            |                 |           |                 |
| Grade 3  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 97.91%     | 97.80%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 4  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 98.06%     | 96.04%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 5  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 97.60%     | 99.03%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 6  | 2018-2019                         | 95.00%                         | 97.02%     | 100.00%         | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 7  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 96.63%     | 100.00%         | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 8  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 95.38%     | 97.26%          | 1         | 1               |
| High School  |                                   | 95.00%                         | 74.68%     | 92.75%          | 0         | 1               |
| Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA   |                                   |                                |            |                 |           |                 |
| Grade 3  |                                   | 27.63%                         | 21.42%     | 40.00%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 4  |                                   | 24.54%                         | 21.70%     | 36.08%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 5  |                                   | 25.58%                         | 21.23%     | 36.27%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 6  | 2018-2019                         | 17.74%                         | 15.28%     | 22.73%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 7  |                                   | 18.07%                         | 15.82%     | 25.58%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 8  |                                   | 17.53%                         | 15.18%     | 32.39%          | 1         | 1               |
| High School  |                                   | 17.46%                         | 12.82%     | 20.31%          | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH  |                                   |                                |            |                 |           |                 |
| Grade 3  |                                   | 30.82%                         | 25.02%     | 42.70%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 4  |                                   | 22.91%                         | 21.24%     | 29.90%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 5  |                                   | 16.94%                         | 13.99%     | 25.49%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 6  | 2018-2019                         | 13.76%                         | 9.00%      | -               | 0         | 1               |
| Grade 7  |                                   | 14.43%                         | 7.30%      | 17.24%          | 1         | 1               |
| Grade 8  |                                   | 12.75%                         | 6.14%      | 18.31%          | 1         | 1               |
| High School  |                                   | 10.85%                         | 3.20%      | -               | 0         | 1               |
|  |                                   |                                |            |                 |           |                 |
| Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-<br>Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with<br>Disabilities | 2017-2018                         | Rate Ratio 2.0<br>Cell Size 15 | NA         | Under Threshold | 1         | 1               |

### **Spring 2020 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2018**

| Results Indicators Continued  | Data<br>From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2018             | State Data      | LEA Data                    | LEA Score | Possible Points |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside  |                                   | 72.00%                         | 64.98%          | 50.64%                      | 0         | 1               |
| the Class 80% of the Day  |                                   | 72.00%                         | 04.38%          | 30.04%                      | U         | 1               |
| Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside  | 2018-2019                         | 14.70%                         | 14.61%          | 16.63%                      | 0         | 1               |
| the Class Less Than 40% of the Day Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and  | 2018-2019                         | 3.50%                          | 4.91%           | -                           | 0         | 1               |
| Homebound/Hospital Placements   |                                   |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive  |                                   |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Social/Emotional Skills   |                                   |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Percent Increase Rate of Growth   |                                   | 91.00%                         | 89.78%          | 94.44%                      | 1         | 1               |
| Percent Within Age Expectation  | 2018-2019                         | 60.70%                         | 50.95%          | 61.54%                      | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills  |                                   |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Percent Increase Rate of Growth   | 2018-2019                         | 93.40%                         | 88.49%          | 86.36%                      | 0         | 1               |
| Percent Within Age Expectation  | 2018-2019                         | 54.80%                         | 48.38%          | 62.26%                      | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate<br>Behaviors   |                                   |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Percent Increase Rate of Growth   | 2018-2019                         | 92.30%                         | 89.34%          | 96.43%                      | 1         | 1               |
| Percent Within Age Expectation  | 2020 2025                         | 65.50%                         | 60.92%          | 80.77%                      | 1         | 1               |
| Compliance Indicators   | Data<br>From:<br>(Time<br>Period) | SPP Target<br>2018             | State Data      | LEA Data                    | LEA Score | Possible Points |
| Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-<br>Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with<br>Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,<br>Procedures, and Practices. | 2017-2018                         | Rate Ratio 2.0<br>Cell Size 10 | NA              | Compliant                   | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation All Disabilities   | 2018-2019                         | 0.00%                          | NA              | Noncompliant                | 0         | 1               |
|   |                                   |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific Disabilities   | 2018-2019                         | 0.00%                          | NA              | Compliant                   | 1         | 1               |
|   | •                                 |                                |                 |                             | •         |                 |
| Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline   | 2018-2019                         | 100.00%                        | 99.24%          | 100.00%                     | 1         | 1               |
| Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B  | 2018-2019                         | 100.00%                        | 93.68%          | 97.83%                      | 0         | 1               |
| Indicator 13: Secondary Transition  | 2018-2019                         | 100.00%                        | 96.50%          | 100.00%                     | 1         | 1               |
| Determination Summary   |                                   |                                | Anr             | nual Determina              | ation:    |                 |
| Compliance Indicators Score   | 4                                 |                                | 1               | <mark>Needs Assistan</mark> | ce        |                 |
| Possible Points:  | 6                                 |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Results Indicators Score  | 32                                | Interve                        | ntion Plan /Cor | mpliance Agreer             | ment:     | No              |
| Possible Points:  | 40                                |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Score Total   | 36                                |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Out of a Possible:  | 46                                |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |
| Percentage:   | 78.26%                            |                                |                 |                             |           |                 |

|                      |  |  |  | Cape Her                              | lopen School Distr                                      | rict                                      |                                  |  |                             |
|----------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| <b>Graduation Ra</b> | te   |  |  |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
| Indicator 1          | <u>School Year</u><br>2017-2018  | State Target<br>67.30%                   | <u>State Data</u><br>69.07%                      |                                       |   | <u>Number Eligible</u><br>69              | Number Graduated<br>48           | LEA Data % SWD Who Graduated 69.57%                  | Met Target?<br>Yes          |
| Note: Percent        | of youth with IEPs g   | graduating from high so                  | chool with a regular h                           | nigh school diploma                   | within 4-year adjusted                                  | cohort                                    |                                  |  |                             |
|                      |  |  |  |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
| Drop-Out Rate        |  |  |  |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
| Indicator 2          | <u>School Year</u><br>2017-2018  | State Target<br>4.00%                    | <u>State Data</u><br>2.60%                       |                                       |   | Number Enrolled<br>305                    | Number of Drop-Outs<br>-         | LEA Data <u>% SWD who Dropped</u> <u>Out</u> -       | Met Target?<br>Yes          |
| Note:                |  |  |  |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
| Participation R      | School Year<br>2018-2019<br>2018-2019<br>2018-2019<br>2018-2019<br>2018-2019 | State Target 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% | State <u>Data</u> 98.00%  98.16%  97.59%  97.17% | <b>Grade</b><br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7 | <mark>Subject</mark><br>ELA<br>ELA<br>ELA<br>ELA<br>ELA | Number Eligible<br>92<br>101<br>104<br>88 | Number Tested  90  97  102  88   | LEA Data Percent Tested 97.83% 96.04% 98.08% 100.00% | Met Target? Yes Yes Yes Yes |
|                      | 2018-2019<br>2018-2019   | 95.00%<br>95.00%                         | 96.74%<br>95.70%                                 | /<br>8                                | ELA<br>ELA  | 87<br>73                                  | 86<br>71                         | 98.85%<br>97.26%                                     | Yes<br>Yes                  |
|                      | 2018-2019  | 95.00%                                   | 74.76%   | HS                                    | ELA   | 68  | 64                               | 94.12%   | No                          |
| Note: Category       | of Grade 11 change   | ed to HS in 2020 report                  | ting   |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
|                      |  |  |  |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
| Participation R      | ate in the State Ass   | essment - MATH                           |  |                                       |   |   |                                  |  |                             |
|                      |  | State                                    | State  |                                       |   |   |                                  | LEA Data   |                             |
| Indicator 3B         | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019<br>2018-2019                                 | <u>Target</u><br>95.00%<br>95.00%        | <u>Data</u><br>97.91%<br>98.06%                  | Grade<br>3<br>4                       | <u>Subject</u><br>MATH<br>MATH                          | <u>Number Eligible</u><br>91<br>101       | <u>Number Tested</u><br>89<br>97 | Percent Tested<br>97.80%<br>96.04%                   | Met Target?<br>Yes<br>Yes   |
|                      | 2018-2019  | 95.00%                                   | 97.60%   | 5                                     | MATH  | 103                                       | 102                              | 99.03%   | Yes                         |
|                      | 2018-2019  | 95.00%                                   | 97.02%   | 6                                     | MATH  | 88  | 88                               | 100.00%  | Yes                         |

MATH

MATH

MATH

87

73

69

87

71

64

100.00%

97.26%

92.75%

7

8

HS

96.63%

95.38%

74.68%

Note: Category of Grade 11 changed to HS in 2020 reporting

95.00%

95.00%

95.00%

2018-2019

2018-2019

2018-2019

Yes

Yes

No

| <b>Proficiency Ra</b> | te on the State Asses | sment - ELA           |             |              |                |               |              | LEA Data           |             |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                       |                       | State                 | State       |              |                |               |              | % of SWD Meeting   |             |
| Indicator 3C          | School Year           | <u>Target</u>         | <u>Data</u> | <u>Grade</u> | <u>Subject</u> | Number Tested | Number Meets | <b>Proficiency</b> | Met Target? |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 27.63%                | 21.42%      | 3            | ELA            | 90            | 36           | 40.00%             | Yes         |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 24.54%                | 21.70%      | 4            | ELA            | 97            | 35           | 36.08%             | Yes         |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 25.58%                | 21.23%      | 5            | ELA            | 102           | 37           | 36.27%             | Yes         |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 17.74%                | 15.28%      | 6            | ELA            | 88            | 20           | 22.73%             | Yes         |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 18.07%                | 15.82%      | 7            | ELA            | 86            | 22           | 25.58%             | Yes         |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 17.53%                | 15.18%      | 8            | ELA            | 71            | 23           | 32.39%             | Yes         |
|                       | 2018-2019             | 17.46%                | 12.82%      | HS           | ELA            | 64            | -            | -                  | Yes         |
| Note: Category        | y of Grade 11 change  | d to HS in 2020 repor | ting        |              |                |               |              |                    |             |

Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment - MATH

|                     |                    |                        |             |              |                |               |              | LEA Data           |             |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                     |                    | State                  | State       |              |                |               |              | % of SWD Meeting   |             |
| <b>Indicator 3C</b> | School Year        | <u>Target</u>          | <u>Data</u> | <u>Grade</u> | <u>Subject</u> | Number Tested | Number Meets | <u>Proficiency</u> | Met Target? |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 30.82%                 | 25.02%      | 3            | MATH           | 89            | 38           | 42.70%             | Yes         |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 22.91%                 | 21.24%      | 4            | MATH           | 97            | 29           | 29.90%             | Yes         |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 16.94%                 | 13.99%      | 5            | MATH           | 102           | 26           | 25.49%             | Yes         |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 13.76%                 | 9.00%       | 6            | MATH           | 88            | -            | -                  | No          |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 14.43%                 | 7.30%       | 7            | MATH           | 87            | -            | -                  | Yes         |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 12.75%                 | 6.14%       | 8            | MATH           | 71            | -            | -                  | Yes         |
|                     | 2018-2019          | 10.85%                 | 3.20%       | HS           | MATH           | 64            | -            | -                  | No          |
| Note: Category      | of Grade 11 change | d to HS in 2020 report | ing         |              |                |               |              |                    |             |

Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Explusions of Students with Disabilities

| Indicator 4A | School Year<br>2017-2018 | State Target 50.00%     | <u>State Data</u><br>100.00% | SWD Enrollment<br>994  | Non-SWD Enrollment<br>4526   | SWD Suspended > 10  Days  - | Non-SWD Suspended > 10 Days 18 | LEA Data (Rate Ratio) 1.24 | <u>Under</u><br><u>Threshold?</u><br>Yes |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| LEA Note     |                          |                         |                              |                        |                              |                             |                                |                            |  |
| Note:        | Indicator 4A is base     | d on school year 2017   | - 2018 data with a           | Rate Ratio of > 2.0 ar | nd an N size of 15 for three | e consecutive years.        |                                |                            |  |
|              | State data is a calcu    | llation based on the nu | imber of LEAs that           | t met the N Size comp  | ared to the same LEAs tha    | t exceeded rate ratio of 2  | .0, for three consecutiv       | ve years.                  |  |

Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices

|              |             |              |            |                  |              | SWD Suspended > 10 | <u>)</u>    |                       |            |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Indicator 4B | School Year | State Target | State Data | <u>Race</u>      | SWD Enrolled | <u>Days</u>        | Met Target? | LEA Data (Rate Ratio) | Compliant? |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | Hispanic/Latino  | 129          | -                  | Yes         | -                     | Yes        |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | Native American  | -            | -                  | Yes         | -                     | Yes        |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | African American | 181          | -                  | Yes         | 0.69                  | Yes        |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | White            | 638          | -                  | Yes         | 1.35                  | Yes        |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | Asian            | -            | -                  | Yes         | -                     | Yes        |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | Haw./P.I.        | -            | -                  | Yes         | -                     | Yes        |
|              | 2017-2018   | 0%           | 50.00%     | Multiple         | 38           | -                  | Yes         | -                     | Yes        |
| Lea Note:    |             |              |            |                  |              |                    |             |                       |            |

Note: Indicator 4B is based on school year 2017-2018 data with a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an N size of 10 for three consecutive years. State data is a calculation based on the number of LEAs that met the N Size compared to the same LEAs that exceeded rate ratio of 2.0

| Percent of Child      | ren Aged 6 to 21 Ser            | ved Inside the Regular | Class 80% or More of the     | Day                                       |                         |   |  |                    |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| Indicator 5A          | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019 | State Target<br>72.00% | <u>State Data</u><br>64.98%  |   | Number of SWD<br>938    | Number of SWD<br><u>In LRE A</u><br>475                             | <b>LEA Data % in LRE A</b> 50.64%                                    | Met Target?        |
| Note:                 |                                 |                        |                              |   |                         |   |  |                    |
| Parcent of Child      | ron Agod 6 to 21 Sor            | wod Incido the Pogular | Class Less Than 40% of the   | ) Day                                     |                         |   |  |                    |
| referred of child     | rem Ageu o to 21 Ser            | veu miside the Regular | Class Less Than 40% of the   | . Day                                     |                         |   |  |                    |
| Indicator 5B          | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019 | State Target<br>14.70% | State Data<br>14.61%         |   | Number of SWD<br>938    | Number of SWD In<br>LRE B<br>156                                    | LRE Data % in LRE B<br>16.63%  | Met Target?<br>No  |
| Note:                 |                                 |                        |                              |   |                         |   |  |                    |
| Percent of Child      | ren Aged 6 to 21 Ser            | ved In Senarate Schoo  | ls Residential Facilities an | d in Homebound/Hospital Placements        |                         |   |  |                    |
| Indicator 5C          | School Year<br>2018-2019        | State Target 3.50%     | State Data 4.91%             | a in nomesound mospital viacements        | Number of SWD<br>938    | Number of SWD In LRE C 92   | LRE Data<br><u>% in LRE C</u><br>-                                   | Met Target?        |
|                       | 2010 2013                       | 3.3670                 | 52%                          |   | 350                     | - J-  |  |                    |
| Note:                 |                                 |                        |                              |   |                         |   |  |                    |
|                       | onments: Percent of             | Children Aged 3 to 5 A | ttending a Regular Early Cl  | nildhood Program and Receiving the Ma     | jority of Special Educa | tion and Related Service  | s in the Regular Early C   | Childhood          |
| Program  Indicator 6A | School Year<br>2018-2019        | State Target 50.50%    | <u>State Data</u><br>47.91%  |   | Number of SWD<br>129    | Number of<br>SWD Receiving<br>Services in the<br>Regular EC Program | LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the Regular EC program 66.67% | Met Target?<br>Yes |
|                       | 2010 2013                       | 30.3070                | 17.3170                      |   | 123                     | 00  | 00.0770  | 163                |
| Note:                 |                                 |                        |                              |   |                         |   |  |                    |
| Preschool Enviro      | onments: Percent of             | Children Aged 3 to 5 A | ttending a Separate Speci    | al Education Class, Separate School, or F | Residential Facility    | Number of SWD<br>Receiving Services                                 | LEA Data<br>Percent Receiving<br>Services in                         |                    |
| Indicator 6B          | School Year                     | State Target           | State Data                   |   | Number of SWD           | in Separate Setting   | Separate Setting   | Met Target?        |
|                       | 2018-2019                       | 31.00%                 | 37.38%                       |   | 129                     | -   | -  | Yes                |
| Note:                 |                                 |                        |                              |   |                         |   |  |                    |

| Preschool Outo      | comes: Percent                  | of Preschool Students Aged 3  | to 5 Who Demon                         | strate Improved Ski       | ills in Positive Social/Emo           | otional Skills  |                             |                                 |                          |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Indicator 7A  Note: | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019 | Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 91.00%            | <b>State Data</b><br>89.78%            | <u>LEA Data</u><br>94.44% | <u>Met Target</u><br>Yes              | Positive Social/Emotional Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 60.70%            | <u>State Data</u><br>50.95% | <u>LEA Data</u><br>61.54%       | <u>Met Target</u><br>Yes |
| note:               |                                 |   |  |                           |                                       |   |                             |                                 |                          |
| Preschool Outo      | comes: Percent                  | of Preschool Students Aged 3  | to 5 Who Demon                         | strate Improved Ski       | ills in Acquisition and Use           | e of Knowledge and Skills   |                             |                                 |                          |
| Indicator 7B        | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019 | Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 93.40% | <u>State Data</u><br>88.49%            | <u>LEA Data</u><br>86.36% | <u>Met Target</u><br>No               | Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 54.80% | <u>State Data</u><br>48.38% | <u>LEA Data</u><br>62.26%       | <u>Met Target</u><br>Yes |
| Note:               |                                 |   |  |                           |                                       |   |                             |                                 |                          |
| Preschool Outo      | comes: Percent o                | of Preschool Students Aged 3  | to 5 Who Demon                         | strate Improved Ski       | ills in Use of Appropriate            | Behaviors   |                             |                                 |                          |
| Indicator 7C  Note: | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019 | Use of Appropriate  Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth  State Target  92.30%             | State Data<br>89.34%                   | <u>LEA Data</u><br>96.43% | <b>Met Target</b><br>Yes              | Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 65.50%                | <u>State Data</u><br>60.92% | <u>LEA Data</u><br>80.77%       | <b>Met Target</b><br>Yes |
|                     |                                 |   |  |                           |                                       |   |                             |                                 |                          |
| Percent of Pare     | ents with a Chilo               | Receiving Special Education S   | Services Who Rep                       | oort That School Fac      | ilitated Parent Involvem              | ent as a Means of Improvir  | g Services and Results fo   | or Children with Dis            | abilities                |
| Indicator 8         | <u>School Year</u><br>2018-2019 | State<br><u>Target</u><br>90.00%  | <b>State</b> <u><b>Data</b></u> 93.50% |                           | Total Number of<br>Respondents<br>127 | Number Agree<br>123   | Number Disagree<br>-        | LEA Data<br><u>% Agree</u><br>- | Met Target?<br>Yes       |
| Note:               | 1 Blank                         |   |  |                           |                                       |   |                             |                                 |                          |
|                     |                                 |   |  |                           |                                       |   |                             |                                 |                          |

#### Spring 2020 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2018

#### **Cape Henlopen School District**

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

 Indicator 9
 School Year
 State Target
 State Data
 Met Target?

 2018-2019
 0.00%
 5.13%
 No
 No

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

 Indicator 10
 School Year
 State Target
 State Data
 LEA Data Compliant
 Met Target?

 2018-2019
 0.00%
 5.13%
 Yes
 Yes

Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.

Evaluations Conducted Within 45 School Days or 90 Calendar Days, Whichever is Shorter, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation

Total Number of Initial **Number Within** Number Not Within % LEA Data Within School Year Indicator 11 **State Target State Data Evaluations Timelines Timelines Timelines Met Target?** 100.00% 99.24% 191 191 Yes 2018-2019

Note:

Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday

**Total Number of SWD Number of Students Referred Minus Not Received Services by** Eligible and/or Parent Refusals **Indicator 12 School Year State Target State Data** Who Turned Age 3 Age 3 Met Target? 2018-2019 100.00% 93.68% 53 No

Note:

Percent of Youth Age 14 and Above with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals

**Total Number of IEPs** Number of IEPs **LEA Data % Meeting** Indicator 13 School Year **State Target State Data** Reviewed **Meeting Standard Standard** Met Target? 2018-2019 100.00% 96.50% 374 374 Yes

Note:

**LEA Data % Who** 

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

| Indicator 14 | <u>School Year</u><br>2017-2018 | State Target<br>41.00%  | <u>State Data</u><br>45.62% | Total Number of Exiters - | Total Number of<br>Respondents<br>32 | Group A Respondents 19 | <u>LEA Data % Group A</u><br>59.38% | Met Target?<br>Yes |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
|              |                                 | State Target<br>72.00%  | <u>State Data</u><br>73.54% |                           |                                      | Group B Respondents    | LEA Data % Group B<br>84.38%        | Met Target?<br>Yes |
| Note:        |                                 | State Target<br>100.00% | <u>State Data</u><br>81.01% |                           |                                      | Group C Respondents    | <b>LEA Data % Group C</b> 93.75%    | Met Target?<br>No  |



# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2

Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

### FFY 2018 IDEA LEA Annual Determination Business Rules

| Indicator                           | Description  | Business Rule  | Note/s   |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1<br>(20 U.S.C. 1416<br>(a)(3)(A))  | Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.   | Number of youth with IEPs in the current year's adjusted cohort graduating with a regular diploma Divided by the number of youth with IEPs in the current year's adjusted cohort eligible to graduate  | NA- LEA had graduates but no special education graduates.  LEA did not have any graduates. |
| 2<br>(20 U.S.C. 1416<br>(a)(3)(A))  | Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.  | Number of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out (did not graduate, did not die, or did not transfer to another school and was not included in the end of the year enrollment) Divided by # of students enrolled with IEPs (ages 14-21) on September 30   | NA- LEA did not have students ages 14-21.  |
| 3B<br>(20 U.S.C. 1416<br>(a)(3)(A)) | Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  Participation rate for children with IEPs.  | Number of children with IEPs participating in state assessments Divided by the total number of children with IEPs enrolled during the testing window, calculated separately for reading and math, and subtracting students with approved exemptions  Note: Denominator does not include students with approved exemptions from DDOE.   | NA- LEA did not have students who tested in that grade.                                    |
| 3C<br>(20 U.S.C. 1416<br>(a)(3)(A)) | Participation and performance of children with IEPs on Statewide assessments:  Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards. | All students enrolled during the testing window are included — "Full Academic Year" filter is not used.  (Number of children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level or alternate academic achievement standards Divided by the total number of children with IEPs who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, and, calculated separately for reading and math  Note: All students enrolled during the testing window are included — "Full Academic Year" filter is not used. | NA- LEA did not have students who tested in that grade.                                    |

| Indicator  | Description  | Business Rule   | Note/s   |
|--|--|---|--|
| 4A<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(A);<br>1412(a)(22)) | Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.  Percent of districts that have:  (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the  | State Determination: The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state bar (rate-ratio). Divided by Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size  LEA Determination: Number of SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by Number of General Ed Students Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days An LEA meets target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target because they did not exceed rate ratio. An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio).  State Determination: The number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size and exceeded the state | Indicator 4A is based on school year 2017-2018 date with a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an "N" size of 15, over 3 consecutive years of data  Or  Rate Ratio >5.0 and an n size of 5  State data is a calculation based on the number of LEAs that met the "N" size compared to the same LEAs that exceeded the rate ratio of 2.0  Indicator 4B is based on school year 2017-2018 date with a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an "N" size of |
| 4B<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(A);<br>1412(a)(22)) | <ul> <li>by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and</li> <li>(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.</li> </ul> | bar (rate-ratio). Divided by Number of LEAs that met the state established "N" size  LEA Determination: Number of (race/ethnicity) SWD Suspended or Expelled > than 10 days Divided by General Ed Students Suspended > than 10 days in the LEA  An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but had too few students in the cell. An LEA meets the target if they exceed the rate ratio but are in compliance. An LEA meets the target if they do not exceed rate ratio. An LEA does not meet the target if they exceed the state established "N" size and the state bar (rate ratio) and was found to be noncompliant.   | 10, over 3 consecutive years of data  Or  Rate Ratio >5.0 and an "N" size of 5  State data is a calculation based on the number of LEAs that met the "N" size compared to the same LEAs that exceeded the rate ratio of 2.0  |
| Indicator  | Description  | Business Rule   | Note/s   |
| 5<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(A))                  | Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served:  • A = Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day  • B = Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day  • C = In separate schools, residential facilities, or   | Number of children with IEPs aged 6 through<br>21 served<br>Divided by<br>Total number of children with IEPs aged 6<br>through 21   |  |

| Percent of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a:  A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs) times.  Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.  Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility. If of thildren aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education slass, separate school or residential facility. If of thildren aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education slass, separate school or residential facility. If of thildren aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education and related services in the regular early childhood program in divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education and related services in the regular early childhood program deviated enducation and related services in the regular early childhood program divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education and related services in the regular early childhood program deviated with IEPs) times.  Percent = I (# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education and related services in the regular early childhood program and receiving special education and related services in the regular early childhood program and receiving special education and related services in the response of the re |                    | homebound/hospital placements.  |  |   |
|--|--------------------|---|--|---|
| Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved:  Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)  C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.  Percent = # of preschool children reported in progress category (a) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (b) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) litimes 100  Summary Statement 2: The percent of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (e) plus # of preschool children reported in progress cate | (20 U.S.C.         | Percent of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a:  A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program  B. Separate special education class, separate                        | with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.  B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged  | NA- LEA did not have students ages 3-5.                     |
| receiving special education schools facilitated parent involvement as a LEA.   | (20 U.S.C.<br>1416 | aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved:  Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);  Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)  C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their | Summary Statement 1:  Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.  Percent = # of preschool children reported in progress category © plus # of preschool children reported in category (d) divided by [#of preschool children reported in progress category (a) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (b) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category © plus # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) Itimes 100  Summary Statement 2:  The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.  Percent = # of preschool children reported in progress category (d) plus # of preschool children reported in progress category © divided by [the total # of preschool children reported in progress categories (a) + (b) + (c) + | LEA- did not have students ages 3-5 in a preschool program. |
| 8 (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A)) services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities  | (20 U.S.C.         | receiving special education<br>services who report that schools<br>facilitated parent involvement as<br>a means of improving services<br>and results for children with  | schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities Divided by Total number of respondent parents of  | Data were not reported for the LEA.                         |

| Indicator                         | Description   | Business Rule  | Note/s   |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 9<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(C)) | Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. | State Determination Number of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services Divided by representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification  LEA Determination LEA Compliant:  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46 or  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services  LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services | State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. |

|                                    |   | <ul> <li>LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.46</li> <li>LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 15 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services.</li> </ul>  |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Indicator                          | Description   | Business Rule  | Note/s   |
| 10<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(C)) | Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification. | State Determination Number of LEAs that meet the State- established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification Divided by Number of LEAs that meet with State- established cell size for one or more racial/ethnic groups times 100.  LEA Determination LEA Compliant:  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA was not identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of one of the conditions:  LEA did not meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50 or  LEA did not meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories.  LEA was identified with Disproportionate Representation as a result of both conditions, however Disproportionate Representation was not the result of inappropriate identification:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories. | State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification. |

|  |  | conditions and Disproportionate Representation was the result of inappropriate identification:  LEA did meet or exceed the relative risk ratio of 1.50  LEA did meet the minimum cell size of 10 in one or more racial and ethnic groups in special education disability categories  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator  | Description  | Business Rule  | Note/s   |
| 11<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(B))<br>(14 DE Admin<br>Code §<br>925.2.0) | Percent of children who were evaluated within 45 school days or 90 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.   | Number of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received Divided by Number of children whose evaluations were completed within 45 school days or 90 calendar days   | NA- no initial evaluations were reported.  |
| 12<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(B))                                       | Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.  | a. Number of children who have been served in Part C and referred to Part B for Part B eligibility determination b. Number of those referred determined to be NOT eligible and whose eligibility was determined prior to third birthday  c. Number of those found eligible who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays  d. Number for whom parent refusals to provide consent caused delays in evaluation or initial services or to whom exceptions under 34 CFR §300.301(d) applied  e. Number of children who were referred to Part C and determined eligible for Part C less than 90 days before their third birthdays  [c/(a-b-d-e)]x100= % complaint | NA- LEA did not have students transitioning from Part C to Part B.   |
| 13<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(B))                                       | Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and | Number of youth in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above) with IEPs that contain each of the required components for secondary transition Divided by Number of youth with IEPs in grade 8 or aged 14 (and above)   | NA- LEA did not have students of transition age in grade 8 or ages 14 and above.  LEA was not required to report data for this reporting period. |

|                                    | evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.   |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Indicator                          | Description  | Business Rule  | Note/s   |
| 14<br>(20 U.S.C.<br>1416(a)(3)(B)) | Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:  • Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.  • Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.  • Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school. | Number of respondent youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school Divided by  Number of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school | NA- LEA did not have students with IEPs exiting secondary education. |