

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Townsend Building 401 Federal Street Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901-3639 DOE WEBSITE: http://www.doe.k12.de.us Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D. Secretary of Education Voice: (302) 735-4000 FAX: (302) 739-4654

May 25, 2021

Catherine Dolan Head of School Gateway Lab School 2501 Centerville Road Wilmington, DE 19808

### RE: LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)

Dear Ms. Dolan:

Thank you for your ongoing dedication and commitment to educating students with disabilities. We encourage you to use the information in this report to celebrate growth and identify opportunities for continued improvement.

Under the IDEA, the Delaware Department of Education (Department) has a responsibility to review the data of local education agencies (LEAs) relating to targets identified in the State's Performance Plan (SPP) and to make annual determinations on LEA performance. The State must monitor the implementation of Part B, enforce Part B in accordance with the provisions at 34 CFR 300.604(a)(1), and (a)(3), (b)(2)(i) and (b)(2(v), and (c)(2), and annually report on performance under Part B. The primary focus of the State's monitoring activities must be on:

• Improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and

• Ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under Part B of the Act, with a particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities.

For FFY 2019, LEAs are receiving their annual determination based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

### • Results:

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors

THE DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER. IT DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY, MARITAL STATUS, DISABILITY, AGE, GENETIC INFORMATION, OR VETERAN'S STATUS IN EMPLOYMENT, OR ITS PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES.

May 25, 2021 Gateway Lab School FFY 2019 LEA Determination Under the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Page 2

### • Compliance:

С	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Procedures, and Practices
О	Indicators 9 & 10	Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification
О	Indicator 11	Timely Initial Evaluations
О	Indicator 12	Early Childhood Transition from Part C to Part B
О	Indicator 13	Transition Planning in the IEP

# Based on a review of your LEA's data, the Department has determined your LEA <u>Meets Requirements</u> in implementing the requirements of the IDEA. Your LEA is encouraged to continue to analyze current data and develop a continuous improvement plan to improve outcomes for students with disabilities in your LEA.

Attached, please find an overview of the "IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements" along with an explanation of how your LEA's determination was calculated. The response table provides the Department's analysis of the reported data, and identifies, by indicator, your LEA's status in meeting its targets.

The Department appreciates your continued efforts to improve outcomes for students with disabilities. Please contact your Exceptional Children Resources liaison, Linda Smith, with any questions concerning this determination.

Sincerely,

Mary ann Mieghowski

Mary Ann Mieczkowski Director, Exceptional Children Resources

MAM/js Attachment

Susan S. Bunting, Ed.D., Secretary of Education
Monica Minor Gant, Ph.D., Associate Secretary of Academic Support
Janene Kahn, Special Education Case Manager
Pamela Bauman, Education Associate, Exceptional Children Resources
Linda Smith, Education Associate, Charter School Office

### **IDEA General Supervision & Reporting Requirements**

### The Department's General Monitoring Duties Under the IDEA

By way of background, the IDEA requires the Department to monitor the implementation of Part B of the IDEA in the LEAs throughout the State, and to annually report to the public on the performance of the State and each LEA. The Department's monitoring activities must primarily focus on: (1) improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities; and (2) ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements of Part B, with a particular emphasis on the requirements most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities. The Department is responsible for monitoring LEAs using quantifiable indicators in certain priority areas, and for using qualitative indicators to allow an adequate measure of performance in each area. IDEA regulations outline the three priority areas as: (1) the provision of FAPE in the least restrictive environment; (2) the State's exercise of general supervision, including child find, effective monitoring, the use of resolution meetings, mediation, and a system of transition services; and (3) disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services, to the extent such representation is the result of inappropriate identification.

### The State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Reports

The IDEA further requires the State to have a performance plan in place that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA, and describes how the State will improve the implementation of Part B. As part of its State Performance Plan (SPP), the State must establish measurable and rigorous targets for various indicators under the three priority areas mentioned above. The SPP currently has seventeen indicators, and the State must report annually to the U.S. Department of Education on the performance of the State under the SPP.

In addition to its federal submission, the Department is responsible for reporting annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets described in the SPP. On an annual basis, each LEA must use the targets established in the SPP, and the three priority areas mentioned above, to analyze and report on its local performance to the Department. In turn, the Department will review the LEA's performance and assign a determination level.

Based on the Department's analysis of data provided by each LEA, and information obtained through audits, monitoring visits, administrative complaints, due process proceedings, and any other publicly available information, the Department assigns one of the following determination levels: Meets the requirements and purposes of IDEA; Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA; Needs Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA; or Needs Substantial Intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA.

*Federal and state regulations addressing the SPP, APR, and the LEA's reporting obligations can be found at 34 C.F.R.* §§ 300.600-602, 646 and 14 DE Admin Code §§ 927.1.0 through 8.0, and §§ 40.0 through 46.0.

FFY 2019 determinations were made based on a combination of the following compliance and results indicators:

• Results:

٠

0	Indicator 1	Graduate Rate
0	Indicator 2	Drop-Out Rate
0	Indicator 3B	Participation Rate in the State Assessment
0	Indicator 3C	Proficiency Rate on the State Assessment
0	Indicator 4A	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspension and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities
0	Indicator 5	Education Environments (Children 6-21)
0	Indicator 7	Early Childhood Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills, Acquisition and Use
		of Knowledge and Skills, and Use of Appropriate Behaviors
Cor	npliance:	
0	Indicator 4B	Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-Term Suspensions and Expulsions of
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,
		Students with Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies, Procedures, and Practices
0	Indicators 9 & 10	
0	Indicators 9 & 10 Indicator 11	Procedures, and Practices
Ũ		Procedures, and Practices Disproportionate Representation Related to Identification

• Indicator 13 Transition Planning in the IEP

Meets Requirements	H	$\geq$ 80% (compliance and results combined)	and	LEA may be engaged in a Corrective Action Plan.	and/or	If monitored on-site, LEA is engaged in Prong 1 or Prong 2 corrective action.
Needs Assistance	H	60% to 79% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in an Intervention Plan.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 1 year)
Needs Intervention	I	$\leq$ 59% (compliance and results combined)	and/or	LEA is engaged in a Compliance Agreement.	and/or	Outstanding Noncompliance from On- Site Monitoring (beyond 2 years)

Determination	Possible Actions (Federal)	Possible Actions (State)
Meets Requirements		- Encourage continuous
		improvement planning
Needs Assistance	- Advice on available	- Explicit plan addressing
	Technical	area of concern (s)
	Assistance	- Advice on available
	- Use of state level funds on	Technical
	area of concern	Assistance
	- Other special conditions	
Needs Intervention	- Use of Correction Action	- Explicit plan addressing
	Plan	area of concern (s)
	or Improvement Plan	

	- Compliance Agreement	
	- Use of state level funds	
	- Use of federal funds	
	- Other enforcement actions	
Needs Substantial	- Use of federal funds	- Explicit plan addressing
Intervention	- Referral to Department of	area of concern (s)
	Justice or Inspector General	

Gateway Lab School										
Results Indicators	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2019	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points				
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	2018-2019	68.50%	73.34%	NA	NA	NA				
Indicator 2: Drop Out Rate	2018-2019	3.70%	2.07%	NA	NA	NA				
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-ELA										
Grade 3		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 4		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 5		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
	2019 -2020	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 7		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
High School		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Indicator 3B: Participation Rate-MATH										
Grade 3		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 4		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 5		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 6	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 7		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
High School		95.00%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-ELA										
Grade 3		27.63%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 4		24.54%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 5		25.58%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 6	2019-2020	17.74%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 7		18.07%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8		17.53%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
High School		17.46%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Indicator 3C: Proficiency Rate-MATH										
Grade 3		30.82%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 4		22.91%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 5		16.94%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 6	2019-2020	13.76%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 7		14.43%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
Grade 8		12.75%	NA	NA	NA	NA				
High School		10.85%	NA	NA	NA	NA				

Indicator 4A: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-						
Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with Disabilities	2018-2019	Rate Ratio 2.0 Cell Size 15	0.00%	Under Threshold	1	1

# Spring 2021 IDEA Annual Determination for FFY 2019

		-				
Results Indicators Continued	Data From: (Time Period)	SPP Target 2019	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Score	Possible Points
Indicator 5A: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside						
the Class 80% of the Day		72.00%	64.25%	66.30%	0	1
Indicator 5B: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served Inside	1	14.70%	14.80%		1	1
the Class Less Than 40% of the Day	2019-2020	14.70%	14.00%	-	1	Ĩ
Indicator 5C: Percent of Children Aged 6 -21 Served in		2 5 2 2 4	4.000/			
Separate Schools, Residential Facilities and Homebound/Hospital Placements		3.50%	4.83%	-	1	1
			1			
Indicator 7A. Early Childhood Outcomes- Positive						
Social/Emotional Skills						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2019-2020	91.00%	85.99%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		60.70%	46.63%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 7B. Early Childhood Outcomes-Acquisition and Use						
of Knowledge and Skills		02.40%	06.040/	NIA	NA	NA
Percent Increase Rate of Growth	2019-2020	93.40%	86.84%	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
Percent Within Age Expectation		54.80%	44.97%	NA	INA	INA
Indicator 7C. Early Childhood Outcomes- Use of Appropriate Behaviors						
Percent Increase Rate of Growth		92.30%	87.73%	NA	NA	NA
Percent Within Age Expectation	2019-2020	65.50%	59.14%	NA	NA	NA
	Data					
Compliance Indicators	From:	SPP Target	State Data	LEA Data	LEA Scoro	Possible Points
compliance indicators	(Time	2018	State Data		LLA SCOIE	POSSIBLE POINTS
	Period)					
Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy in the Rate of Long-						
Term Suspensions and Expulsions of Students with		Rate Ratio 2.0				
Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity and Noncompliant Policies,	2018-2019	Cell Size 10	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
Procedures, and Practices.						
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation	2019-2020	0.00%	0.00%	Compliant	1	1
All Disabilities						
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation Specific						
Disabilities	2019-2020	0.00%	2.63%	Compliant	1	1
	•				•	
Indicator 11: Initial Evaluations Conducted Within Timeline	2019-2020	100.00%	98.47%	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	100.00%	90.47%	NA	NA	NA
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition from Part C to	2019-2020	100.00%	91.56%	NA	NA	NA
Part B						
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	2019-2020	100.00%	99.89%	100.00%	1	1
mulcator 15. Secondary mansition	2019-2020	100.00%	55.05%	100.00%	1	Ĩ
Determination Summary			Ann	ual Determina	ation:	
Compliance Indicators Score	4			eets Requirem		
Possible Points:	4			ces requirem	CITC	
Results Indicators Score	3	Interve	ntion Plan /Cor	npliance Agree	ment:	No
Possible Points:	4		,,,,	,		-
Score Total	7					
Out of a Possible:	8					
Percentage:	87.50%					
		-				

Graduation Rat	te								
Indicator 1	<u>School Year</u> 2018-2019	<u>State Target</u> 68.50% graduating from high s	<u>State Data</u> 73.34%	gh school dinloma with	in 4-year adjusted cohort	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA	<u>Number Graduated</u> NA	LEA Data <u>% SWD Who</u> <u>Graduated</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA
Note. Tercent					in 4-year adjusted conort				
Drop-Out Rate								LEA Data	
Indicator 2	<u>School Year</u> 2018-2019	State Target 3.70%	<u>State Data</u> 2.07%			<u>Number Enrolled</u> NA	<u>Number of Drop-Outs</u> NA	<u>% SWD who Dropped</u> Out NA	Met Target? NA
Note:									
Participation R Indicator <u>3B</u>	ate in the State Ass <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020	essment - ELA State <u>Target</u> 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	State Data NA NA NA NA NA	<u>Grade</u> 3 4 5 6 7	<mark>Subject</mark> ELA ELA ELA ELA ELA	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA NA NA NA NA NA	<u>Number Tested</u> NA NA NA NA NA	LEA Data <u>Percent Tested</u> NA NA NA NA NA NA	Met Target? NA NA NA NA NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Note: Category	2019-2020 of Grade 11 chang	95.00% ed to HS in 2020 report	NA ting	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Participation R	ate in the State Ass	essment - MATH							
Indicator 3B	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020 2019-2020	State Target 95.00% 95.00% 95.00%	State <u>Data</u> NA NA NA	<u>Grade</u> 3 4 5 6	<u>Subject</u> MATH MATH MATH	<u>Number Eligible</u> NA NA NA	<u>Number Tested</u> NA NA NA	LEA Data <u>Percent Tested</u> NA NA NA	Met Target? NA NA NA
	2019-2020	95.00%	NA	O	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA

MATH

MATH

MATH

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

7

8

HS

NA

NA

NA

2019-2020

2019-2020

2019-2020

Note: Category of Grade 11 changed to HS in 2020 reporting

95.00%

95.00%

95.00%

NA

NA

NA

				Gatew	ay Lab School				
Proficiency Rat	te on the State Asse	essment - ELA						LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
ndicator 3C	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Targe
	2019-2020	27.63%	NA	3	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	24.54%	NA	4	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	25.58%	NA	5	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.74%	NA	6	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	18.07%	NA	7	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.53%	NA	8	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	17.46%	NA	HS	ELA	NA	NA	NA	NA
lote: Category	y of Grade 11 chang	ed to HS in 2020 report	ing						
roficiency Ba	te on the State Asse	ssment - MATH							
onciency ha								LEA Data	
		State	State					% of SWD Meeting	
ndicator 3C	School Year	Target	Data	Grade	Subject	Number Tested	Number Meets	Proficiency	Met Targe
	2019-2020	30.82%	NA	3	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	22.91%	NA	4	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	16.94%	NA	5	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	13.76%	NA	6	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	14.43%	NA	7	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	12.75%	NA	8	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
	2019-2020	10.85%	NA	HS	MATH	NA	NA	NA	NA
ote: Category	y of Grade 11 chang	ed to HS in 2020 report	ing						
ignificant Dise	crepancy in the Rate	e of Long-Term Suspens	sion and Explusion	ns of Students with Disabilitie	25	SWD Suspended > 10	Non-SWD Suspended	1	
ndicator 4A	School Year	State Target	State Data	SWD Enrollment	Non-SWD Enrollment	Days	> 10 Days	 LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	Complian
	2018-2019	40.00%	0.00%	101	76	-	-	-	Yes
EA Note									
ote:	Indicator 4A is b	ased on school vear 20	18 - 2019 data wit	h a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and a	n N size of 15 for three co	nsecutive vears.			
		-		hat met the N Size compared		•	or three consecutive	years.	
gnificant Dise	crepancy in the Rate	e of Long-Term Suspens	sions and Expulsio	ns of Students with Disabiliti	es by Race/Ethnicity and		ocedures, and Practic	es	
	Calcal Marin	Charles Towned	Chata Data	<b>D</b>	CIA/D. Examplified	SWD Suspended > 10	Mart Taurata	LEA Data (Data Datia)	C
ndicator 4B	School Year	State Target	State Data	<u>Race</u>	SWD Enrolled	<u>Days</u>	Met Target?	LEA Data (Rate Ratio)	
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Hispanic/Latino	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Native American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	African American	44	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	White	42	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019	0%	0.00%	Asian American	-	-	Yes	-	Yes
	2018-2019 2018-2019	0% 0%	0.00% 0.00%	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Multi-Racial	-	-	Yes Yes	-	Yes Yes

LEA Note:

Note: Indicator 4B is based on school year 2018-2019 data with a Rate Ratio of > 2.0 and an N size of 10 for three consecutive years. State data is a calculation based on the number of LEAs that met the N Size compared to the same LEAs that exceeded rate ratio of 2.0

Percent of Child	dren Aged 6 to 21 S	erved Inside the Regula	ar Class 80% or More of	he Day				
Indicator 5A	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 72.00%	<u>State Data</u> 64.25%		Number of SWD 92	Number of SWD In LRE A 61	<b>LEA Data</b> <u>% in LRE A</u> 66.30%	<u>Met Target?</u> No
Note:								
Percent of Child	dren Aged 6 to 21 S	erved Inside the Regula	ar Class Less Than 40% o	f the Day				
Indicator 5B	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 14.70%	<u>State Data</u> 14.80%		<u>Number of SWD</u> 92	<u>Number of SWD In</u> <u>LRE B</u> -	<u>LRE Data % in LRE B</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note:								
Percent of Child	dren Aged 6 to 21 S	erved In Separate Scho	ols, Residential Facilitie	, and in Homebound/Hospital Placements				
<u>Indicator 5C</u> Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 3.50%	<u>State Data</u> 4.83%		<u>Number of SWD</u> 92	Number of SWD <u>In LRE C</u> -	LRE Data <u>% in LRE C</u> -	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Preschool Envir Indicator 6A	onments: Percent o <u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	of Children Aged 3 to 5 <u>State Target</u> 50.50%	Attending a Regular Ear <u>State Data</u> 49.37%	ly Childhood Program and Receiving the Majority	of Special Education and <u>Number of SWD</u> NA	d Related Services in the Number of SWD Receiving Services in the <u>Regular EC Program</u> NA	e Regular Early Childho LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in the <u>Regular EC program</u> NA	od Program <u>Met Target?</u> NA
Note:								
Preschool Envir	onments: Percent o	of Children Aged 3 to 5	Attending a Separate S	pecial Education Class, Separate School, or Reside	ntial Facility			
Indicator 6B Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 31.00%	<u>State Data</u> 34.45%		<u>Number of SWD</u> NA	Number of SWD Receiving Services <u>in Separate Setting</u> NA	LEA Data Percent Receiving Services in <u>Separate Setting</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA

Preschool Outcomes: Percent of Preschool Students Aged 3 to 5 Who Demonstrate Improved Skills in Positive Social/Emotional Skills									
Indicator 7A Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	Positive Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 91.00%	<u>State Data</u> 85.99%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Positive Social/Emotional Skills : Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 60.70%	<u>State Data</u> 46.63%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demons	trate Improved Skills in A	Acquisition and Use of Kn	owledge and Skills			
Indicator 7B Note:	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 93.40%	<u>State Data</u> 86.84%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 54.80%	<u>State Data</u> 44.97%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:									
Preschool Outo	comes: Percent o	of Preschool Students Aged 3	to 5 Who Demons	trate Improved Skills in	Use of Appropriate Behav	iors			
Indicator 7C	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Increased Rate of Growth State Target 92.30%	<u>State Data</u> 87.73%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA	Use of Appropriate Behaviors: Percent Within Age Expectation State Target 65.50%	<u>State Data</u> 59.14%	<u>LEA Data</u> NA	<u>Met Target</u> NA
Note:									
Percent of Parents with a Child Receiving Special Education Services Who Report That School Facilitated Parent Involvement as a Means of Improving Services and Results for Children with Disabilities									
Indicator 8	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State <u>Target</u> 90.00%	<b>State</b> <u>Data</u> 92.33%		Total Number of <u>Respondents</u> -	<u>Number Agree</u> -	<u>Number Disagree</u> -	<b>LEA Data</b> <u>% Agree</u> 100.00%	<u>Met Target?</u> Yes
Note:									

Disproportionate Representation of Racial and Ethnic Groups in Special Education and Related Services That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification

Indicator 9	School Year 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 0.00%	Yes	<b>let Target?</b> Yes					
Note: State data reflects % of districts with Disproportionate Representation as a result of inappropriate identification.										
Disproportiona	te Representation of	f Racial and Ethnic Gro	oups in Specific Disability C	Categories That is a Result of Inappropriate Identification						
<u>Indicator 10</u> Note: State dat	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020 ta reflects % of distri	<u>State Target</u> 0.00%	<u>State Data</u> 2.63% nate Representation as a re	LEA Data Compliant M Yes	<b>let Target?</b> Yes					
<b>Evaluations Cor</b>	nducted Within 45 So	chool Days or 90 Caler	ndar Days, Whichever is Les	ss, of Receiving Parent Consent for Initial Evaluation						
Indicator 11	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 98.47%	<u>Total Number of Initial Number Within Number Not Within % LEA Data Within Evaluations <u>Timelines Timelines M</u> - NA NA NA NA</u>	<u>let Target?</u> NA					
Note:										
Early Childhood Transitions: Percent of Children Referred by Part C Prior to Age 3 Who Are Found Eligible for Part B, and Who Have an IEP Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthday										
Indicator 12	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 91.56%	Number of ChildrenLEA Data % WhoFound Eligible/IEPNumber of Students Referred Minus NotReceived Services byImplemented by Age 3Eligible and/or Parent RefusalsAge 3MNANANANA	<u>let Target?</u> NA					
Note:										
Percent of Youth Age 14 or in the 8th grade with an IEP That Includes Coordinated, Measurable, Annual IEP Goals and Transition Services That Will Reasonable Enable the Student to Meet the Post-Secondary Goals										
Indicator 13	<u>School Year</u> 2019-2020	State Target 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 99.89%	Total Number of IEPsNumber of IEPsLEA Data % MeetingReviewedMeeting StandardStandardM2323	<b>let Target?</b> Yes					
Note:										

Post-School Outcomes-Percent of Youth Who Are No Longer In Secondary School, Had IEPs in Effect at the Time They Left School, and Were: Group A. Enrolled in Higher Education Within One Year of Leaving High School, Group B. Enrolled in Higher Education or Competitively Employed Within One Year of Leaving High School, or Group C. Enrolled in Higher Education or in Some Other Post-Secondary Education or Training Program; or Competitively Employed or in Some Other Employment Within One Year of Leaving

Indicator 14	<u>School Year</u> 2018-2019	<u>State Target</u> 45.00%	<u>State Data</u> 53.41%	<u>Total Number of Exiters</u> NA	<u>Total Number of</u> <u>Respondents</u> NA	Group A Respondents NA	<u>LEA Data % Group A</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA
		<u>State Target</u> 76.00%	<u>State Data</u> 73.44%			<u>Group B Respondents</u> NA	<u>LEA Data % Group B</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA
Note:		<u>State Target</u> 100.00%	<u>State Data</u> 87.69%			<u>Group C Respondents</u> NA	<u>LEA Data % Group C</u> NA	<u>Met Target?</u> NA